

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **001**

Comment submitted by: [David](#)

[James](#)

[Member](#)

2005-04-27

Comment Type [Editorial, Binding](#)

Starting Page # [X](#)

Starting Line # [1](#)

Fig/Table#

Section [1.1](#)

[DVJ1\(page=x,line=1\)](#)

[Misleading capitalization](#)

Suggested Remedy

[List of Figures ==> List of figures](#)

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

[List of Figures ==> List of figures](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

[List of Figures ==> List of figures](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **002**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding**

Starting Page # **xi**

Starting Line # **1**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.1**

DVJ2(page=xi,line=1)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

List of Tables ==> List of tables

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

List of Tables ==> List of tables

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

List of Tables ==> List of tables

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **003**

Comment submitted by: Roger

Marks

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Editorial	Starting Page #	v	Starting Line #	9	Fig/Table#	Section	Partic
---------	------	-----------	-----------------	---	-----------------	---	------------	---------	--------

The list of Working Group Letter Ballot participants should be included.

Suggested Remedy

Delete section entitled "The following participated as non-members in the Working Group Letter Ballot:"

Under "The following members of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access participated in the Working Group Letter Ballot in which the draft of this standard was prepared and finalized for IEEE Ballot:", add this list:

Edward Agis
 Aditya Agrawal
 Baraa Al-Dabagh
 Mario Aliotta
 Dov Andelman
 Sanjeev Athalye
 Raja Banerjea
 Phillip Barber
 Kevin Baum
 Sean Cai
 David Castelow
 Giulio Cavalli
 Dean Chang
 Jae Hwan Chang
 Yong Chang
 Naftali Chayat
 Rémi Chayer
 Aik Chindapol
 Jaeweon Cho
 Hyoung-Jin Choi
 Joey Chou
 Jin Yong Chung
 José Costa
 Mark Cudak
 Frank Draper
 John Dring
 Lester Eastwood
 Brian Eidson
 Carl Eklund
 Yigal Eliaspur
 Roger Eline
 Oren Elkayam
 Mo-Han Fong
 Bogdan Franovici

Avraham Freedman
Mariana Goldhamer
Reza Golshan
Qiang Guo
Zion Hadad
Yung Hahn
Jun Han
John Haskin
Prakash Iyer
Moo Ryong Jeong
Brian Johnson
Panyuh Joo
Tal Kaitz
Adam Kerr
Brian Kiernan
Jeonghwi Kim
Jung Won Kim
Yong Bum Kim
YoungKyun Kim
Itzik Kitroser
Changhoi Koo
Lalit Kotecha
Margaret LaBrecque
Jonathan Labs
Jules Pierre Lamoureux
Chang-jae Lee
Jae Hak Lee
Seong Choon Lee
Yigal Leiba
Barry Lewis
Xiaodong Li
John Liebetreu
Hyoungsoo Lim
Titus Lo
Hui-Ling Lou
Heinz Lycklama
Michael Lynch
Martin Lysejko
Seung Joo Maeng
Jeffrey Mandin
Kevin Mankin
Roger Marks
Russell McKown
Scott Migaldi
James Mollenauer
Dong-II (Stephan) Moon
Robert Nelson
Gunnar Nitsche

Paul Odlyzko
Shlomo Ovadia
Trevor Pearman
Greg Phillips
Jose Puthenkulam
Kamlesh Rath
Maximilian Riegel
Chris Rogers
Andrew Sago
Atul Salvekar
Randall Schwartz
Yossi Segal
Radu Selea
Mohammad Shakouri
N.K. Shankaranarayanan
Eli Shasha
James Simkins
Jung Je Son
Yeong Moon Son
Kenneth Stanwood
Shawn Taylor
Wen Tong
Rainer Ullmann
Eyal Verbin
Frederick Vook
Arthur Wang
Irving Wang
Lei Wang
Stanley Wang
Alfred Wieczorek
Hassan Yaghoobi
Vladimir Yanover
Chulsik Yoon
Chang Wahn Yu
Peiyong Zhu

Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: Accepted****Recommendation by**

Delete section entitled "The following participated as non-members in the Working Group Letter Ballot:"

Under "The following members of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access participated in the Working Group Letter Ballot in which the draft of this standard was prepared and finalized for IEEE Ballot:", add this list:

Edward Agis
Aditya Agrawal
Baraa Al-Dabagh
Mario Aliotta
Dov Andelman

Sanjeev Athalye
Raja Banerjea
Phillip Barber
Kevin Baum
Sean Cai
David Castelow
Giulio Cavalli
Dean Chang
Jae Hwan Chang
Yong Chang
Naftali Chayat
Rémi Chayer
Aik Chindapol
Jaeweon Cho
Hyoung-Jin Choi
Joey Chou
Jin Yong Chung
José Costa
Mark Cudak
Frank Draper
John Dring
Lester Eastwood
Brian Eidson
Carl Eklund
Yigal Eliaspur
Roger Eline
Oren Elkayam
Mo-Han Fong
Bogdan Franovici
Avraham Freedman
Mariana Goldhamer
Reza Golshan
Qiang Guo
Zion Hadad
Yung Hahn
Jun Han
John Haskin
Prakash Iyer
Moo Ryong Jeong
Brian Johnson
Panyuh Joo
Tal Kaitz
Adam Kerr
Brian Kiernan
Jeonghwi Kim
Jung Won Kim
Yong Bum Kim
YoungKyun Kim

Itzik Kitroser
 Changhoi Koo
 Lalit Kotecha
 Margaret LaBrecque
 Jonathan Labs
 Jules Pierre Lamoureux
 Chang-jae Lee
 Jae Hak Lee
 Seong Choon Lee
 Yigal Leiba
 Barry Lewis
 Xiaodong Li
 John Liebetreu
 Hyoungsoo Lim
 Titus Lo
 Hui-Ling Lou
 Heinz Lycklama
 Michael Lynch
 Martin Lysejko
 Seung Joo Maeng
 Jeffrey Mandin
 Kevin Mankin
 Roger Marks
 Russell McKown
 Scott Migaldi
 James Mollenauer
 Dong-II (Stephan) Moon
 Robert Nelson
 Gunnar Nitsche
 Paul Odlyzko
 Shlomo Ovadia
 Trevor Peerman

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

Delete section entitled "The following participated as non-members in the Working Group Letter Ballot:"

Under "The following members of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group on Broadband Wireless Access participated in the Working Group Letter Ballot in which the draft of this standard was prepared and finalized for IEEE Ballot:", add this list:

Edward Agis
 Aditya Agrawal
 Baraa Al-Dabagh
 Mario Aliotta
 Dov Andelman
 Sanjeev Athalye
 Raia Baporica

Naja Banerjee
Phillip Barber
Kevin Baum
Sean Cai
David Castelow
Giulio Cavalli
Dean Chang
Jae Hwan Chang
Yong Chang
Naftali Chayat
Rémi Chayer
Aik Chindapol
Jaeweon Cho
Hyoung-Jin Choi
Joey Chou
Jin Yong Chung
José Costa
Mark Cudak
Frank Draper
John Dring
Lester Eastwood
Brian Eidson
Carl Eklund
Yigal Eliaspur
Roger Eline
Oren Elkayam
Mo-Han Fong
Bogdan Franovici
Avraham Freedman
Mariana Goldhamer
Reza Golshan
Qianq Guo

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **004**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **1**

Starting Line # **53**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.1**

DVJ3(page=1,line=53)

Incorrect terminology

Suggested Remedy

Section(==> Subclause)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Section(==> Subclause)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Section(==> Subclause)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **005**

Comment submitted by: Joel

Demarty

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Binding	1	56		

The scope of the MIB is defined as:

"This document provides enhancements to IEEE Standard 802.16-2004 to define a management information base (MIB) for the MAC and PHY and associated management procedures."

There are several objects that are completely out of scope of this standard and should be removed:

- objects related to temperature sensors
- objects related to power supply
- objects related to fans

Suggested Remedy

[remove the following objects or table]

wmanIfBsPowerStatusChange (5),
wmanIfBsFanStatusChange (6),
wmanIfBsTemperatureChange (7),
wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmThreshold Integer32,
wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmRestoredThreshold Integer32,
wmanIfBsTempHighAlarmThreshold Integer32,
wmanIfBsTempHighAlarmRestoredThreshold Integer32}
wmanIfBsNotificationObjectsTable
wmanIfBsPowerStatus INTEGER,
wmanIfBsFanStatus INTEGER,
wmanIfBsTemperatureStatus INTEGER,
wmanIfBsPowerStatusInfo OCTET STRING,
wmanIfBsFanStatusInfo OCTET STRING,
wmanIfBsTemperatureStatusInfoOCTET STRING,
wmanIfBsPowerStatusChangeTrap
wmanIfBsFanStatusTrap NOTIFICATION-TYPE
wmanIfBsTemperatureChangeTrap NOTIFICATION-TYPE

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

[remove the following objects or table], remand to 16g

wmanIfBsPowerStatusChange (5),
wmanIfBsFanStatusChange (6),
wmanIfBsTemperatureChange (7),
wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmThreshold Integer32,
wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmRestoredThreshold Integer32

wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmThreshold Integer32,
 wmanIfBsTempHighAlarmThreshold Integer32,
 wmanIfBsTempHighAlarmRestoredThreshold Integer32}
 wmanIfBsNotificationObjectsTable
 wmanIfBsPowerStatus INTEGER,
 wmanIfBsFanStatus INTEGER,
 wmanIfBsTemperatureStatus INTEGER,
 wmanIfBsPowerStatusInfo OCTET STRING,
 wmanIfBsFanStatusInfo OCTET STRING,
 wmanIfBsTemperatureStatusInfoOCTET STRING,
 wmanIfBsPowerStatusChangeTrap
 wmanIfBsFanStatusTrap NOTIFICATION-TYPE
 wmanIfBsTemperatureChangeTrap NOTIFICATION-TYPE

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

[remove the following objects or table\], remand to 16g](#)

wmanIfBsPowerStatusChange (5),
 wmanIfBsFanStatusChange (6),
 wmanIfBsTemperatureChange (7),
 wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmThreshold Integer32,
 wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmRestoredThreshold Integer32,
 wmanIfBsTempHighAlarmThreshold Integer32,
 wmanIfBsTempHighAlarmRestoredThreshold Integer32}
 wmanIfBsNotificationObjectsTable
 wmanIfBsPowerStatus INTEGER,
 wmanIfBsFanStatus INTEGER,
 wmanIfBsTemperatureStatus INTEGER,
 wmanIfBsPowerStatusInfo OCTET STRING,
 wmanIfBsFanStatusInfo OCTET STRING,
 wmanIfBsTemperatureStatusInfoOCTET STRING,
 wmanIfBsPowerStatusChangeTrap
 wmanIfBsFanStatusTrap NOTIFICATION-TYPE
 wmanIfBsTemperatureChangeTrap NOTIFICATION-TYPE

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **006**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **1**

Starting Line # **63**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.2**

DVJ4(page=1,line=63)

Incorrect /terminology / //

Suggested Remedy

Section ==> Subclause (with a check)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Section ==> Subclause (with a check)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Section ==> Subclause (with a check)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: IEEE P802.16f/D3

Ballot Number: 0000998

Comment Date

Comment # 007

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type Editorial

Starting Page # 1

Starting Line # 63

Fig/Table#

Section 1.2

DVJ5(page=1,line=63)

Marked set by Editorial required

Suggested Remedy

NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Rejected

Recommendation by

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 7

Reason for Recommendation

No problem specified.

No specific remedy provided

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Rejected

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 7

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No problem specified.

No specific remedy provided

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **008**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **2**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.2**

DVJ20(page=2,line=2)

Bad English.

Suggested Remedy

802.16 device ==> 802.16 devices

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

We have to use the language that is in the PAR.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

We have to use the language that is in the PAR.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 5

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **009**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **5**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.2**

DVJ6(page=2,line=5)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Reference Models ==> Reference models

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Reference Models ==> Reference models

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Reference Models ==> Reference models

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **010**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **10**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ7(page=2,line=10)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Fixed Broadband Wireless Access ==> fixed broadband wireless access

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Fixed Broadband Wireless Access ==> fixed broadband wireless access

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Fixed Broadband Wireless Access ==> fixed broadband wireless access

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions e) editor disagrees

Change Fixed to fixed, but not "Broadband Wireless Access", since it is represented by BWA

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **011**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **12**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ8(page=2,line=12)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

network Management System ==> network management system

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

network Management System ==> network management system

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

network Management System ==> network management system

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions e) editor disagrees

Change network Management System ==> Network Management System, since it is represented by NMS

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **012**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **12**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ9(page=2,line=12)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Service Flow Database ==> a service flow database

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Service Flow Database ==> a service flow database

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Service Flow Database ==> a service flow database

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **013**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **14**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ10(page=2,line=14)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Service Flow Database ==> The service flow database

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Service Flow Database ==> The service flow database

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Service Flow Database ==> The service flow database

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **014**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **27**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ11(page=2,line=27)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Management Agent ==> Management agent

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Management Agent ==> Management agent

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Management Agent ==> Management agent

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **015**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **28**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ24(page=2,line=28)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Managed Node ==> Managed node

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Managed Node ==> Managed node

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Managed Node ==> Managed node

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **016**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **33**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ12(page=2,line=33)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Management Proxy ==> Management proxy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Management Proxy ==> Management proxy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Management Proxy ==> Management proxy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **017**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **35**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ22(page=2,line=35)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Managed Node ==> Managed node

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted

Recommendation by

Managed Node ==> Managed node

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

Managed Node ==> Managed node

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **018**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **37**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ13(page=2,line=37)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

IP Cloud ==> IP cloud

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

IP Cloud ==> IP cloud

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

IP Cloud ==> IP cloud

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **019**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **38**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ14(page=2,line=38)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Network Management System ==> Network management system

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Network Management System ==> Network management system

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Network Management System ==> Network management system

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **020**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **40**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ23(page=2,line=40)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Management Agent ==> Management agent

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Management Agent ==> Management agent

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Management Agent ==> Management agent

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **021**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **42**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ16(page=2,line=42)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Managed Node ==> Managed node

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Managed Node ==> Managed node

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Managed Node ==> Managed node

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **022**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **44**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ15(page=2,line=44)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Service Flow Database ==> Service flow database

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Service Flow Database ==> Service flow database

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Service Flow Database ==> Service flow database

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **023**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **51**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ17(page=2,line=51)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Fixed BWA Network Management Reference Model ==> Fixed BWA network management reference model

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Fixed BWA Network Management Reference Model ==> Fixed BWA network management reference model

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Fixed BWA Network Management Reference Model ==> Fixed BWA network management reference model

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **024**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **54**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.4**

DVJ18(page=2,line=54)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Managed Objects ==> Managed objects

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Managed Objects ==> Managed objects

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Managed Objects ==> Managed objects

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **025**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **2**

Starting Line # **57**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.5**

DVJ19(page=2,line=57)

Incorrect terminology

Suggested Remedy

Section ==> Subclause

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Section ==> Subclause

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Section ==> Subclause

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: IEEE P802.16f/D3

Ballot Number: 0000998

Comment Date

Comment # 026

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type Editorial

Starting Page # 2

Starting Line # 63

Fig/Table#

Section 1.5

DVJ21(page=2,line=63)

Marked set by Editorial required

Suggested Remedy

NoRemedySupplied

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Rejected

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

No problem specified.
No specific remedy provided.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Rejected

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No problem specified.
No specific remedy provided.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0
Opposed: 4

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **027**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **3**

Starting Line # **13**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.5**

DVJ25(page=3,line=13)

Incorrect terminology

Suggested Remedy

Section ==> Subclause

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Section ==> Subclause

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Section ==> Subclause

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **028**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **4**

Starting Line # **13**

Fig/Table#

Section **1.5**

DVJ26(page=4,line=13)

Incorrect terminology

Suggested Remedy

Section ==> Subclause

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Section ==> Subclause

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Section ==> Subclause

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **029**

Comment submitted by: [David](#)

[James](#)

[Member](#)

[2005-04-27](#)

Comment Type [Editorial](#)

Starting Page # **4**

Starting Line # **41**

Fig/Table#

Section **9.3.1**

[DVJ28\(page=4,line=41\)](#)

[Misleading capitalization](#)

Suggested Remedy

[Relationship with Interface MIB ==> Relationship with interface MIB](#)

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: [Accepted](#)

Recommendation by

[Relationship with Interface MIB ==> Relationship with interface MIB](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: [Accepted](#)

[Relationship with Interface MIB ==> Relationship with interface MIB](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **030**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **4**

Starting Line # **48**

Fig/Table#

Section **9.3.2**

DVJ27(page=4,line=48)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

MIB-2 Integration ==> MIB-2 integration

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

MIB-2 Integration ==> MIB-2 integration

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

MIB-2 Integration ==> MIB-2 integration

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **031**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **4**

Starting Line # **54**

Fig/Table#

Section

9.3.2.1

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen]
MIB-2 Integration

IANAifType ::= TEXTUAL-COVENTION

Suggested Remedy

Should be: IANAifType ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Should be: IANAifType ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Should be: IANAifType ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **032**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **5**

Starting Line # **13**

Fig/Table#

Section **9.3.2.1**

DVJ29(page=5,line=13)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Usage of MIB-II Tables ==> Usage of MIB-II tables

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted

Recommendation by

Usage of MIB-II Tables ==> Usage of MIB-II tables

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

Usage of MIB-II Tables ==> Usage of MIB-II tables

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: [IEEE P802.16f/D3](#)Ballot Number: [0000998](#)

Comment Date

Comment # [033](#)Comment submitted by: [Lei](#)[Wang](#)

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	5	Starting Line #	13	Fig/Table#	T 1	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	---	-----------------	----	------------	-----	---------

The 802.16 standard defines an air interface with a single Mac/phy layers (with multiple phy options, but a single phy instance.) It is beyond the cope of the standard to describe a system with multiple instances this air interface. In addition the term Sector is not fully defined in the standard. It is up to each vendor to define the IF table of their system. The system may contain many interfaces some of which, but not all, may be propBWAp2Mp.

