

2001/10/08

802.16-01/51

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**

Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **150**

Comment submitted by: Stanley

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **35**

Starting Line # **46**

Section **3**

Typo.

Suggested Remedy

Change "a upink" to "an uplink"

Make the same change for Page 78 Line 64 and Page 133 Line 3.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2001/10/08

802.16-01/51

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**

Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **151**

Comment submitted by: **Stanley**

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **36**

Starting Line # **56**

Section **4**

Typo.

Suggested Remedy

Remove ")" from the abbreviation.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**

Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **152**

Comment submitted by: Stanley

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **70**

Starting Line # **32**

Section **6.2.2.2.1**

Clarity

Suggested Remedy

Page 70, line 32, Change the title of Table 7 to read "Fragmentation Sub-header Format"

Page 72, line 3229, Change the title of Table 11 to read "Packing Sub-header Format"

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**

Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **153**

Comment submitted by: Stanley

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Technical**

Starting Page # **152**

Starting Line # **35**

Section **6.2.13.1**

SFID is a system-wide identifier and should not be assigned by the BS.

Suggested Remedy

Delete the sentence "Service Flows have a 32-bit Service Flow Identifier (SFID)."

Change the next sentence to read "All Service Flows have a 32-bit Service Flow Identifier (SFID);"

Also on page 152, line 50, delete "by the BS"

Also on page 154, line 52, delete "assigned by the BS"

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**

Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **154**

Comment submitted by: Stanley

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **203**

Starting Line # **37**

Section **7.2.4**

All transitions must be labeled with event/action.

Suggested Remedy

Line 37, change "Timeout" to "Timeout /"

Line 41, change "Auth Reject" to "Auth Reject /"

Lines 42 & 43, change "Perm Auth Reject" to "Perm Auth Reject /"

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**

Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **155**

Comment submitted by: Stanley

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **207**

Starting Line # **19**

Section **7.2.4.5**

Clarity.

Suggested Remedy

Change "<event/rcvd message> - <state>" to "<event> (<rcvd message>) --> <state>"

Make sure the same chage is also made on page 207, line 19.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2001/10/08

802.16-01/51

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**

Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **156**

Comment submitted by: Stanley

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **208**

Starting Line # **31**

Section **7.2.4.5**

Typo.

Suggested Remedy

Underline "5-E"

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2001/10/08

802.16-01/51

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**

Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **157**

Comment submitted by: Stanley

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Editorial**
Clarity.

Starting Page # **?**

Starting Line # **19**

Section **7.2.5.5**

Suggested Remedy

Make a copy of page 207 line 19 and copy it to page 213 line 19.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**

Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **158**

Comment submitted by: Stanley

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **213**

Starting Line # **39**

Section **7.2.5.5**

Typo.

Suggested Remedy

Add space before "(Stop)"

Make the same change on line 47.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**

Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **159**

Comment submitted by: Stanley

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **214**

Starting Line # **57**

Section **7.2.5.5**

All key exchange messages are authenticated by HMAC. Adding a specific note to this particular transition misleads the reader and makes the reader believe that it is the only transition that HMAC is validated.

Suggested Remedy

Page 214, line 57, delete the entire note.

Page 215, line 3, delete the entire note.

Page 215, line 14, delete the entire note.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2001/10/08

802.16-01/51

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**

Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **160**

Comment submitted by: **Stanley**

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **215**

Starting Line # **25**

Section **7.2.5.5**

Typo.

Suggested Remedy

Change "remove CID" to "remove SAID"

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2001/10/08

802.16-01/51

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**

Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **161**

Comment submitted by: Stanley

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Technical**

Starting Page # **293**

Starting Line # **53**

Section **9.1.1**

Per Clause 9.13 of RFC 2132, "DHCP Options and BBOTP Vendor Extensions", option #60 is "Vendor Class Identifier".

Suggested Remedy

Change "(Vendor Specific Option)" to "(Vendor Class Identifier)"

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **162**

Comment submitted by: Stanley

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Technical**Starting Page # **302**Starting Line # **34**Section **11**

Current definition of the length field of a TLV encoding limits the length of the value field. For example, for a 1-byte length field, the maximum length for the value field is 255 bytes. In addition, TLVs for PKM messages use 2-byte length field while others use 1-byte length field.

This can causes some troubles such as the value field is longer than the maximum length allowed by the length field.

There is a standard way of handling the encoding rules, re: ITU-T X690.

Suggested Remedy

[ITU-T X690] ITU-T Recommendation X.690, "Information Technology -- ASN.1 Encoding Rules: Specification of Basic Encoding Rules (BER), Canonical Encoding Rules (CER), and Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER)," December 1997."

Page 302, line 34, add the following paragraph:

The format of the length field shall be per the "definite form" of [ITU-T X690]. Specifically, if the actual length of the value field is less than or equal to 127 bytes:

- . the length of the length field shall be one byte,
- . the msb of the length field shall be set to 0, and
- . the other 7 bits of the length field shall be used to indicate the actual length of the value field in bytes.

If the length of the value field is more than 127 bytes:

- . the length of the length field shall be one byte more than what is actually used to indicate the length of the value field in byte,
- . the msb of the first byte of the length field shall be set to 1,
- . the other 7 bits of the first byte of the length field shall be used to indicate the number of additional bytes of the length field (i.e., excluding the first byte), and
- . the remaining bytes (i.e., excluding the first byte) of the length field shall be used to indicate the actual length of the value field."

Page 303, line 11, remove "(1 byte}" from the "Length" field title. Make the same change for all TLV tables (e.g., page 304 line 11, page 305 line 9, etc)

Page 309, line 31, change "2 byte" to "variable"

Page 309, line 44, delete "is 2 bytes, and"

Page 313, line 26, change "33" to "variable"

Page 315, line 43, change ">=9" to "variable"

Page 317, line 26, change "6*n" to "5*n"

Page 318, line 35, change "14" to "variable"

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation:

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group:

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **P802.16/D4**Ballot Number: **0000166**

Comment Date

Comment # **163**

Comment submitted by: Stanley

Wang

Member

Comment Type **Technical**Starting Page # **309**Starting Line # **48**Section **11.2**

The statement on line 48 applies only to PKM messages. There is no similar statement that applies to other messages.

Suggested Remedy

Page 309, line 48, delete the entire line.

Page 302, line 36, add to the end of the paragraph "MAC management messages that do not contain all required encodings or contain encoding(s) with invalid length(s) shall be silently discarded."

Proposed Resolution**Recommendation:****Recommendation by****Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group****Decision of Group:****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions****Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**