Suggested Remedy

In line 20 page 5, change the first sentence as follows:

Table 1 describes **an example of** some key attributes in the ifTable that will be reused in the BS wmanIfMib.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

[Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16f-05/006](#)

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

[Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16f-05/006](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **034**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **5**

Starting Line # **27**

Fig/Table#

Section **9.3.2.2**

DVJ30(page=5,line=27)

Tables line widths are incorrect.

Suggested Remedy

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **035**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **5**

Starting Line # **41**

Fig/Table#

Section **9.3.2.2**

DVJ32(page=5,line=41)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Usage of ifTable objects for Base Station ==> Usage of ifTable objects for base station

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted

Recommendation by

Usage of ifTable objects for Base Station ==> Usage of ifTable objects for base station

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

Usage of ifTable objects for Base Station ==> Usage of ifTable objects for base station

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **036**

Comment submitted by: Lei

Wang

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Non-binding	5	44	t2	

It is not clear what is meant by "Ethernet" in Table 2. Is that the management interface? if so does it preclude from having a management interface running over IP directly? Is it a data/user interface? If so does it imply the all SS must have at least one Ethernet interface? It seems that the If table is beyond the cope of the standard. Since the IfTable typically defines the system.

Suggested Remedy

Change the first sentence of the paragraph in line 44 page 5 as follows:

Table 2 show **an example of** the usage of ifTable for SS.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16f-05/006

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16f-05/006

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **037**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **5**

Starting Line # **49**

Fig/Table#

Section **9.3.2.2**

DVJ31(page=5,line=49)

Tables line widths are incorrect.

Suggested Remedy

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **038**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **5**

Starting Line # **56**

Fig/Table#

Section

9.3.2.2

DVJ33(page=5,line=56)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Usage of ifTable objects for Subscriber Station ==> Usage of ifTable objects for subscriber station

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Usage of ifTable objects for Subscriber Station ==> Usage of ifTable objects for subscriber station

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Usage of ifTable objects for Subscriber Station ==> Usage of ifTable objects for subscriber station

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **039**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **5**

Starting Line # **59**

Fig/Table#

Section **9.3.2.2**

DVJ34(page=5,line=59)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

Events and Traps ==> Events and traps

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Events and Traps ==> Events and traps

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

Events and Traps ==> Events and traps

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **040**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **8**

Starting Line # **10**

Fig/Table#

Section **9.3.2.2**

DVJ35(page=8,line=10)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

TLV Encodings ==> TLV encodings

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

TLV Encodings ==> TLV encodings

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

TLV Encodings ==> TLV encodings

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **041**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **8**

Starting Line # **12**

Fig/Table#

Section **9.3.2.2**

DVJ48(page=8,line=12)

Incorrect terminology

Suggested Remedy

Section ==> Subclause

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Section ==> Subclause

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Section ==> Subclause

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **042**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **8**

Starting Line # **22**

Fig/Table#

Section **11.2.8**

DVJ36(page=8,line=22)

Tables line widths are incorrect.

Suggested Remedy

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **043**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **8**

Starting Line # **24**

Fig/Table#

Section **11.2.8**

DVJ39(page=8,line=24)

Left justified table is nonstandard.

Suggested Remedy

Center the table

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Center the table

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Center the table

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **044**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **8**

Starting Line # **25**

Fig/Table#

Section **11.2.8**

DVJ44(page=8,line=25)

Small column entries should be centered.

Suggested Remedy

Center the Type and Length columns.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Center the Type and Length columns.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Center the Type and Length columns.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **045**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **8**

Starting Line # **33**

Fig/Table#

Section **11.2.8**

DVJ43(page=8,line=33)

Tables line widths are incorrect.

Suggested Remedy

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **046**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **8**

Starting Line # **35**

Fig/Table#

Section **11.2.8**

DVJ45(page=8,line=35)

Small column entries should be centered.

Suggested Remedy

Center the left column

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Center the left column

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Center the left column

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **047**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **8**

Starting Line # **35**

Fig/Table#

Section **11.2.8**

DVJ46(page=8,line=35)

A header is needed.

Suggested Remedy

Add a header, with cells of Value and Description

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted

Recommendation by

Add a header, with cells of Value and Description

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

Add a header, with cells of Value and Description

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **048**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **8**

Starting Line # **36**

Fig/Table#

Section **11.2.8**

DVJ42(page=8,line=36)

Left justified table is nonstandard.

Suggested Remedy

Center the table

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Center the table

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Center the table

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **049**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **8**

Starting Line # **46**

Fig/Table#

Section

11.2.8

DVJ37(page=8,line=46)

Tables line widths are incorrect.

Suggested Remedy

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: IEEE P802.16f/D3

Ballot Number: 0000998

Comment Date

Comment # 050

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type Editorial

Starting Page # 8

Starting Line # 46

Fig/Table#

Section

11.2.8

DVJ40(page=8,line=46)

Left justified table is nonstandard.

Suggested Remedy

Center the table

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted

Recommendation by

Center the table

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

Center the table

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **051**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **8**

Starting Line # **59**

Fig/Table#

Section **11.2.9**

DVJ38(page=8,line=59)

Tables line widths are incorrect.

Suggested Remedy

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

very-thin within the table. thin around the header and core.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **052**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **8**

Starting Line # **60**

Fig/Table#

Section **11.2.9**

DVJ41(page=8,line=60)

Left justified table is nonstandard.

Suggested Remedy

Center the table

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Center the table

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Center the table

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **053**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **8**

Starting Line # **61**

Fig/Table#

Section **11.2.9**

DVJ47(page=8,line=61)

Small column entries should be centered.

Suggested Remedy

Center the Type and Length columns.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Center the Type and Length columns.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Center the Type and Length columns.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **054**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **10**

Starting Line # **35**

Fig/Table#

Section **13**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] 802.16 MIB structure for SNMP

Structure overview shows ""wmanIfBsNotification"" under wmanIfSsObjects.

Suggested Remedy

Should be "wmanIfSsNotification".

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Should be "wmanIfSsNotification".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Should be "wmanIfSsNotification".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **055**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **10**

Starting Line # **45**

Fig/Table#

Section

11.2.9

DVJ49(page=10,line=45)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

wmanlfMib Structure ==> wmanlfMib structure

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

wmanlfMib Structure ==> wmanlfMib structure

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

wmanlfMib Structure ==> wmanlfMib structure

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **056**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **11**

Starting Line # **11**

Fig/Table#

Section **13.1.1**

DVJ50(page=11,line=11)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfBsPacketCs Structure ==> wmanIfBsPacketCs structure

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

wmanIfBsPacketCs Structure ==> wmanIfBsPacketCs structure

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

wmanIfBsPacketCs Structure ==> wmanIfBsPacketCs structure

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **057**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**Starting Page # **11**Starting Line # **16**

Fig/Table#

Section **13.1.1**

DVJ51(page=11,line=16)

The subclause header is informational only, and should not be used as the target of a "this" statement.

Suggested Remedy

This table ==> The wmanIfBsProvisionedSfTable¶¶And, similar changes in all subclauses that start with "This table".

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Editor to substitute "the [table name] table ..." for all instances of "this table ..." in clause 13.

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Editor to substitute "the [table name] table ..." for all instances of "this table ..." in clause 13.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **058**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **12**

Starting Line # **21**

Fig/Table#

Section **13.1.1.3**

DVJ52(page=12,line=21)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfBsCps Structure ==> wmanIfBsCps structure

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

wmanIfBsCps Structure ==> wmanIfBsCps structure

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

wmanIfBsCps Structure ==> wmanIfBsCps structure

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **059**Comment submitted by: **Mika****Kasslin**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **12** Starting Line # **56-5** Fig/Table# Section **1.1**

This sentence doesn't make any sense when incorporated into the base standard. Should be reformatted to be sensible as a part of the amended standard.

Suggested Remedy

Reformat sentence so that it makes sense as a part of the modified section 1.1.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

This is the standard convention for amendment to standards in IEEE.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Rejected****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

This is the standard convention for amendment to standards in IEEE.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 4

Group's Action Items**Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions **k) done****Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **060**Comment submitted by: **Mika****Kasslin**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **13** Starting Line # **1** Fig/Table# Section **1.2**

This sentence doesn't make any sense when incorporated into the base standard. Should be reformatted to be sensible as a part of the amended standard.

Suggested Remedy

Reformat sentence so that it makes sense as a part of the modified section 1.2.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

This is the standard convention for amendment to standards in IEEE.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Rejected****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

This is the standard convention for amendment to standards in IEEE.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 4

Group's Action Items**Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions **k) done****Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **061**

Comment submitted by: [Manikantan](#)

[Srinivasan](#)

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type Editorial	Starting Page # 13	Starting Line # 41	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	--------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------	---------

[Typo - "shows the structure of wmanIfBsPkm subtree"](#)

Suggested Remedy

[shows the structure of wmanIfBsPkmObjects subtree](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

[shows the structure of wmanIfBsPkmObjects subtree](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

[shows the structure of wmanIfBsPkmObjects subtree](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **062**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **13** Starting Line # **53** Fig/Table# **5** Section
caption can be reworded as "wmanlfBsPkmObjects Structure"

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by
Change the title of "Figure 5 -- wmanlfBsPkm Structure" to "Figure 5 -- wmanlfBsPkmObjects Structure"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted**
Change the title of "Figure 5 -- wmanlfBsPkm Structure" to "Figure 5 -- wmanlfBsPkmObjects Structure"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **063**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

"wmanIfBsPkmBaselineTable" to be reworted as "wmanIfBsPkmBaseTable" - to synchorize with line 47	Editorial	13	55		
--	-----------	----	----	--	--

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by
---------------------	-----------------	-------------------

"wmanIfBsPkmBaselineTable" to be reworted as "wmanIfBsPkmBaseTable" - to synchorize with line 47	Accepted	
--	-----------------	--

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group	Decision of Group:
---------------------	--------------------

"wmanIfBsPkmBaselineTable" to be reworted as "wmanIfBsPkmBaseTable" - to synchorize with line 47	Accepted
--	-----------------

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions
----------------	------------------

k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **064**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **065**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **13**

Starting Line # **58**

Fig/Table#

Section **13.1.2.4.3**

DVJ53(page=13,line=58)

Nonstandard wording

Suggested Remedy

section ==> subclause (here and throughout)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

section ==> subclause (here and throughout)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

section ==> subclause (here and throughout)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **066**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

"wmanIfBsPkmAuthTable" to be reworded as "wmanIfBsSsPkmAuthTable" - to synchorize with line 48.	Editorial	13	61		
---	-----------	----	----	--	--

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by
---------------------	-----------------	-------------------

"wmanIfBsPkmAuthTable" to be reworded as "wmanIfBsSsPkmAuthTable" - to synchorize with line 48.	Accepted	
---	----------	--

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group	Decision of Group:
---------------------	--------------------

"wmanIfBsPkmAuthTable" to be reworded as "wmanIfBsSsPkmAuthTable" - to synchorize with line 48.	Accepted
---	----------

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions
----------------	------------------

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **067**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **14** Starting Line # **26** Fig/Table# **6** Section

"wmanIfBsPkmFailFailTrap" to be reworded as "wmanIfBsPkmFailTrap"

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Superceded**

Recommendation by

[See resolution of comment 97](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superceded**

[See resolution of comment 97](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **068**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **14**

Starting Line # **36**

Fig/Table#

Section

13.1.4

DVJ54(page=14,line=36)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfBsNotification Structure ==> wmanIfBsNotification structure

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted

Recommendation by

wmanIfBsNotification Structure ==> wmanIfBsNotification structure

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

wmanIfBsNotification Structure ==> wmanIfBsNotification structure

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **069**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **15**

Starting Line # **9**

Fig/Table#

Section

13.1.4.2.2

DVJ55(page=15,line=9)

Hard to read.

Suggested Remedy

Force keywords to be nonbreaking, particularly this word: `wmanIfBsHighRssiThreshold`

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Force keywords to be nonbreaking, particularly this word: `wmanIfBsHighRssiThreshold`

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Force keywords to be nonbreaking, particularly this word: `wmanIfBsHighRssiThreshold`

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

[This subclause has been removed as per comment #94](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **070**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Editorial	Starting Page #	15	Starting Line #	41	-	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------	-----------------	----	-----------------	----	---	------------	---------

"An event ... has disappeared ... above wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmRestoredThreshold" should this be "An event ... will disappear ... above wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmRestoredThreshold".

Suggested Remedy**Proposed Resolution** Recommendation: **Superceded**

Recommendation by

[See the resolution of comment 178](#)**Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Superceded**[See the resolution of comment 178](#)**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **071**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Editorial, Binding	16			13.1.5.2

since this section has multilevel bullet/numbering, there is an error. The second level numbering starts from 3 instead of 1. This needs to be fixed.

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation: Accepted-Modified	Recommendation by
The second level numbering should start from 1 instead of 3.		

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified
The second level numbering should start from 1 instead of 3.	

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **072**

Comment submitted by: Nick

Nikjoo

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **16** Starting Line # **2**Fig/Table# Section **6.3.2.1.3**

I think the following needs a bit more write up for clarification:

Page 16 Line 2:

b) The HT field is set to 1 and the EC field is set to 1.

Table 7a PHY channel report header fields

Page 14 line 42 "b) The EC field shall be set to 0, indicating no encryption."

Question: Does setting EC to 1 indicates "Encryption"? This could be confusing.

Suggested RemedyProposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

The comment is for 16e not 16f. Out of scope. Remand to 16e.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Rejected****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

The comment is for 16e not 16f. Out of scope. Remand to 16e.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 5

Group's Action Items**Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **073**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **16**

Starting Line # **9**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo - "." at the beginning of the sentence needs to be removed.

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Remove "." at the beginning of the sentence

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Remove "." at the beginning of the sentence

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **074**

Comment submitted by: Li

Li

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	16	Starting Line #	60	Fig/Table#	Section	13.1.5.3
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	----	-----------------	----	------------	---------	----------

In the current 16f draft 3, °∞Event log uses the wrap-around buffers to store events. When the buffer is full, the oldest entry will be removed to make room for the new entry. The wrap-around can be disabled by NMS to prevent faulty events from flooding the log buffer quickly.°± The implementation in the current draft may make the event log information un-intact, and the un-intact log may bring difficulty to diagnose abnormal cases, which make less value for the operator and engineer.

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution C802.16f-05/005.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted-Modified**Recommendation by**

[Change the text in section 13.1.5.3 as the following]

13.1.5.3 wmanIfBsEventLogTable

This is the Syslog table that is used to store BS local events. This table should reside in the non-volatile memory. The Event Log consists of the following features:

- o Event log uses the wrap-around buffers to store events. When the buffer is almost full, a TRAP may be sent to the NMS. When the buffer is full, the oldest entry will be removed to make room for the new entry. The wrap-around can be disabled by NMS to prevent faulty events from flooding the log buffer quickly.
- o The size of the buffers is configurable.
- o Events in the log have a lifespan that may be configurable.
- o The threshold of the residual buffer which triggers the TRAP may be configurable.
- o NMS can set the minimum severity fo the events that should be logged into the buffer.
- o Certain events can trigger notifications that shall be sent to NMS.
- o A pointer is provided to enable the access to the latest event.

The content of each entry should be retained after the power reset.

[Change the text in section 13.2.4.3 as the following]

13.2.4.3 wmanIfSsEventLogTable

This is the Syslog table that is used to store BS local events. This table should reside in the non-volatile memory. The Event Log consists of the following features:

- o Event log uses the wrap-around buffers to store events. When the buffer is almost full, a TRAP may be sent to the NMS. When the buffer is full, the oldest entry will be removed to make room for the new entry. The wrap-around can be disabled by NMS to prevent faulty events from flooding the log buffer quickly.
- o The size of the buffers is configurabale.
- o Events in the log have a lifespan that may be configurable.
- o The threshold of the residual buffer which triggers the TRAP may be configurable.
- o NMS can set the minimum severity fo the events that should be logged into the buffer.
- o Certain events can trigger notifications that shall be sent to NMS.

- o Certain events can trigger notifications that shall be sent to NIMS.
- o A pointer is provided to enable the access to the latest event.

The content of each entry should be retained after the power reset.

[Change the text in page 116, from line 52 to 62 as the following in Section 14]

```
WmanIfBsEventLogConfigEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIfBsEventLogEntryLimit INTEGER,
wmanIfBsEventLifeTimeLimit INTEGER,
wmanIfBsEventLogEntryLimitPerEventId INTEGER,
wmanIfBsEventLogSeverityThreshold WmanIfEventSeverity,
wmanIfBsEventLogWrapAroundBuffEnable TruthValue,
wmanIfBsEventLogLatestEvent Unsigned32,
wmanIfBsEventLogPersistenceSupported TruthValue,
wmanIfBsEventLogResidualBufferThreshold INTEGER}
```

[Add the following text at page 118, line 24 in Section 14]

```
wmanIfBsEventLogResidualBufferThreshold OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (1 .. 100)
MAX-ACCESS read-write
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
```

"This object defines the configurable parameter that describes the threshold ratio of the residual buffer to the total log buffer. If the ratio exceeds the threshold, system triggers the TRAP "

```
DEFVAL { 20 }
::= { wmanIfBsEventLogConfigEntry 8 }
```

[Add the following text at page 116, line 21 in Section 14]

```
wmanIfBsLogBufferExceedThresholdTrap NOTIFICATION-TYPE
OBJECTS {ifIndex,
wmanIfBsEventLogResidualBufferThreshold }
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
```

"This trap reports that the residual size of the log buffer is lower than the configured threshold."

```
::= { wmanIfBsTrapDefinitions 12 }
```

Add a trap control bit in wmanIfBsTrapControlRegister

[Change the text in page 182, from line 20 to 30 as the following in Section 14]

```
WmanIfSsEventLogConfigEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIfSsEventLogEntryLimit INTEGER,
wmanIfSsEventLifeTimeLimit INTEGER,
wmanIfSsEventLogEntryLimitPerEventId INTEGER,
wmanIfSsEventLogSeverityThreshold WmanIfEventSeverity,
wmanIfSsEventLogWrapAroundBuffEnable TruthValue,
wmanIfSsEventLogLatestEvent Unsigned32,
wmanIfSsEventLogPersistenceSupported TruthValue,
wmanIfSsEventLogResidualBufferThreshold INTEGER}
```

[Add the following text at page 183, line 56 in Section 14]

wmanIfSsEventLogResidualBufferThreshold OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (1 .. 100)

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This object defines the configurable parameter that describes the threshold ratio of the residual buffer to the total log buffer. If the ratio exceeds the threshold, system triggers the TRAP "

DEFVAL { 20 }

::= { wmanIfSsEventLogConfigEntry 8 }

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

[Change the text in section 13.1.5.3 as the following]

13.1.5.3 wmanIfBsEventLogTable

This is the Syslog table that is used to store BS local events. This table should reside in the non-volatile memory. The Event Log consists of the following features:

- o Event log uses the wrap-around buffers to store events. When the buffer is almost full, a TRAP may be sent to the NMS. When the buffer is full, the oldest entry will be removed to make room for the new entry. The wrap-around can be disabled by NMS to prevent faulty events from flooding the log buffer quickly.
- o The size of the buffers is configurable.
- o Events in the log have a lifespan that may be configurable.
- o The threshold of the residual buffer which triggers the TRAP may be configurable.
- o NMS can set the minimum severity fo the events that should be logged into the buffer.
- o Certain events can trigger notifications that shall be sent to NMS.
- o A pointer is provided to enable the access to the latest event.

The content of each entry should be retained after the power reset.

[Change the text in section 13.2.4.3 as the following]

13.2.4.3 wmanIfSsEventLogTable

This is the Syslog table that is used to store BS local events. This table should reside in the non-volatile memory. The Event Log consists of the following features:

- o Event log uses the wrap-around buffers to store events. When the buffer is almost full, a TRAP may be sent to the NMS. When the buffer is full, the oldest entry will be removed to make room for the new entry. The wrap-around can be disabled by NMS to prevent faulty events from flooding the log buffer quickly.
- o The size of the buffers is configurabale.
- o Events in the log have a lifespan that may be configurable.
- o The threshold of the residual buffer which triggers the TRAP may be configurable.
- o NMS can set the minimum severity fo the events that should be logged into the buffer.
- o Certain events can trigger notifications that shall be sent to NMS.
- o A pointer is provided to enable the access to the latest event.

The content of each entry should be retained after the power reset.

[Change the text in page 116, from line 52 to 62 as the following in Section 14]

```
WmanIfBsEventLogConfigEntry ::= SEQUENCE {  
wmanIfBsEventLogEntryLimit INTEGER,  
wmanIfBsEventLifeTimeLimit INTEGER,  
wmanIfBsEventLogEntryLimitPerEventId INTEGER,  
wmanIfBsEventLogSeverityThreshold WmanIfEventSeverity,  
wmanIfBsEventLogWrapAroundBuffEnable TruthValue,  
wmanIfBsEventLogLatestEvent Unsigned32,  
wmanIfBsEventLogPersistenceSupported TruthValue.
```

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **075**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **17**

Starting Line # **5**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo "configurabile" to be changed to "configurable"

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Typo "configurabile" to be changed to "configurable"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Typo "configurabile" to be changed to "configurable"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **076**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **077**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **17**

Starting Line # **43**

Fig/Table#

Section

13.1.6

DVJ56(page=17,line=43)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfBsPhy Structure ==> wmanIfBsPhy structure

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

wmanIfBsPhy Structure ==> wmanIfBsPhy structure

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

wmanIfBsPhy Structure ==> wmanIfBsPhy structure

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **078**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **17**

Starting Line # **54,**

Fig/Table#

Section

Periods "." to be added at the end.

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Periods "." to be added at the end to sentence ending line 54 and sentence ending 60.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Periods "." to be added at the end to sentence ending line 54 and sentence ending 60.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: IEEE P802.16f/D3

Ballot Number: 0000998

Comment Date

Comment # 079

Comment submitted by: Manikantan

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type Editorial

Starting Page # 18

Starting Line # 40

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo ", " to be replaced by "."

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted

Recommendation by

Typo ", " to be replaced by "."

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

Typo ", " to be replaced by "."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **080**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **18** Starting Line # **50,5** Fig/Table# Section
Periods "." to be added at the end.

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by
Periods "." to be added at the end to sentence ending line 50 and sentence ending 56.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Periods "." to be added at the end to sentence ending line 50 and sentence ending 56.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **081**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Editorial	Starting Page #	19	Starting Line #	30	Fig/Table#	Section
Typo - "contains SS managede" to be changed to "contains SS managed"								

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted** Recommendation by

Typo - "contains SS managede" to be changed to "contains SS managed"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Typo - "contains SS managede" to be changed to "contains SS managed"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **082**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**Starting Page # **19**Starting Line # **31**

Fig/Table#

Section **13.2.1**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsCps

“..that contains SS managede objects..” should be “..that contains SS managed objects..”
(but what does that mean?), or “..that contains Managed SS objects..” or “..that contains SS management objects..”?

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superceded**

Recommendation by

[See comment 81](#)**Reason for Recommendation**

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superceded**[See comment 81](#)**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **083**

Comment submitted by: [Joey](#)

[Chou](#)

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type Editorial	Starting Page # 19	Starting Line # 58	Fig/Table#	Section 13
Inconsistent name betwwn section 13 and ASN.1 text					

Suggested Remedy

Change
 13.2.1.3 wmanIfSsStatisticsCountersTable
 to
 13.2.1.3 wmanIfSsStatisticCounters

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Change
 13.2.1.3 wmanIfSsStatisticsCountersTable
 to
 13.2.1.3 wmanIfSsStatisticCounters

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

Change
 13.2.1.3 wmanIfSsStatisticsCountersTable
 to
 13.2.1.3 wmanIfSsStatisticCounters

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)

[Change it to 13.2.1.3 wmanIfSsStatisticCounter](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **084**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Editorial	Starting Page #	20	Starting Line #	4	Fig/Table#	Section	13.2.1.3.1
---------	------	-----------	-----------------	----	-----------------	---	------------	---------	------------

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsChannelMeasurementTable

This table contains channel measurement information for each SS. This table contains channel measurement information on the downlink signal sent to SS..

Suggested Remedy

Should be "This table contains channel measurement information on the downlink signal sent to SS."

Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: Accepted-Modified****Recommendation by**

Change

This table contains channel measurement information for each SS. This table contains channel measurement information on the downlink signal sent to SS..

to

This table contains downlink channel measurement information for each SS.

Change the description in wmanIfSsChannelMeasurementTable (P164, line 32)

from

"This table contains channel measurement information for each SS. BS retrieves the channel measurement information from REP-REQ/RSP messages. This table contains channel measurement information on the downlink signal sent to SS."

to

"This table contains downlink channel measurement information for each SS."

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change

This table contains channel measurement information for each SS. This table contains channel measurement information on the downlink signal sent to SS..

to

This table contains downlink channel measurement information for each SS.

Change the description in wmanIfSsChannelMeasurementTable (P164, line 32)

from

"This table contains channel measurement information for each SS. BS retrieves the channel measurement information from REP-REQ/RSP messages. This table contains channel measurement information on the downlink signal

channel measurement information on the downlink signal sent to SS."

to

"This table contains downlink channel measurement information for each SS."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **085**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **20**

Starting Line # **5**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo - ".." to be changed to ".".

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Typo - ".." to be changed to ".".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Typo - ".." to be changed to ".".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Superceded by comment #84, since this paragraph has been removed.

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **086**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **087**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **20**

Starting Line # **63**

Fig/Table#

Section **13.2.2.2**

DVJ57(page=20,line=63)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfSsNotification Structure ==> wmanIfSsNotification structure

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted

Recommendation by

wmanIfSsNotification Structure ==> wmanIfSsNotification structure

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

wmanIfSsNotification Structure ==> wmanIfSsNotification structure

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: [IEEE P802.16f/D3](#)Ballot Number: [0000998](#)

Comment Date

Comment # [088](#)Comment submitted by: [Pieter-Paul](#)[Giesberts](#)

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type [Editorial](#) Starting Page # [21](#) Starting Line # [13](#) Fig/Table# Section [13.2.3.2](#)[*Submitted by [Pieter-Paul Giesberts](#), [Jan Boer](#), and [Richard van Leeuwen](#)] [wmanIfSsTrapDefinitions](#)Description of [wmanIfSsNotificationObjectsTable](#) (shown in Figure 12) is missing.**Suggested Remedy**Proposed Resolution
[by comment 94](#)Recommendation: [Superceded](#)

Recommendation by

Reason for RecommendationResolution of Group
[by comment 94](#)Decision of Group: [Superceded](#)**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **089**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	21	Starting Line #	26	Fig/Table#	Section	13.2.3.2.3
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	----	-----------------	----	------------	---------	------------

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsDhcpSuccessTrap

Higher layer protocol (DHCP) status information in a link layer MIB is not appropriate.

Suggested Remedy

To be removed.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Delegate the editor to change DHCP related text in the draft to refer to "establish IP connectivity" in the network entry procedure.

Reason for Recommendation

This event is associated with "establish IP connectivity" in the network entry procedure. So, it is in the scope.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Delegate the editor to change DHCP related text in the draft to refer to "establish IP connectivity" in the network entry procedure.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Superseded by comment #94 This subclause has been removed.

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **090**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Tabl	Section
[no comment]						

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **091**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **21** Starting Line # **38** Fig/Table# Section

Should this line requires rewording as "This trap reports the SS event"

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Superceded**
by comment 94

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Superceded**
by comment 94

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **092**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **21**

Starting Line # **55**

Fig/Table#

Section

13.2.4

DVJ58(page=21,line=55)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfSsEventLog Structure ==> wmanIfSsEventLog Structure

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

wmanIfSsEventLog Structure ==> wmanIfSsEventLog Structure

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

wmanIfSsEventLog Structure ==> wmanIfSsEventLog Structure

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **093**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
Period "." to be added in the end	Editorial	21	6,61		

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by
Periods "." to be added at the end to sentence ending line 6 and sentence ending 61.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**
Periods "." to be added at the end to sentence ending line 6 and sentence ending 61.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **094**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	21	Starting Line #	36-3	Fig/Table#	Section	13.2.3.2.5
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	----	-----------------	------	------------	---------	------------

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsEventTrap

The definition of this trap ("This trap report the SS event") is either incomplete or completely incorrect.

Suggested Remedy

This object should either be deleted or be defined correctly. I guess this is meant for Vendor-specific events? If so, there is already a standard mechanism for Vendor-specific traps (by means of Vendor-specific Enterprise MIB definitions, and this should be removed from the 802.16 MIB.

Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: Accepted-Modified****Recommendation by**

In Clause 14

Change the description of wmanIfSsEventTrap to

"This trap is sent when an event is logged into the table wmanIfSsEventLogTable."

Change the description of wmanIfBsEventTrap to

"This trap is sent when an event is logged into the table wmanIfBsEventLogTable."

Remove subsections under 13.1.4.2 and 13.2.3.2.

Add the following text in 13.1.4.2

"This object defines all the traps reported by BS"

Add the following text in 13.2.3.2

"This object defines all the traps reported by SS"

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

In Clause 14

Change the description of wmanIfSsEventTrap to

"This trap is sent when an event is logged into the table wmanIfSsEventLogTable."

Change the description of wmanIfBsEventTrap to

"This trap is sent when an event is logged into the table wmanIfBsEventLogTable."

Remove subsections under 13.1.4.2 and 13.2.3.2.

Add the following text in 13.1.4.2

"This object defines all the traps reported by BS"

Add the following text in 13.2.3.2

add the following text in 10.2.2.12

"This object defines all the traps reported by SS"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **095**

Comment submitted by: Manikantan

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **22** Starting Line # **9** Fig/Table# Section

"This is the Syslog table that is used to store SS local events." can be reworded as "This table is used to store SS local events."

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by

Editor to substitute "This is the Syslog table that..." for all instances of "The wmanIfSsEventLogTable table ...".
Delete duplicate in the paragraph.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Editor to substitute "This is the Syslog table that..." for all instances of "The wmanIfSsEventLogTable table ...".
Delete duplicate in the paragraph.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **096**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **22** Starting Line # **13** Fig/Table# Section **13.2.4.3**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsEventLogTable

Event log uses the wrap-around buffers to store events. Needs clarification what is meant by ""buffers"" (plural!). the next sentence talks about "the buffer" (singular).

Suggested Remedy

Supposedly all "buffers" should be replaced by "buffer".

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change"buffers" to "buffer".

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change"buffers" to "buffer".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **097**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **22** Starting Line # **17** Fig/Table# Section

"configurabile" to be changed to "configurable"

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

"configurabile" to be changed to "configurable"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

"configurabile" to be changed to "configurable"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **098**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Editorial	22	18		13.2.4.3

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsEventLogTable

The sizes of the buffers is configurabile.

Suggested Remedy

Should be either: "The sizes of the buffers are configurable." or "The size of the buffers is configurable." or (presumably) "The size of the buffer is configurable."

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
Change "The sizes of the buffers is configurabile" to "The size of the buffer is configurable."

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change "The sizes of the buffers is configurabile" to "The size of the buffer is configurable."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes** **Editor's Actions** k) done**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **099**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **22**

Starting Line # **19**

Fig/Table#

Section **13.2.4.3**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsEventLogTable

NMS can set the minimum severity fo the events that should be logged into the buffer.

Suggested Remedy

Should be: NMS can set the minimum severity of the events that should be logged into the buffer.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Should be: NMS can set the minimum severity of the events that should be logged into the buffer.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Should be: NMS can set the minimum severity of the events that should be logged into the buffer.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **100**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **101**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **22**

Starting Line # **60**

Fig/Table#

Section

Period "." to be added in the end

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Period "." to be added in the end

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Period "." to be added in the end

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **102**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Editorial	Starting Page #	22	Starting Line #	8-10	Fig/Table#	Section	13.2.4.3
---------	------	-----------	-----------------	----	-----------------	------	------------	---------	----------

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsEventLogTable

Duplicate sentence: This is the Syslog table that is used to store SS local events. This table should reside in the non-volatile memory. This is the Syslog table that is used to store SS local events.

Suggested Remedy

One should be removed.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Superceded**

Recommendation by

By comment 95

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Superceded**

By comment 95

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **103**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **23**

Starting Line # **59**

Fig/Table#

Section

13.3.1

DVJ59(page=23,line=59)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfCmnPacketCs Structure ==> wmanIfCmnPacketCs structure

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

wmanIfCmnPacketCs Structure ==> wmanIfCmnPacketCs structure

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

wmanIfCmnPacketCs Structure ==> wmanIfCmnPacketCs structure

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **104**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **23** Starting Line # **5,25,** Fig/Table# Section
Period "." to be added in the end

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by
Periods "." to be added at the end to sentence ending line 5 and sentence ending 25 and 31.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**
Periods "." to be added at the end to sentence ending line 5 and sentence ending 25 and 31.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **105**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type Editorial	Starting Page # 24	Starting Line # 11	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	-----------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	------------	---------

"long with its identification" should this be "along with its identification"?

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Change "long with its identification" to "along with its identification".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change "long with its identification" to "along with its identification".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **106**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **24**

Starting Line # **26**

Fig/Table#

Section

13.3.1.2

DVJ60(page=24,line=26)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfCmnCps Structure ==> wmanIfCmnCps structure

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

wmanIfCmnCps Structure ==> wmanIfCmnCps structure

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

wmanIfCmnCps Structure ==> wmanIfCmnCps structure

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **107**

Comment submitted by: **Joey**

Chou

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **24**

Starting Line # **29**

Fig/Table#

Section **13**

Inconsistent name betwwn section 13 and ASN.1 text

Suggested Remedy

Change
13.3.2.1 wmanIfCmnServiceFlowTable
to
13.3.2.1 wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowTable

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Change
13.3.2.1 wmanIfCmnServiceFlowTable
to
13.3.2.1 wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowTable

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Change
13.3.2.1 wmanIfCmnServiceFlowTable
to
13.3.2.1 wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowTable

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **108**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **24**

Starting Line # **31**

Fig/Table#

Section

.. to be changed to "."

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

.. to be changed to "."

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

.. to be changed to "."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **109**

Comment submitted by: David

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **24**

Starting Line # **50**

Fig/Table#

Section

13.3.3

DVJ61(page=24,line=50)

Misleading capitalization

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfCmnPkmObjects Structure ==> wmanIfCmnPkmObjects structure

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted

Recommendation by

wmanIfCmnPkmObjects Structure ==> wmanIfCmnPkmObjects structure

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

wmanIfCmnPkmObjects Structure ==> wmanIfCmnPkmObjects structure

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **110**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **26** Starting Line # **13** Fig/Table# Section

NOTIFICATION-TYPE is misused throughout the MIB. The next-to-last sub-identifier of all notifications must be zero (cf. section 8.5 of [RFC2578]).

Suggested Remedy

Define `wmanIfNotifications` (`wmanIfMib.0`) to be the parent of all notifications defined by NOTIFICATION-TYPE.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

1. Insert below the line:

```
wmanIfBsTrapDefinitions OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { wmanIfBsNotification 2 }
```

the following text:

-- This object groups all NOTIFICATION-TYPE objects for BS.

-- It is defined following RFC2758 sections 8.5 and 8.6

-- for the compatibility with SNMPv1.

```
wmanIfBsTrapPrefix OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { wmanIfBsTrapDefinitions 0 }
```

Then move the following objects below `wmanIfBsTrapPrefix`:

```
wmanIfBsSsStatusNotificationTrap
wmanIfBsSsDynamicServiceFailTrap
wmanIfBsSsRssiStatusChangeTrap
wmanIfBsSsPkmFailTrap
wmanIfBsSsRegistrerTrap
wmanIfBsPowerStatusChangeTrap
wmanIfBsFanStatusTrap
wmanIfBsTemperatureChangeTrap
wmanIfBsEventTrap
```

2. Insert below the line:

```
wmanIfSsTrapDefinitions OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { wmanIfSsNotification 2 }
```

the following text:

-- This object groups all NOTIFICATION-TYPE objects for SS.

-- It is defined following RFC2758 sections 8.5 and 8.6

-- for the compatibility with SNMPv1.

```
wmanIfSsTrapPrefix OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { wmanIfSsTrapDefinitions 0 }
```

Then move the following objects below `wmanIfBsTrapPrefix`:

```
wmanIfSsTlvUnknownTrap
```

wmanIfSsTlvUnknownTrap
wmanIfSsDynamicServiceFailTrap
wmanIfSsDhcpSuccessTrap
wmanIfSsRssiStatusChangeTrap
wmanIfSsEventTrap

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

1. Insert below the line:

wmanIfBsTrapDefinitions OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { wmanIfBsNotification 2 }

the following text:

-- This object groups all NOTIFICATION-TYPE objects for BS.
-- It is defined following RFC2758 sections 8.5 and 8.6
-- for the compatibility with SNMPv1.

wmanIfBsTrapPrefix OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { wmanIfBsTrapDefinitions 0 }

Then move the following objects below wmanIfBsTrapPrefix:

wmanIfBsSsStatusNotificationTrap
wmanIfBsSsDynamicServiceFailTrap
wmanIfBsSsRssiStatusChangeTrap
wmanIfBsSsPkmFailTrap
wmanIfBsSsRegistrerTrap
wmanIfBsPowerStatusChangeTrap
wmanIfBsFanStatusTrap
wmanIfBsTemperatureChangeTrap
wmanIfBsEventTrap

2. Insert below the line:

wmanIfSsTrapDefinitions OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { wmanIfSsNotification 2 }

the following text:

-- This object groups all NOTIFICATION-TYPE objects for SS.
-- It is defined following RFC2758 sections 8.5 and 8.6
-- for the compatibility with SNMPv1.

wmanIfSsTrapPrefix OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { wmanIfSsTrapDefinitions 0 }

Then move the following objects below wmanIfBsTrapPrefix:

wmanIfSsTlvUnknownTrap
wmanIfSsDynamicServiceFailTrap
wmanIfSsDhcpSuccessTrap

wmanIfSsRssiStatusChangeTrap
wmanIfSsEventTrap

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **111**

Comment submitted by: [Stephen](#)

[Turner](#)

[Member](#)

[2005-04-27](#)

Comment Type [Technical, Binding](#)

Starting Page # **26**

Starting Line # **14**

Fig/Table#

Section

[BITS is a built-in type.](#)

Suggested Remedy

[Delete import.](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: [Accepted-Modified](#)

Recommendation by

[Delete BITS from inport](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: [Accepted-Modified](#)

[Delete BITS from inport](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **112**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Non-binding	26	24		

DisplayString and TimeInterval are unused.

Suggested Remedy

Delete import.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Delete DisplayString and TimeInterval

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Delete DisplayString and TimeInterval

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **113**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **26** Starting Line # **29-3** Fig/Table# Section

OBJECT-GROUP and **MODULE-COMPLIANCE** are unused, and **NOTIFICATION-GROUP** is not even imported.

Suggested Remedy

Define **wmanIfConformance** (**wmanIfMib.2**). Define object groups under **wmanIfObjectGroups** (**wmanIfConformance.1**) , notification groups under **wmanIfNotificationGroups** (**wmanIfConformance.2**), and module compliance statements under **wmanIfCompliances** (**wmanIfConformance.3**) as described in [RFC2580].

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by

[See the resolution of comment 303](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

[See the resolution of comment 303](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **114**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **27**

Starting Line # **23**

Fig/Table#

Section

In the textual convention for "WmanIfSfSchedulingType" {5} is missed. Is this intentional?

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Add "reserved {5}" to WmanIfSfSchedulingType

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Add "reserved {5}" to WmanIfSfSchedulingType

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **115**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type Editorial	Starting Page # 27	Starting Line # 24	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	-----------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	------------	---------

Misspelled enumeration label.

Suggested Remedy

Correct "unsolicited" as "unsolicited".

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Correct "unsolicited" as "unsolicited".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Correct "unsolicited" as "unsolicited".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **116**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Editorial, Binding	27	26		

Misspelled textual convention.

Suggested Remedy

Correct "WmanIfPhsRulVerifyType" as "WmanIfPhsRuleVerifyType".

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
 Change "WmanIfPhsRulVerifyType" to "WmanIfPhsRuleVerify", and in the following instances
 P27, line 26.
 P46. line 51
 P54, line 65
 P218, line 49,
 P220, line 3

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**
 Change "WmanIfPhsRulVerifyType" to "WmanIfPhsRuleVerify", and in the following instances
 P27, line 26.
 P46. line 51
 P54, line 65
 P218, line 49,
 P220, line 3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **117**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **27**

Starting Line # **38**

Fig/Table#

Section

13.3.3

DVJ62(page=27,line=38)

Incorrect terminology

Suggested Remedy

Section ==> Subclause Here and throughout the MIB code.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Section ==> Subclause Here and throughout the MIB code.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Section ==> Subclause Here and throughout the MIB code.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **118**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

Here and throughout the MIB, the value zero has been used for enumerations. Per section 7.1.1 of [RFC2578], it is recommended that enumerations start at one.

Suggested Remedy

Start enumerations at one.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

The enumerations use the "0" constants as defined in the 802.16 standard. RFC2578 actually allows any value of Integer32.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Rejected****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

The enumerations use the "0" constants as defined in the 802.16 standard. RFC2578 actually allows any value of Integer32.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 3

Group's Action Items**Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **119**

Comment submitted by: **Lei**

Wang

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Non-binding** Starting Page # **28** Starting Line # **59** Fig/Table# Section
802.16 2004 does not specify the procedures of handling noCs convergence sublayer. Why do we need this one in the MIB?

Suggested Remedy

remover noCs (0) from mib.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

11.13.19.1 in 802.16-2004 provides for noCs as an outcome in service flow encoding.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

11.13.19.1 in 802.16-2004 provides for noCs as an outcome in service flow encoding.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 4

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **120**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment **Type** **Editorial, Binding** **Starting Page #** **28** **Starting Line #** **56-5** **Fig/Table#** **Section**

"Version number WmanIfCsSpecificationType defines the types of convergence sublayer." to be changed to "WmanIfCsSpecification defines the types of convergence sublayer."

Suggested Remedy**Proposed Resolution** **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Change "Version number WmanIfCsSpecificationType defines the types of convergence sublayer." to "WmanIfCsSpecification defines the types of convergence sublayer."

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change "Version number WmanIfCsSpecificationType defines the types of convergence sublayer." to "WmanIfCsSpecification defines the types of convergence sublayer."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes** **Editor's Actions** k) done**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **121**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **29** Starting Line # **12**

Fig/Table#

Section

Hyphens are not permitted in enumeration labels (cf. section 7.1.1 of [RFC2578]).

Suggested Remedy

[Remove hyphens.](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superseded**

Recommendation by

[See resolution of comment 343](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superseded**

[See resolution of comment 343](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **122**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

Reserved has been defined for WmanIfDataAuthAlgId and not in the other conventions. Any specific reason? or is it to be removed?	Editorial	29	46		
--	-----------	----	----	--	--

Suggested Remedy**Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

No specific text provided.

'reserved' is a valid response for many tables in the 802.16-2004 standard, and is reflected in many locations in the wmanIfMib.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Rejected****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

No specific text provided.

'reserved' is a valid response for many tables in the 802.16-2004 standard, and is reflected in many locations in the wmanIfMib.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 4

Group's Action Items**Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **123**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding** Starting Page # **31** Starting Line # **14** Fig/Table# Section
"typeshows" to be changed to "type shows"

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by
Change "typeshows" to "type shows"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**
Change "typeshows" to "type shows"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **124**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **36**

Starting Line # **52**

Fig/Table#

Section

Table index should include ifIndex.

Suggested Remedy

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

For: 2

Against: 0

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **125**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **36**

Starting Line # **60**

Fig/Table#

Section

Syntax should be WmanIfSfState.

Suggested Remedy

Correct syntax.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change wmanIfProvisionedSfEntry sequence
from
wmanIfBsState Integer
to
wmanIfBsState WmanIfSfState

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change wmanIfProvisionedSfEntry sequence
from
wmanIfBsState Integer
to
wmanIfBsState WmanIfSfState

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **126**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

Typo - "An attribute indiating the service flow is downstream or upstream."	Editorial, Binding	37	19-2		
---	--------------------	----	------	--	--

Suggested Remedy

An attribute indicating that the service flow is downstream or upstream.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "An attribute indiating the service flow is downstream or upstream." to "An attribute indicating that the service flow is downstream or upstream".

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "An attribute indiating the service flow is downstream or upstream." to "An attribute indicating that the service flow is downstream or upstream".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **127**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **128**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment **Type** **Editorial, Binding** **Starting Page #** **39** **Starting Line #** **30** **Fig/Table#** **Section**

delete operation to multiple is guaranteed to be treated - looks like we are missing something, should this be "delete operation to multiple service flows is guaranteed to be treated"

Suggested Remedy**Proposed Resolution** **Recommendation:** **Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Change "delete operation to multiple is guaranteed to be treated ... " to "delete operation to multiple service flows is guaranteed to be treated ... ".

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group** **Decision of Group:** **Accepted-Modified**

Change "delete operation to multiple is guaranteed to be treated ... " to "delete operation to multiple service flows is guaranteed to be treated ... ".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes** **Editor's Actions** k) done**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **129**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **39**

Starting Line # **60**

Fig/Table#

Section

Table index should include ifIndex.

Suggested Remedy

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **130**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

"This value of this parameter specifies the size of an ARQ block." can be reworded as "The value of this parameter specifies the size of an ARQ block."	Editorial, Binding	44	49-5		
---	---------------------------	-----------	-------------	--	--

Suggested Remedy**Proposed Resolution** **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Change "This value of this parameter specifies the size of an ARQ block." to "The value of this parameter specifies the size of an ARQ block."

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group** **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change "This value of this parameter specifies the size of an ARQ block." to "The value of this parameter specifies the size of an ARQ block."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes** **Editor's Actions** k) done**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **131**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **46**

Starting Line # **13**

Fig/Table#

Section

Table index should include ifIndex.

Suggested Remedy

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **132**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding** Starting Page # **48** Starting Line # **43**

Fig/Table#

Section

is not present n a classifier, to be changed to "is not present in a classifier,"

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by

Change "is not present n a classifier" to "is not present in a classifier,".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "is not present n a classifier" to "is not present in a classifier,".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **133**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
"destination" to be changed to "source"	Editorial, Binding	51	43		

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by
Change "destination" to "source".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**
Change "destination" to "source".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **134**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding** Starting Page # **52** Starting Line # **64**

Fig/Table#

Section

"wmanIfBsClassifierRulePriLow" to be changed to "wmanIfBsClassifierRuleUserPriLow"

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by

Change "wmanIfBsClassifierRulePriLow" to "wmanIfBsClassifierRuleUserPriLow"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "wmanIfBsClassifierRulePriLow" to "wmanIfBsClassifierRuleUserPriLow"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **135**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding** Starting Page # **52** Starting Line # **65**

Fig/Table#

Section

wmanIfBsClassifierRulePriHigh to be changed to "wmanIfBsClassifierRuleUserPriHigh"

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by

Change "wmanIfBsClassifierRulePriHigh" to "wmanIfBsClassifierRuleUserPriHigh".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "wmanIfBsClassifierRulePriHigh" to "wmanIfBsClassifierRuleUserPriHigh".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **136**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding** Starting Page # **53** Starting Line # **22**

Fig/Table#

Section

wmanIfBsClassifierRulePriLow to be changed to "wmanIfBsClassifierRuleUserPriLow"

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by

Change "wmanIfBsClassifierRulePriLow" to "wmanIfBsClassifierRuleUserPriLow"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "wmanIfBsClassifierRulePriLow" to "wmanIfBsClassifierRuleUserPriLow"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **137**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding** Starting Page # **53** Starting Line # **23**

Fig/Table#

Section

wmanIfBsClassifierRulePriHigh to be changed to "wmanIfBsClassifierRuleUserPriHigh"

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by

Change "wmanIfBsClassifierRulePriHigh" to "wmanIfBsClassifierRuleUserPriHigh".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "wmanIfBsClassifierRulePriHigh" to "wmanIfBsClassifierRuleUserPriHigh".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **138**Comment submitted by: **Lei****Wang**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

How does the MIB represent optional procedures? In the case, support for PHS is optional. What value should the wmanIfBsClassifierRulePhsSize take if the SS or the BS do not support PHS?	Technical, Non-binding	54	5		
--	------------------------	----	---	--	--

Suggested Remedy

need clarification

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Add all PHS objects (e.g. wmanIfBsClassifierRulePhsSize) to the optional group in the conformance statements.

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Add all PHS objects (e.g. wmanIfBsClassifierRulePhsSize) to the optional group in the conformance statements.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** k) done**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **139**

Comment submitted by: **David**

James

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **54**

Starting Line # **39**

Fig/Table#

Section **13.3.3**

DVJ63(page=54,line=39)

Bad English.

Suggested Remedy

first byte ==> the first byte second byte ==> the second byte (x+1) byte ==> the (x+1) byte

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

- Change "first byte" to "the first byte"
- Change "second byte" to "the second byte"
- Change "(x+1) byte" to "the (x+1) byte"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

- Change "first byte" to "the first byte"
- Change "second byte" to "the second byte"
- Change "(x+1) byte" to "the (x+1) byte"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **140**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **55**

Starting Line # **62**

Fig/Table#

Section

Table index should include ifIndex.

Suggested Remedy

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **141**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding** Starting Page # **56** Starting Line # **37** Fig/Table# Section

"When SET this attribute to resetCounter" can be changed to "When this attribute is SET to resetCounter"

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by
Change "When SET this attribute to resetCounter" to "When this attribute is SET to resetCounter"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**
Change "When SET this attribute to resetCounter" to "When this attribute is SET to resetCounter"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **142**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan****Member****2005-04-27****Comment** **Type** **Technical, Non-binding** **Starting Page #** **56** **Starting Line #** **48** **Fig/Table#** **Section**

Shouldn't the MAX-ACCESS be "read-only" - Typically when a reset occurs, the system updates the timestamp value, and a NMS read later can determine when the reset occurred.

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation:** **Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
Change the MAX-ACCESS from "read-write" to "read-only"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group:** **Accepted-Modified**
Change the MAX-ACCESS from "read-write" to "read-only"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **143**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type Technical, Binding	Starting Page # 57	Starting Line # 65	Fig/Table#	Section
MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.					

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
Change MAX-ACCESS of wmanBsSsMacAddress to not-accessible

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change MAX-ACCESS of wmanBsSsMacAddress to not-accessible

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **144**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **64**

Starting Line # **41**

Fig/Table#

Section

RowStatus is inapplicable for this table.

Suggested Remedy

[Remove column.](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superceded**

Recommendation by

[Superceded by comment 326L](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superceded**

[Superceded by comment 326L](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **145**

Comment submitted by: David

Castelow

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type Editorial	Starting Page # 65	Starting Line # 1	Fig/Table#	Section 14
---------	-----------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	------------	-------------------

In wmanIfBsUcdTransition, reference to Downlink_Burst_Profiles should be to Uplink_Burst_Profiles.
 In wmanIfBsDcdTransition, reference to Uplink_Burst_Profiles should be to Downlink_Burst_Profiles.
 Should these have '_'s in the descriptions?

Suggested Remedy

Page 65, line 10

~~Uplink~~Downlink_Burst_Profiles defined in that UCD message."

Page 65, line 23

issuing a DL-MAP message referring to ~~Downlink~~Uplink_Burst_Profiles**Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Page 65, line 10

~~Uplink~~Downlink_Burst_Profiles defined in that UCD message."

Page 65, line 23

issuing a DL-MAP message referring to ~~Downlink~~Uplink_Burst_Profiles**Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Page 65, line 10

~~Uplink~~Downlink_Burst_Profiles defined in that UCD message."

Page 65, line 23

issuing a DL-MAP message referring to ~~Downlink~~Uplink_Burst_Profiles**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **146**

Comment submitted by: David

Castelow

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	65	Starting Line #	7	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	----	-----------------	---	------------	---------

In descriptions of wmanIfBsUcdTransition, use of "repeating", but surely it is the time after transmitting the UCD for the first time, not after a repeat.

Suggested Remedy

Page 65, line 7

The time the BS shall wait after ~~repeating~~transmitting a UCD message with an incremented Configuration Change Count before ...

Page 65, line 21

The time the BS shall wait after ~~repeating~~transmitting a DCD message with an incremented Configuration Change Count before ...

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted****Recommendation by**

Page 65, line 7

The time the BS shall wait after ~~repeating~~transmitting a UCD message with an incremented Configuration Change Count before ...

Page 65, line 21

The time the BS shall wait after ~~repeating~~transmitting a DCD message with an incremented Configuration Change Count before ...

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted**

Page 65, line 7

The time the BS shall wait after ~~repeating~~transmitting a UCD message with an incremented Configuration Change Count before ...

Page 65, line 21

The time the BS shall wait after ~~repeating~~transmitting a DCD message with an incremented Configuration Change Count before ...

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **147**

Comment submitted by: [David](#)

[Castelow](#)

[Member](#)

[2005-04-27](#)

Comment Type [Technical, Non-binding](#)

Starting Page # [65](#)

Starting Line # [28](#)

Fig/Table#

Section [14](#)

[No units specified for wmanIfBsMaxMapPending.](#)

[Actually, as in the base standard the only reference to "Max Map Pending" is in Table 342, I suspect it is unnecessary and can be deleted.](#)

Suggested Remedy

[Add units for wmanIfBsMaxMapPending and provide better description of its use. Or delete page 65, lines 28-37.](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

[Remove wmanIfBsMaxMapPending object in page 65, lines 28-37.](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

[Remove wmanIfBsMaxMapPending object in page 65, lines 28-37.](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **148**Comment submitted by: **Joey****Chou**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **65** Starting Line # **50** Fig/Table# Section **13**
 wmanIfBsClkCmpInterval should have access right read-write.

Suggested Remedy

Change

wmanIfBsClkCmpInterval OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (50..50)

UNITS "milliseconds"

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Time between the clock compare measurements used for the generation of CLK-CMP messages."

::= { wmanIfBsConfigurationEntry 7 }

to

wmanIfBsClkCmpInterval OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (50..50)

UNITS "milliseconds"

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Time between the clock compare measurements used for the generation of CLK-CMP messages."

::= { wmanIfBsConfigurationEntry 7 }

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

remove wmanIfBsClkCmpInterval.

Reason for Recommendation

The object has a fixed value, and is not configurable.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

remove wmanIfBsClkCmpInterval.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes**

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **149**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding**

Starting Page # **66**

Starting Line # **42**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo "an SS,and receiving"

Suggested Remedy

"an SS, and receiving"

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "an SS,and receiving" to "an SS, and receiving"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "an SS,and receiving" to "an SS, and receiving"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **150**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **67**

Starting Line # **26**

Fig/Table#

Section

"quality is good enough"

Suggested Remedy

"quality is good"

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

That is what's been described in Table 342 of the 802.16-2004 document.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

That is what's been described in Table 342 of the 802.16-2004 document.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 3

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: IEEE P802.16f/D3

Ballot Number: 0000998

Comment Date

Comment # 151

Comment submitted by: Manikantan

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type Editorial

Starting Page # 67

Starting Line # 38

Fig/Table#

Section

"quality is not good enough"

Suggested Remedy

"quality is not good"

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Rejected

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

That is what's been described in Table 342 of the 802.16-2004 document.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Rejected

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

That is what's been described in Table 342 of the 802.16-2004 document.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 3

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **152**

Comment submitted by: [Stephen](#)

[Turner](#)

[Member](#)

[2005-04-27](#)

Comment Type [Technical, Binding](#)

Starting Page # [67](#)

Starting Line # [59](#)

Fig/Table#

Section

[This table should be combined with the previous.](#)

Suggested Remedy

[Combine tables.](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

[Move all objects from wmanIfBsConfigExtTable to wmanIfBsConfigurationTable.](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

[Move all objects from wmanIfBsConfigExtTable to wmanIfBsConfigurationTable.](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **153**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**
RowStatus is inapplicable for this table.

Starting Page # **68**

Starting Line # **37**

Fig/Table#

Section

Suggested Remedy
[Remove column.](#)

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Superceded**
[Superceded by comment 326L](#)

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Superceded**
[Superceded by comment 326L](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **154**

Comment submitted by: Joel

Demarty

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	68	Starting Line #	65	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	----	-----------------	----	------------	---------

The table wmanIfBsConfigExtTable requires the BS to implement specific algorithms which are not part of the standart and should be vendor specific:

- wmanIfBsCfgExtAasChanFbckReqFreq requires the BS to transmit an AAS-FBCK-REQ message at a fixed periodic interval with a fixed setting (wmanIfBsCfgExtAasChanFbckReqResolution)
- wmanIfBsCfgExtAasBeamSelectFreq requires the SS to transmit a AAS-BEAM-SELECT message at a fixed periodic interval and with fixed setting (wmanIfBsCfgExtAasBeamReqResolution , wmanIfBsCfgExtAasNumOptDiversityZones) although these objects are only known by the BS!

These 5 objects should be removed.

Suggested Remedy

[delete the following objects:

wmanIfBsCfgExtAasChanFbckReqFreq wmanIfBsCfgExtAasBeamSelectFreq wmanIfBsCfgExtAasChanFbckReqResolution
wmanIfBsCfgExtAasBeamReqResolution wmanIfBsCfgExtAasNumOptDiversityZones]

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by

Move these objects to optional group.

Reason for Recommendation

These are described in 6.3.2.3.40, 41, and 43 , and 6.3.7.6 of the 802.16-2004 standard.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Move these objects to optional group.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

These are described in 6.3.2.3.40, 41, and 43, and 6.3.7.6 of the 802.16-2004 standard.

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **155**

Comment submitted by: David

Castelow

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	72	Starting Line #	5	Fig/Table#	Section	14
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	----	-----------------	---	------------	---------	----

In the description for wmanIfBsChannelMeasurementEntry, the instruction is to increment by 1 and wrap around when it reaches the limit. What is this limit? Is this just the length of the sequence (i.e. the size of the wmanIfBsChannelMeasurementTable)? If it is the 2^32, then is there an implication re storage of values?

The

Quote from description:

Each entry in the table contains RSSI and CINR signal quality measurement on signal received from the SS. The primary index is the ifIndex with ifType of propBWAp2Mp identifying the BS sector. wmanIfBsSsMacAddress identifies the SS from which the signal was received. wmanIfBsChannelDirection is the index to the direction of the channel. wmanIfBsHistogramIndex is the index to histogram samples. Since there is no time stamp in the table, wmanIfBsHistogramIndex should be increased monotonically, and warps around when it reaches the limit."

Suggested Remedy

Page 72, line 5.

Clarify the meaning of the "limit" in both wmanIfBsChannelMeasurementEntry and wmanIfBsChannelMeasurementTable.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified****Recommendation by**

Change the description from
 "Each entry in the table contains RSSI and CINR signal quality measurement on signal received from the SS. The primary index is the ifIndex with ifType of propBWAp2Mp identifying the BS sector. wmanIfBsSsMacAddress identifies the SS from which the signal was received. wmanIfBsChannelDirection is the index to the direction of the channel. wmanIfBsHistogramIndex is the index to histogram samples. Since there is no time stamp in the table, wmanIfBsHistogramIndex should be increased monotonically, and warps around when it reaches the limit."

to

Each entry in the table contains RSSI and CINR signal quality measurement on signal received from the SS. The primary index is the ifIndex with ifType of propBWAp2Mp identifying the BS sector. wmanIfBsSsMacAddress identifies

identifying the BS sector. wmanIfBsSsMacAddress identifies the SS from which the signal was received. wmanIfBsChannelDirection is the index to the direction of the channel. wmanIfBsHistogramIndex is the index to histogram samples. Since there is no time stamp in the table, wmanIfBsHistogramIndex should be increased monotonically, and warps around when it reaches the implementation specific limit."

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change the description from
 "Each entry in the table contains RSSI and CINR signal quality measurement on signal received from the SS. The primary index is the ifIndex with ifType of propBWAp2Mp identifying the BS sector. wmanIfBsSsMacAddress identifies the SS from which the signal was received. wmanIfBsChannelDirection is the index to the direction of the channel. wmanIfBsHistogramIndex is the index to histogram samples. Since there is no time stamp in the table, wmanIfBsHistogramIndex should be increased monotonically, and warps around when it reaches the limit."

to

Each entry in the table contains RSSI and CINR signal quality measurement on signal received from the SS. The primary index is the ifIndex with ifType of propBWAp2Mp identifying the BS sector. wmanIfBsSsMacAddress identifies the SS from which the signal was received. wmanIfBsChannelDirection is the index to the direction of the channel. wmanIfBsHistogramIndex is the index to histogram samples. Since there is no time stamp in the table, wmanIfBsHistogramIndex should be increased monotonically, and warps around when it reaches the implementation specific limit."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **156**

Comment submitted by: David

Castelow

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Non-binding	72	37		14

How does a wmanIfBsChannelDirection specify direction?
It appears to be an index into a table, not a direction.

Suggested Remedy

Modify name or provide better description as to how this index is used to map to direction.

For example, if referred to an AAS system, there may be a pointing vector defining the beam associated with the index. This ought to have a table. It looks like a hook into a vendor specific item.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

There is nothing to do with AAS. It has to do with the direction where the signal measurement is from when reporting signal quality.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Rejected****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

There is nothing to do with AAS. It has to do with the direction where the signal measurement is from when reporting signal quality.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 3

Group's Action Items**Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **157**

Comment submitted by: **David**

Castelow

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **72**

Starting Line # **42**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

Warp drive not functioning, captain.

Suggested Remedy

Page 72, line 42,
replace "warps" with "wraps"

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Page 72, line 42,
replace "warps" with "wraps"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Page 72, line 42,
replace "warps" with "wraps"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **158**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type Technical, Binding	Starting Page # 73	Starting Line # 4,15	Fig/Table#	Section
MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.					

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
Change the MAX-ACCESS of wmanIfBsChannelDirection to not-accessible

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**
Change the MAX-ACCESS of wmanIfBsChannelDirection to not-accessible

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **159**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding**

Starting Page # **74**

Starting Line # **4**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo - "channe**g**NotMeasured"

Suggested Remedy

channelNotMeasured

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "channe**g**NotMeasured" to channelNotMeasured

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "channe**g**NotMeasured" to channelNotMeasured

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **160**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **75** Starting Line # **7**

Fig/Table#

Section

The wmanIfBsSsFecCounterTable should be reinstated from D2.

Suggested Remedy

Reinstate table.

Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: Rejected****Recommendation by****Reason for Recommendation**

Refer to comment 203 and 204 of IEEE 80216-05/002r2

wmanIfBsSsFecCounterTable was removed

The group was not able to reconcile specific counters for the table. The counters were perceived to be implementation specific, and the group was unable to derive a standard set of counters. If the commentor would choose to provide a common set of counters that the group will agree upon, the task group will be happy to revisit reinstating the table.

Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: Rejected****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

Refer to comment 203 and 204 of IEEE 80216-05/002r2

wmanIfBsSsFecCounterTable was removed

The group was not able to reconcile specific counters for the table. The counters were perceived to be implementation specific, and the group was unable to derive a standard set of counters. If the commentor would choose to provide a common set of counters that the group will agree upon, the task group will be happy to revisit reinstating the table.

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** k) done**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **161**

Comment submitted by: Joel

Demarty

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Binding	75	8		

There are several issues with the MAC counter table that was added recently during Sanya meeting.

- the description of the table is rather ambiguous: "This table contains counters to keep track of the number of packets and octets that have been received or transmitted on the per service flow basis." which means the table is only valid for transport connections although the table also applies to management connections

- the object wmanIfBsSsMacPduCount counts transmitted and received PDUs.

For management connections, the counter does not give interesting statistics because the CID is bidirectional and mixes uplink and downlink traffic

- the object wmanIfBsSsMacPduCount counts "transmitted and received PDUs." It is not mentioned whether only valid PDUs are counted.

This table looks more like a debug table for troubleshooting bad connections or faulty implementation. Thus, the table should belong to a proprietary vendor MIB and not to the WMAN MIB.

Suggested Remedy

[remove wmanIfBsSsMacCounterTable]

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

remove wmanIfBsSsMacCounterTable

Reason for Recommendation

As with wmanIfBsSsFecCounterTable, the task group was unable to validate the objects and metrics associated with what is inherently internal implementation specific valuation.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

remove wmanIfBsSsMacCounterTable

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

As with wmanIfBsSsFecCounterTable, the task group was unable to validate the objects and metrics associated with what is inherently internal implementation specific valuation.

Group's Notes

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **162**Comment submitted by: **Ambroise****Popper**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Binding	75	8		

There are several issues with the MAC counter table that was added recently during Sanya meeting.

- the description of the table is rather ambiguous: "This table contains counters to keep track of the number of packets and octets that have been received or transmitted on the per service flow basis." which means the table is only valid for transport connections although the table also applies to management connections

- the object `wmanIfBsSsMacPduCount` counts transmitted and received PDUs.

For management connections, the counter does not give interesting statistics because the CID is bidirectional and mixes uplink and downlink traffic

- the object `wmanIfBsSsMacPduCount` counts "transmitted and received PDUs." It is not mentioned whether only valid PDUs are counted.

This table looks more like a debug table for troubleshooting bad connections or faulty implementation. Thus, the table should belong to a proprietary vendor MIB and not to the WMAN MIB.

Suggested Remedy

[remove `wmanIfBsSsMacCounterTable`]

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

[reference to comment 161](#)

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted**

[reference to comment 161](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns**

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **163**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

"indicates" repeated in	Editorial, Binding	83	56		
-------------------------	--------------------	----	----	--	--

"This object indicates indicates the negotiated level"

Suggested Remedy

This object indicates the negotiated level

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by

Change "This object indicates indicates the negotiated level" to "This object indicates the negotiated level"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "This object indicates indicates the negotiated level" to "This object indicates the negotiated level"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **164**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

"indicates" repeated in "object indicates indicates the level of BS"	Editorial, Binding	87	64		
--	---------------------------	-----------	-----------	--	--

Suggested Remedy

object indicates the level of BS

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Change "This object indicates indicates the negotiated level" to "This object indicates the negotiated level"

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change "This object indicates indicates the negotiated level" to "This object indicates the negotiated level"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** k) done**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **165**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
Typo "wmanIfBsCapabilitiesTable"	Editorial, Binding	89	2, 9		

Suggested Remedy[wmanIfBsBasicCapabilitiesTable](#)

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
Change "wmanIfBsCapabilitiesTable" to "wmanIfBsBasicCapabilitiesTable". (line 2 and 9 on page 89)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**
Change "wmanIfBsCapabilitiesTable" to "wmanIfBsBasicCapabilitiesTable". (line 2 and 9 on page 89)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes** **Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **166**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **89**

Starting Line # **48**

Fig/Table#

Section

RowStatus is inapplicable for this table.

Suggested Remedy

[Remove column.](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

[Superceded by comment 326L](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

[Superceded by comment 326L](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **167**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type Technical, Binding	Starting Page # 92	Starting Line # 26	Fig/Table#	Section
WmanIfCapBandwidthAllocSupportType - not defined in this document					

Suggested Remedy

Needs suitable updatation at all refered places

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted-Modified

Recommendation by

Change the description of wmanIfBsSsReqCapBandwidthAllocSupport from

"This field indicates the bandwidth allocation capabilities of the SS. The usage is defined by WmanIfCapBandwidthAllocSupportType."

to

"This field indicates the bandwidth allocation capabilities of the SS. The usage is defined by WmanIfBwAllocSupport."

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Change the description of wmanIfBsSsReqCapBandwidthAllocSupport from

"This field indicates the bandwidth allocation capabilities of the SS. The usage is defined by WmanIfCapBandwidthAllocSupportType."

to

"This field indicates the bandwidth allocation capabilities of the SS. The usage is defined by WmanIfBwAllocSupport."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **168**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
WmanIfCapPduConstructionType - not defined in this document	Technical, Binding	92	38		

Suggested Remedy

Needs suitable updatation at all refered places

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted-Modified

Recommendation by

Change the description of wmanIfBsSsReqCapPduConstruction from

"This field indicates the SS's capabilities for construction and transmission of MAC PDUs. The usage is defined by WmanIfCapPduConstructionType."

to

"This field indicates the SS's capabilities for construction and transmission of MAC PDUs. The usage is defined by WmanIfPduConstruction."

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Change the description of wmanIfBsSsReqCapPduConstruction from

"This field indicates the SS's capabilities for construction and transmission of MAC PDUs. The usage is defined by WmanIfCapPduConstructionType."

to

"This field indicates the SS's capabilities for construction and transmission of MAC PDUs. The usage is defined by WmanIfPduConstruction."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **169**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type Technical, Binding	Starting Page # 92	Starting Line # 38	Fig/Table#	Section
WmanIfCapTransitionGapType - not defined in this document					

Suggested Remedy

Needs suitable updatation at all refered places

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted-Modified

Recommendation by

Change the description of wmanIfBsSsReqCapTtgTransitionGap from

"This field indicates the SS's transition speed SSTTG for TDD and H-FDD SSs. The usage is defined by WmanIfCapSsTransitionGapType."

to

"This field indicates the SS's transition speed SSTTG for TDD and H-FDD SSs. The usage is defined by WmanIfSsTransitionGap."

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Change the description of wmanIfBsSsReqCapTtgTransitionGap from

"This field indicates the SS's transition speed SSTTG for TDD and H-FDD SSs. The usage is defined by WmanIfCapSsTransitionGapType."

to

"This field indicates the SS's transition speed SSTTG for TDD and H-FDD SSs. The usage is defined by WmanIfSsTransitionGap."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **170**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **93** Starting Line # **60** Fig/Table# Section

MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change
from
wmanIfBsSsActionsMacAddress OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX MacAddress
MAX-ACCESS read-create
to
wmanIfBsSsActionsMacAddress OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX MacAddress
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change
from
wmanIfBsSsActionsMacAddress OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX MacAddress
MAX-ACCESS read-create
to
wmanIfBsSsActionsMacAddress OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX MacAddress
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **171**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
"This object defined the action code"	Editorial, Binding	95	60		

Suggested Remedy

This object defines the action code

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "This object defined the action code" to
"This object defines the action code"**Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**Change "This object defined the action code" to
"This object defines the action code"**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **172**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Editorial, Binding	98	1, 5		

Typo "received? thereafter"

Suggested Remedy

received thereafter

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Change "received? thereafter" to "received thereafter" On line 2 and 5 of page 98

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Change "received? thereafter" to "received thereafter" On line 2 and 5 of page 98

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **173**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding**

Starting Page # **99**

Starting Line # **25**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo ", based on"

Suggested Remedy

based on

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Editor to make sure commas appropriately follow their precedence clause.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Editor to make sure commas appropriately follow their precedence clause.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **174**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding**

Starting Page # **99**

Starting Line # **26**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo ", and MUST"

Suggested Remedy

and MUST

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Editor to make sure commas appropriately follow their precedence clause.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Editor to make sure commas appropriately follow their precedence clause.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **175**

Comment submitted by: **Pieter-Paul**

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **104**

Starting Line # **49**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfBsPkmTekSAld

WiMAX should be removed. This is an IEEE document.

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Delete WiMAX

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Delete WiMAX

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **176**

Comment submitted by: **Roger**

Marks

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **104** Starting Line # **49**

Fig/Table#

Section

The expression "WiMAX" does not belong here.

Suggested Remedy

Replace "WiMAX" with "WirelessMAN".

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superceded**

Recommendation by

by comment 175

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superceded**

by comment 175

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **177**Comment submitted by: **Lei****Wang**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Binding	108	32		

We are defining the 802.16 air interface MIB, not a system MIB. So all those nodes for describing system attributes shall not be included in 16f standard.

In the wmanIfBsTrapControlRegister, the following values do not belong to 802.16 air interface:

wmanIfBsPowerStatusChange (5)

wmanIfBsFanStatusChange (6)

wmanIfBsTemperatureChange (7)

Suggested Remedy

remove the defintions for:

wmanIfBsPowerStatusChange (5)

wmanIfBsFanStatusChange (6)

wmanIfBsTemperatureChange (7)

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

See comment 005 for remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

See comment 005 for remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **178**Comment submitted by: **Lei****Wang**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Binding	109	16		

We are defining the 802.16 air interface MIB, not a system MIB. So all those nodes for describing system attributes shall not be included in 16f standard.

In the WmanIfBsThresholdConfigEntry MIB, the following do not belong to 802.16 air interface:

```
wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmThreshold Integer32,
wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmRestoredThreshold Integer32,
wmanIfBsTempHighAlarmThreshold Integer32,
manIfBsTempHighAlarmRestoredThreshold Integer32}
```

Suggested Remedy

remove:

```
wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmThreshold Integer32,
wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmRestoredThreshold Integer32,
wmanIfBsTempHighAlarmThreshold Integer32,
manIfBsTempHighAlarmRestoredThreshold Integer32}
```

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted****Recommendation by**[See comment 005 for remedy](#)**Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group** **Decision of Group: Accepted**[See comment 005 for remedy](#)**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes** **Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **179**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

	Editorial, Binding	110	19		
--	---------------------------	------------	-----------	--	--

Typos in "Low threshold for generating the temperature low alarm trap. The detection of temperature low alarm will be disabled until the temperature goes above wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmRestoredThreshold"

Suggested Remedy

"High threshold for generating the temperature high alarm trap. The detection of temperature high alarm will be disabled until the temperature goes below wmanIfBsTempHighAlarmRestoredThreshold"

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Superceded**
by comment 005

Recommendation by**Reason for Recommendation**

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Superceded**
by comment 005

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **180**Comment submitted by: **Lei****Wang**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Binding	114	12		

We are defining the 802.16 air interface MIB, not a system MIB. So all those nodes for describing system attributes shall not be included in 16f standard.

In the WmanIfBsNotificationObjectsEntry MIB, the following do not belong to 802.16 air interface:

```
wmanIfBsPowerStatus INTEGER,
wmanIfBsFanStatus INTEGER,
wmanIfBsTemperatureStatus INTEGER,
wmanIfBsPowerStatusInfo OCTET STRING,
wmanIfBsFanStatusInfo OCTET STRING,
wmanIfBsTemperatureStatusInfo OCTET STRING}
```

Suggested Remedy

Remove the following objects:

```
wmanIfBsPowerStatus INTEGER,
wmanIfBsFanStatus INTEGER,
wmanIfBsTemperatureStatus INTEGER,
wmanIfBsPowerStatusInfo OCTET STRING,
wmanIfBsFanStatusInfo OCTET STRING,
wmanIfBsTemperatureStatusInfo OCTET STRING}
```

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted**

Recommendation by

See comment 005 for remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted**

See comment 005 for remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **181**Comment submitted by: **Lei****Wang**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Binding	115	32		

We are defining the 802.16 air interface MIB, not a system MIB. So all those nodes for describing system attributes shall not be included in 16f standard.

The following objects do not belong to 802.16 air interface:

wmanIfBsPowerStatusChangeTrap;
wmanIfBsFanStatusTrap;
wmanIfBsTemperatureChangeTrap

Suggested Remedy

remove the following objects:

wmanIfBsPowerStatusChangeTrap;
wmanIfBsFanStatusTrap;
wmanIfBsTemperatureChangeTrap

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted**

Recommendation by

See comment 005 for remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted**

See comment 005 for remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **182**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding**

Starting Page # **115**

Starting Line # **6,**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo - "ststus in text"

Suggested Remedy

status in text

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "ststus in text" to "status in text" on line 6, 16, 26 of p115

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "ststus in text" to "status in text" on line 6, 16, 26 of p115

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **183**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **116** Starting Line # **25** Fig/Table# Section

Event log tables should not be indexed by ifIndex, and perhaps do not even belong in an interface MIB.

Suggested Remedy

Remove to a device MIB.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change the index of WmanIfBsEventLogConfigTable from ifIndex to wmanIfBsEventLogConfigIndex.
Add wmanIfBsEventLogConfigIndex in WmanIfBsEventLogConfigEntry

See also the resolution of comment 332L

Reason for Recommendation

After substantial discussions at this and previous sessions, the group elected to keep event log in its current dynamic reconfigurable format, the group also decided that event log should not be indexed by ifIndex.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**Change the index of WmanIfBsEventLogConfigTable from ifIndex to wmanIfBsEventLogConfigIndex.
Add wmanIfBsEventLogConfigIndex in WmanIfBsEventLogConfigEntry

See also the resolution of comment 332L

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

After substantial discussions at this and previous sessions, the group elected to keep event log in its current dynamic reconfigurable format, the group also decided that event log should not be indexed by ifIndex.

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **184**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding**

Starting Page # **118**

Starting Line # **47**

Fig/Table#

Section

"wmanIfBsEventId" needs correction

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfBsEventIdentifier

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "wmanIfBsEventId" to "wmanIfBsEventIdentifier"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "wmanIfBsEventId" to "wmanIfBsEventIdentifier"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **185**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Non-binding** Starting Page # **121** Starting Line # **24** Fig/Table# Section

The PHY tables should be removed to their own MIBs.

Suggested Remedy

Create different MIBs for OFDM and OFDMA.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Rejected

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

The group gave careful consideration to the proposal; however, elected not to make a substantial format revision at this time. No specific text is provided.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Rejected

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The group gave careful consideration to the proposal; however, elected not to make a substantial format revision at this time. No specific text is provided.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 4

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **186**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **122**

Starting Line # **5**

Fig/Table#

Section

RowStatus is inapplicable for this table.

Suggested Remedy

[Remove column.](#)

Proposed Resolution
[see comment 325L](#)

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group
[see comment 325L](#)

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **187**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**
RowStatus is inapplicable for this table.

Starting Page # **124** Starting Line # **56**

Fig/Table# Section

Suggested Remedy
[Remove column.](#)

Proposed Resolution
[see comment 325L](#)

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group
[see comment 325L](#)

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes
Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **188**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding** Starting Page # **127** Starting Line # **41**

Fig/Table#

Section

"wmanIfBsOfdmOfdmUcdBurstProfIndex" needs correction

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfBsOfdmUiucIndex

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "wmanIfBsOfdmOfdmUcdBurstProfIndex" to "wmanIfBsOfdmUiucIndex"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "wmanIfBsOfdmOfdmUcdBurstProfIndex" to "wmanIfBsOfdmUiucIndex"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **189**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **127** Starting Line # **56**

Fig/Table#

Section

MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change
from
wmanIfBsOfdmUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
MAX-ACCESS read-create
to
wmanIfBsOfdmUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change
from
wmanIfBsOfdmUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
MAX-ACCESS read-create
to
wmanIfBsOfdmUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **190**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
"wmanIfBsOfdmDcdBurstProfIndex"	Editorial, Binding	129	24		

"wmanIfBsOfdmDcdBurstProfIndex" needs correction

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfBsOfdmDiucIndex

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
Change "wmanIfBsOfdmOfdmDcdBurstProfIndex" to "wmanIfBsOfdmDiucIndex"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change "wmanIfBsOfdmOfdmDcdBurstProfIndex" to "wmanIfBsOfdmDiucIndex"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **191**

Comment submitted by: Joel

Demarty

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	129	Starting Line #	33	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	----	------------	---------

In the latest draft of the corrigendum document, IEEE P802.16-2004/Cor1/D2, mandatory and exit thresholds were removed from OFDM DL burst profiles, the spec should reflect this change

Suggested Remedy

[change text as follow]

```
WmanIfBsOfdmDcdBurstProfileEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIfBsOfdmDiucIndex INTEGER,
wmanIfBsOfdmDownlinkFrequency Unsigned32,
wmanIfBsOfdmDcdFecCodeType WmanIfOfdmFecCodeType,
wmanIfBsOfdmDiucMandatoryExitThresh INTEGER,
wmanIfBsOfdmDiucMinEntryThresh INTEGER,
wmanIfBsOfdmTcsEnable INTEGER,
wmanIfBsOfdmDcdBurstProfileRowStatus RowStatus}
```

~~wmanIfBsOfdmDiucMandatoryExitThresh OBJECT-TYPE~~

~~SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255)~~

~~MAX-ACCESS read-create~~

~~STATUS current~~

~~DESCRIPTION~~

~~"DIUC mandatory exit threshold: 0–63.75 dB CINR at or below where this DIUC can no longer be used and where this change to a more robust DIUC is required, in 0.25 dB units."~~

~~REFERENCE~~

~~"Table 362, in IEEE 802.16-2004"~~

~~::= { wmanIfBsOfdmDcdBurstProfileEntry 4 }~~

~~wmanIfBsOfdmDiucMinEntryThresh OBJECT-TYPE~~

~~SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255)~~

~~MAX-ACCESS read-create~~

~~STATUS current~~

~~DESCRIPTION~~

~~"DIUC minimum entry threshold: 0–63.75 dB The minimum CINR required to start using this DIUC when changing from a more robust DIUC is required, in 0.25 dB units."~~

~~REFERENCE~~

~~"Table 362, in IEEE 802.16-2004"~~

~~::= { wmanIfBsOfdmDcdBurstProfileEntry 5 }~~

```
WmanIfSsOfdmDcdBurstProfileEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
```

wmanIfSsOfdmDiucIndex INTEGER,
wmanIfSsOfdmDownlinkFrequency Unsigned32,
wmanIfSsOfdmDcdFecCodeType WmanIfOfdmFecCodeType,
~~wmanIfSsOfdmDiucMandatoryExitThresh INTEGER,
wmanIfSsOfdmDiucMinEntryThresh INTEGER,
wmanIfSsOfdmTcsEnable INTEGER}~~

~~wmanIfSsOfdmDiucMandatoryExitThresh OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255)
MAX-ACCESS read-only
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION~~

~~"DIUC mandatory exit threshold: 0–63.75 dB CINR at or below where this DIUC can no longer be used and where this change to a more robust DIUC is required, in 0.25 dB units."~~

~~REFERENCE~~

~~"Table 362, in IEEE 802.16-2004"~~

~~::= { wmanIfSsOfdmDcdBurstProfileEntry 4 }~~

~~wmanIfSsOfdmDiucMinEntryThresh OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255)~~

~~MAX-ACCESS read-only~~

~~STATUS current~~

~~DESCRIPTION~~

~~"DIUC minimum entry threshold: 0–63.75 dB The minimum CINR required to start using this DIUC when changing from a more robust DIUC is required, in 0.25 dB units."~~

~~REFERENCE~~

~~"Table 362, in IEEE 802.16-2004"~~

~~::= { wmanIfSsOfdmDcdBurstProfileEntry 5 }~~

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

It is out of scope.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

It is out of scope.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 1

Opposed: 4

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **192**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **129** Starting Line # **42**

Fig/Table#

Section

MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change
from
wmanIfBsOfdmDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (1..11)
MAX-ACCESS read-create
to
wmanIfBsOfdmDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (1..11)
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change
from
wmanIfBsOfdmDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (1..11)
MAX-ACCESS read-create
to
wmanIfBsOfdmDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (1..11)
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **193**

Comment submitted by: Jonathan

Labs

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Non-binding** Starting Page # **131** Starting Line # Fig/Table# Section **14**

It is conceivable that a BS will support more than one CP size for the OFDM PHY (and I believe for the OFDMA PHY also). The choice of CP sizes are 1/32, 1/16, 1/8, and 1/4. It seems to me that a MIB needs to be defined to configure the BS for a specific CP size (see for example the last paragraph in section 8.3.1.1.1 of 802.16-2004). I could not find any where a MIB for that.

Suggested Remedy

Introduce a MIB for configuring the CP size. I think it needs to go under the `wmanIfBsOfdmConfigurationTable`.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by

Change

```
WmanIfBsOfdmConfigurationEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    wmanIfBsOfdmMinReqRegionFullTxOpp    INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmMinFocusedCtTxOpp        INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmMaxRoundTripDelay        INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRangeAbortTimingThold    INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRangeAbortPowerThold     INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRangeAbortFreqThold      INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmDnlkRateId               INTEGER}
```

to

```
WmanIfBsOfdmConfigurationEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    wmanIfBsOfdmMinReqRegionFullTxOpp    INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmMinFocusedCtTxOpp        INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmMaxRoundTripDelay        INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRangeAbortTimingThold    INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRangeAbortPowerThold     INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRangeAbortFreqThold      INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmDnlkRateId               INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRatioG                   INTEGER}
```

and add

wmanIfBsOfdmRatioG OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER

```
{
    ratio1To4(0),
    ratio1To8(1),
    ratio1To16(2),
    ratio1To32(3)
}
```

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

REFERENCE

"Section 8.3.1.1.1 in IEEE 802.16-2004"

SECTION 8.3.1.1.1 IN IEEE 802.16-2004

DESCRIPTION

"The ratio of CP time to 'useful' time. Values are 1/4, 1/8, 1/16 or 1/32."

DEFVAL { ratio1To4 }

::= { wmanIfBsOfdmConfigurationTable 8 }

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Change

```
WmanIfBsOfdmConfigurationEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    wmanIfBsOfdmMinReqRegionFullTxOpp    INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmMinFocusedCtTxOpp        INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmMaxRoundTripDelay         INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRangeAbortTimingThold    INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRangeAbortPowerThold     INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRangeAbortFreqThold      INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmDnlkRateId                INTEGER}
```

to

```
WmanIfBsOfdmConfigurationEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    wmanIfBsOfdmMinReqRegionFullTxOpp    INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmMinFocusedCtTxOpp        INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmMaxRoundTripDelay         INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRangeAbortTimingThold    INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRangeAbortPowerThold     INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRangeAbortFreqThold      INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmDnlkRateId                INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsOfdmRatioG                    INTEGER}
```

and add

wmanIfBsOfdmRatioG OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER

```
{
    ratio1To4(0),
    ratio1To8(1),
    ratio1To16(2),
    ratio1To32(3)
}
```

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

REFERENCE

"Section 8.3.1.1.1 in IEEE 802.16-2004"

DESCRIPTION

"The ratio of CP time to 'useful' time. Values

are 1/4, 1/8, 1/16 or 1/32."
DEFVAL { ratio1To4 }
::= { wmanIfBsOfdmConfigurationTable 8 }

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **194**

Comment submitted by: Jonathan

Labs

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **131**

Starting Line # **20**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

Table references 276 and 279 in 802.16-2004 seem to be the wrong reference (they are in the OFDMA section of the baseline standard and these are MIB's for OFDM).

Suggested Remedy

Fix the references.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Delete the reference in wmanIfBsOfdmConfigurationTable.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Delete the reference in wmanIfBsOfdmConfigurationTable.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **195**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
WmanIfOfdmCapFftSizesType	Editorial, Binding	137	23		

[WmanIfOfdmCapFftSizesType](#) - not defined in this document

Suggested Remedy[Needs suitable updatation at all refered places](#)**Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

[Change "WmanIfOfdmCapFftSizesType" to "WmanIfOfdmFftSizes" in line 23, P137, and line 64, P68.](#)**Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**[Change "WmanIfOfdmCapFftSizesType" to "WmanIfOfdmFftSizes" in line 23, P137, and line 64, P68.](#)**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **196**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
WmanIfOfdmCapSsModulatorType	Editorial, Binding	137	35		

[WmanIfOfdmCapSsModulatorType](#) - not defined in this document

Suggested Remedy[Needs suitable updatation at all refered places](#)**Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

[Change "WmanIfOfdmCapSsModulatorType" to "WmanIfOfdmSsDeModType" in line 35, P137, and line 11, P139](#)**Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**[Change "WmanIfOfdmCapSsModulatorType" to "WmanIfOfdmSsDeModType" in line 35, P137, and line 11, P139](#)**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **197**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
WmanIfOfdmCapSsDemodulatorType	Editorial, Binding	137	47		

[WmanIfOfdmCapSsDemodulatorType](#) - not defined in this document

Suggested Remedy[Needs suitable updatation at all refered places](#)**Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

[Change "WmanIfOfdmCapSsDemodulatorType" to "WmanIfOfdmSsModType" in line 47, P137, and line 23, P139](#)**Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**[Change "WmanIfOfdmCapSsDemodulatorType" to "WmanIfOfdmSsModType" in line 47, P137, and line 23, P139](#)**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **198**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
WmanIfOfdmCapFocusedCtSupportType	Editorial, Binding	137	59		

[WmanIfOfdmCapFocusedCtSupportType](#) - not defined in this document

Suggested Remedy[Needs suitable updatation at all refered places](#)**Proposed Resolution** **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**[Change "WmanIfOfdmCapFocusedCtSupportType" to "WmanIfOfdmFocusedCt" in line 59, P137, and line 35, P139.](#)**Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**[Change "WmanIfOfdmCapFocusedCtSupportType" to "WmanIfOfdmFocusedCt" in line 59, P137, and line 35, P139.](#)**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **199**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
WmanIfOfdmCapTcSublayerSupportType	Editorial, Binding	138	5		

[WmanIfOfdmCapTcSublayerSupportType](#) - not defined in this document

Suggested Remedy[Needs suitable updation at all refered places](#)**Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

[Change "WmanIfOfdmCapTcSublayerSupportType to "WmanIfOfdmTcSublayer, in line 5, P138, and line 47, P139](#)**Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**[Change "WmanIfOfdmCapTcSublayerSupportType to "WmanIfOfdmTcSublayer, in line 5, P138, and line 47, P139](#)**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **200**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding**

Starting Page # **138**

Starting Line # **29**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo "wmanIfBsCapabilitiesTable"

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfBsBasicCapabilitiesTable

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "wmanIfBsCapabilitiesTable" to "wmanIfBsBasicCapabilitiesTable", in line 28, p12, line 2, P89, and line 10, P89, line 29, P138.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "wmanIfBsCapabilitiesTable" to "wmanIfBsBasicCapabilitiesTable", in line 28, p12, line 2, P89, and line 10, P89, line 29, P138.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **201**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**
RowStatus is inapplicable for this table.

Starting Page # **138** Starting Line # **53**

Fig/Table# Section

Suggested Remedy

[Remove column.](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

[See comment 326L](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

[See comment 326L](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **202**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **203**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected** Recommendation by
 No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Rejected**
 No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **204**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **140**

Starting Line # **65**

Fig/Table#

Section

RowStatus is inapplicable for this table.

Suggested Remedy

[Remove column.](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

[See comment 325L](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

[See comment 326L](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **205**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **206**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding**

Starting Page # **142**

Starting Line # **58**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo ", expressed"

Suggested Remedy

expressed

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Editor to make sure commas appropriately follow their precedence clause.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Editor to make sure commas appropriately follow their precedence clause.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **207**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page # 144	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------	------------	---------

[Pages 144- 147]

Descriptions such as "Frame unit" and "db Unit" have been used for describing several mib objects in the wmanIfBsOfdmaUplinkChannelTable

Suggested Remedy

Suitable alternate descriptions can be provided

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Editor shall create appropriate description for objects lacking verbose descriptions, beginning on page 144 line 21 through to page 147 line 13.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Editor shall create appropriate description for objects lacking verbose descriptions, beginning on page 144 line 21 through to page 147 line 13.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: [IEEE P802.16f/D3](#)

Ballot Number: [0000998](#)

Comment Date

Comment # [208](#)

Comment submitted by: [Stephen](#)

[Turner](#)

[Member](#)

[2005-04-27](#)

Comment Type [Technical, Binding](#)

Starting Page # [148](#)

Starting Line # [7](#)

Fig/Table#

Section

RowStatus is inapplicable for this table.

Suggested Remedy

[Remove column.](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: [Accepted](#)

Recommendation by

[See comment 325L](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: [Accepted](#)

[See comment 325L](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **209**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
Typo "wmanIfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfIndex"	Editorial, Binding	151	24		

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfBsOfdmaUiucIndex

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change

from: 'wmanIfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfIndex'

to: 'wmanIfBsOfdmaUiucIndex'.

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change

from: 'wmanIfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfIndex'

to: 'wmanIfBsOfdmaUiucIndex'.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **210**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **151** Starting Line # **30**

Fig/Table#

Section

MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Change
from
wmanIfBsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
MAX-ACCESS read-create
to
wmanIfBsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Change
from
wmanIfBsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
MAX-ACCESS read-create
to
wmanIfBsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **211**Comment submitted by: **Joey****Chou**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	151	Starting Line #	38	Fig/Table#	Section	14
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	----	------------	---------	----

The range of wmanfBsOfdmaUiucIndex (5..12) is probably a copy and past error from wmanfBsOfdmUiucIndex. It should be (1..10), as defined in table 288 in 802.16/2004.

wmanfBsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION

"The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"

::= { wmanfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

Suggested Remedy

Change

wmanfBsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION

"The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"

::= { wmanfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

to

wmanfNBsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (1 .. 10)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION

"The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"
 ::= { wmanIfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Change
 wmanIfBsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst
 profile in the UCD message, and is used along with ifIndex
 to identify an entry in the
 wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."
 REFERENCE
 "Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"
 ::= { wmanIfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

to
 wmanIfNBsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (1 .. 10)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst
 profile in the UCD message, and is used along with ifIndex
 to identify an entry in the
 wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."
 REFERENCE
 "Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"
 ::= { wmanIfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change
 wmanIfBsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst
 profile in the UCD message, and is used along with ifIndex
 to identify an entry in the
 wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"

::= { wmanIfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

to

wmanIfNBsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (1 .. 10)

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst profile in the UCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"

::= { wmanIfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **212**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
Typo - "wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfIndex"	Editorial, Binding	153	6		

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change
 from 'wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfIndex'
 to 'wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex'.

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change
 from 'wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfIndex'
 to 'wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex'.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **213**Comment submitted by: **Joey****Chou**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **153** Starting Line # **22** Fig/Table# Section **14**

The range of wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex (1..11) is probably a copy and past error from wmanIfBsOfdmDiucIndex. It should be (0..12), as defined in table 276 in 802.16/2004.

wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (1..11)

MAX-ACCESS read-create

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

Suggested Remedy

Change

wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (1..11)

MAX-ACCESS read-create

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

to

wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (0..12)

MAX-ACCESS read-create

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"
 ::= { wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted**

Recommendation by

Change
 wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (1..11)
 MAX-ACCESS read-create
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."
 REFERENCE
 "Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"
 ::= { wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

to
 wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (0..12)
 MAX-ACCESS read-create
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."
 REFERENCE
 "Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"
 ::= { wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted**

Change
 wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (1..11)
 MAX-ACCESS read-create
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

to

wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (0..12)

MAX-ACCESS read-create

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **214**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **153**

Starting Line # **23**

Fig/Table#

Section

MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

See comment 325L

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

See comment 325L

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **215**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **154** Starting Line # **50**

Fig/Table#

Section

This table makes no sense in this MIB. It duplicates functionality found in other standard MIBs.

Suggested Remedy

[Remove table.](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

[See the resolution in comment 332L](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

[See the resolution in comment 332L](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **216**

Comment submitted by: Joel

Demarty

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Non-binding	158	53		

In the latest draft of the corrigenda document IEEE P802.16-2004/Cor1/D2, the timer T16 has been removed because it is redundant with some parameters in the UCD

the spec should reflect this change.

Suggested Remedy

```
WmanIfSsConfigurationEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
wmanIfSsLostDLMapInterval INTEGER,
wmanIfSsLostULMapInterval INTEGER,
wmanIfSsContentionRangRetries INTEGER,
wmanIfSsRequestRetries INTEGER,
wmanIfSsRegRequestRetries INTEGER,
wmanIfSsTftpBackoffStart INTEGER,
wmanIfSsTftpBackoffEnd INTEGER,
wmanIfSsTftpRequestRetries INTEGER,
wmanIfSsTftpDownloadRetries INTEGER,
wmanIfSsTftpWait INTEGER,
wmanIfSsToDRetries INTEGER,
wmanIfSsToDRetryPeriod INTEGER,
wmanIfSsT1Timeout INTEGER,
wmanIfSsT2Timeout INTEGER,
wmanIfSsT3Timeout INTEGER,
wmanIfSsT4Timeout INTEGER,
wmanIfSsT6Timeout INTEGER,
wmanIfSsT12Timeout INTEGER,
wmanIfSsT14Timeout INTEGER,
wmanIfSsT16Timeout INTEGER,
wmanIfSsT18Timeout INTEGER,
wmanIfSsT19Timeout INTEGER,
wmanIfSsT20Timeout INTEGER,
wmanIfSsT21Timeout INTEGER,
wmanIfSsSBCRequestRetries INTEGER,
wmanIfSsTftpCpltRetries INTEGER,
wmanIfSsT26Timeout INTEGER,
wmanIfSsDLManagProcTime INTEGER,
wmanIfSsConfigurationRowStatus RowStatus}
```

~~wmanIfSsT16Timeout OBJECT-TYPE~~
~~SYNTAX INTEGER (10..65535)~~
~~UNITS "milliseconds"~~
~~MAX-ACCESS read-write~~
~~STATUS current~~

DESCRIPTION

"wait for bandwidth request grant in ms."
 ::= { wmanIfSsConfigurationEntry 20 }

Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: Rejected****Recommendation by****Reason for Recommendation**

It is out of scope.

Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: Rejected****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

It is out of scope.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 6

Group's Action Items**Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **217**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **159** Starting Line # **23** Fig/Table# Section
 RowStatus is inapplicable for this table.

Suggested Remedy

Remove column.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted-Modified Recommendation by

Remove wmanIfSsConfigurationRowStatus object from WmanIfSsConfigurationEntry, and wmanIfSsConfigurationRowStatus

wmanIfSsConfigurationRowStatus OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX RowStatus
 MAX-ACCESS read-write
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION

"This object is used to create a new row or modify or delete an existing row in this table.

If the implementator of this MIB has choosen not to implement 'dynamic assignment' of profiles, this object is not useful and should return noSuchName upon SNMP request."

```
::= { wmanIfSsConfigurationEntry 29 }
```

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Remove wmanIfSsConfigurationRowStatus object from WmanIfSsConfigurationEntry, and wmanIfSsConfigurationRowStatus

wmanIfSsConfigurationRowStatus OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX RowStatus
 MAX-ACCESS read-write
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION

"This object is used to create a new row or modify or delete an existing row in this table.

If the implementator of this MIB has choosen not to implement 'dynamic assignment' of profiles, this object is not useful and should return noSuchName upon SNMP request."

```
::= { wmanIfSsConfigurationEntry 29 }
```

..= (wlan16000migrationEntry 20)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **218**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **160**

Starting Line # **31**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo - "backoff in s."

Suggested Remedy

backoff in second

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change
from: 'backoff in s.'
to: 'backoff in second'.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change
from: 'backoff in s.'
to: 'backoff in second'.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **219**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	160	Starting Line #	65	Fig/Table#	Section	14
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	----	------------	---------	----

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsToD.....

Management information for a higher-layer protocol (Time of Day) in a link-layer MIB is not appropriate.

Suggested Remedy

To be removed.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Delegate the editor to change (Time of Day) related text in the draft to refer to "establish Time of Day" in the network entry procedure.

Reason for Recommendation

This event is associated with "establish Time of Day" in the network entry procedure. So, it is in the scope.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Delegate the editor to change (Time of Day) related text in the draft to refer to "establish Time of Day" in the network entry procedure.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This event is associated with "establish Time of Day" in the network entry procedure. So, it is in the scope.

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **220**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **160** Starting Line # **13-6** Fig/Table# Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsTftp.....

Management information for a higher-layer protocol (TFTP) in a link-layer MIB is not appropriate.

Suggested Remedy

To be removed.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by

Delegate the editor to change TFTP related text in the draft to refer to "Transfer operational parameters" in the network entry procedure.

Reason for Recommendation

This event is associated with "Transfer operational parameters" in the network entry procedure. So, it is in the scope.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Delegate the editor to change TFTP related text in the draft to refer to "Transfer operational parameters" in the network entry procedure.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This event is associated with "Transfer operational parameters" in the network entry procedure. So, it is in the scope.

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **221**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
Tyo - "interval in s."	Editorial	161	64		

Suggested Remedy
interval in second

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
Change
from: 'interval in s.'
to: 'interval in second'.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**
Change
from: 'interval in s.'
to: 'interval in second'.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **222**Comment submitted by: **Stephen****Turner**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **164** Starting Line # **25**

Fig/Table# Section

The wmanIfSsFecCounterTable should be reinstated from D2.

Suggested Remedy

Reinstate table.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Refer to comment 203 and 204 of IEEE 80216-05/002r2

wmanIfSsFecCounterTable was removed

The group was not able to reconcile specific counters for the table. The counters were perceived to be implementation specific, and the group was unable to derive a standard set of counters. If the commentor would choose to provide a common set of counters that the group will agree upon, the task group will be happy to revisit reinstating the table.

Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: Rejected**

Accepted: 0

Opposed:6

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Refer to comment 203 and 204 of IEEE 80216-05/002r2

wmanIfSsFecCounterTable was removed

The group was not able to reconcile specific counters for the table. The counters were perceived to be implementation specific, and the group was unable to derive a standard set of counters. If the commentor would choose to provide a common set of counters that the group will agree upon, the task group will be happy to revisit reinstating the table.

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** k) done**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **223**

Comment submitted by: **Pieter-Paul**

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **164**

Starting Line # **56**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsChannelMeasurementEntry

"and warps around."

Suggested Remedy

Should be "and wraps around".

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Should be "and wraps around".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Should be "and wraps around".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **224**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **164** Starting Line # **31-3** Fig/Table# Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsChannelMeasurementTable

The sentence in the Description "BS retrieves the channel measurement information from REP-REQ/RSP messages." is inappropriate in an SS management object. In addition, there are two sentences describing almost the same.

Suggested Remedy

Replace complete Description by: "This table contains channel measurement information on the downlink signal sent to SS.".

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Superceded**

Recommendation by

[See resolution of comment 84](#)**Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Superceded**[See resolution of comment 84](#)**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **225**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **164** Starting Line # **49-5** Fig/Table# Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsChannelMeasurementEntry

The Description contains 2 definitions of the primary index, where the first one seems to apply to BS.

Suggested Remedy

Delete the sentence "The primary index is the ifIndex with ifType propBWAp2Mp identifying the BS sector."

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Delete the sentence "The primary index is the ifIndex with ifType propBWAp2Mp identifying the BS sector."

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Delete the sentence "The primary index is the ifIndex with ifType propBWAp2Mp identifying the BS sector."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **226**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **164** Starting Line # **56-5** Fig/Table# Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsChannelMeasurementEntry

The sentence "be maintained as FIFO to store measurement samples that can be used to create RSSI and CINR histogram report" is incomplete and seems superfluous.

Suggested Remedy

Remove this sentence.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Remove the sentence: 'be maintained as FIFO to store measurement samples that can be used to create RSSI and CINR histogram report.'

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Remove the sentence: 'be maintained as FIFO to store measurement samples that can be used to create RSSI and CINR histogram report.'

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **227**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Binding	165	18		

MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Change
from
wmanIfSsHistogramIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX Unsigned32 (1 .. 4294967295)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
to
wmanIfSsHistogramIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX Unsigned32 (1 .. 4294967295)
 MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change
from
wmanIfSsHistogramIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX Unsigned32 (1 .. 4294967295)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
to
wmanIfSsHistogramIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX Unsigned32 (1 .. 4294967295)
 MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **228**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **170** Starting Line # **44/4** Fig/Table# Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsPkmAuthInvalidErrorCode

"Authorization Invald message should be ""Authorization Invalid message""."

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change

from: 'Authorization Invald'

to: 'Authorization Invalid message'.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change

from: 'Authorization Invald'

to: 'Authorization Invalid message'.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

No change is necessary as the text is correct

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **229**

Comment submitted by: **Pieter-Paul**

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **173**

Starting Line # **47**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsPkmTekSAId

WiMAX should be removed. This is an IEEE document.

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Delete WiMAX

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Delete WiMAX

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **230**

Comment submitted by: **Roger**

Marks

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **173** Starting Line # **47**

Fig/Table#

Section

The expression "WiMAX" does not belong here.

Suggested Remedy

Replace "WiMAX" with "WirelessMAN".

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superceded**

Recommendation by

By comment 229

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superceded**

By comment 229

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **231**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **178** Starting Line # **17-2** Fig/Table# Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsTrapControlRegister

No bit is defined for wmanIfSsEventTrap.

Suggested Remedy

Define a bit for wmanIfSsEvent (4, or, if this is intentionally omitted, explain it in the description. Note: See comments on 13.2.3.2.5 (above) and wmanIfSsEventTrap (below).

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Add wmanIfSsEvent control bit in the wmanIfSsTrapControlRegister

See below

```
wmanIfSsTrapControlRegister OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX BITS {wmanIfSsTlvUnknown(0),
                wmanIfSsDynamicServiceFail(1),
                wmanIfSsDhcpSuccess(2),
                wmanIfSsRssiStatusChange(3),
                wmanIfSsEvent(4)}
```

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Add wmanIfSsEvent control bit in the wmanIfSsTrapControlRegister

See below

```
wmanIfSsTrapControlRegister OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX BITS {wmanIfSsTlvUnknown(0),
                wmanIfSsDynamicServiceFail(1),
                wmanIfSsDhcpSuccess(2),
                wmanIfSsRssiStatusChange(3),
                wmanIfSsEvent(4)}
```

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **232**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **179** Starting Line # **44-5** Fig/Table# Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsDhcpSuccessTrap

Management information for a higher-layer protocol (DHCP) in a link-layer MIB is not appropriate.

Suggested Remedy

To be removed (and consequently also wmanIfSsDhcpSuccess from wmanIfSsTrapControlRegister

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

[See comment 322](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**[See comment 322](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **233**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **180** Starting Line # **5-17** Fig/Table# Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsEventTrap

The definition of this trap ("This trap report the event") is either incomplete or completely incorrect. (same as comment on 13.2.3.2.5

Suggested Remedy

This object should either be deleted or be defined correctly. I guess this is meant for Vendor-specific events? If so, there is already a standard mechanism for Vendor-specific traps (by means of Vendor-specific Enterprise MIB definitions, and this should be removed from the 802.16 MIB.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change the description of wmanIfSsEventTrap to
"This trap is sent when an event is logged into the table wmanIfSsEventLogTable."

Change the description of wmanIfBsEventTrap to
"This trap is sent when an event is logged into the table wmanIfBsEventLogTable."

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change the description of wmanIfSsEventTrap to
"This trap is sent when an event is logged into the table wmanIfSsEventLogTable."

Change the description of wmanIfBsEventTrap to
"This trap is sent when an event is logged into the table wmanIfBsEventLogTable."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **234**

Comment submitted by: **Pieter-Paul**

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **181**

Starting Line # **26**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsDynamicServiceFailReason

cration should be "creation".

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "cration" to "creation".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "cration" to "creation".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: [IEEE P802.16f/D3](#)Ballot Number: [0000998](#)

Comment Date

Comment # [235](#)Comment submitted by: [Stephen](#)[Turner](#)[Member](#)[2005-04-27](#)

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

	Technical, Binding	181	57		
--	------------------------------------	---------------------	--------------------	--	--

[Event log tables should not be indexed by ifIndex, and perhaps do not even belong in an interface MIB.](#)

Suggested Remedy[Remove to a device MIB.](#)**Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: [Accepted-Modified](#)

Recommendation by

[See resolution of comment 183](#)**Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: [Accepted-Modified](#)[See resolution of comment 183](#)**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **236**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	181	Starting Line #	43-5	Fig/Table#	Section	14
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	------	------------	---------	----

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsRssiStatusInfo

I do not understand the purpose of this object; it seems superfluous. It is part of the wmanIfSsRssiStatusChangeTrap for which the reasons are well defined ("An event to report that the downlink RSSI is below wmanIfSsRssiLowThreshold, or above wmanIfSsRssiHighThreshold after restore."). Yet another, free format, object is added to indicate the reason for the event.

Suggested Remedy

This object should either be deleted or be defined properly.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change the description of wmanIfSsRssiStatusInfo to:

This object provides additional information about RSSI alarm. It is implementation specific.

Reason for Recommendation

The group decides to provide additional clarity in the description; however, the feature function is defined in 9.3.2.3.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change the description of wmanIfSsRssiStatusInfo to:

This object provides additional information about RSSI alarm. It is implementation specific.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The group decides to provide additional clarity in the description; however, the feature function is defined in 9.3.2.3.

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **237**

Comment submitted by: **Pieter-Paul**

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **185**

Starting Line # **30**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsEventLogTable

"presist should be ""persist".

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "presist" to "persist".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "presist" to "persist".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **238**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Binding	192	51		

MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Change
from
wmanIfSsOfdmUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only

to
wmanIfSsOfdmUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
 MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change
from
wmanIfSsOfdmUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only

to
wmanIfSsOfdmUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
 MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **239**

Comment submitted by: Manikantan

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Non-binding	193	18		

complete reference including standard can be added. In some places only the section number has been provided as reference - for example "The power boost in dB of focused contention carriers, as described in 8.3.6.3.3."

Suggested Remedy

The power boost in dB of focused contention carriers, as described in 8.3.6.3.3 IEEE 802.16-2004"

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
 Editor to remove all instances of IEEE 802.16-2004 in section 13 and 14.

Editor will substitute 'standard' as required

Reason for Recommendation

Proper editorial convention for amendments of IEEE 802 standards.

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Editor to remove all instances of IEEE 802.16-2004 in section 13 and 14.

Editor will substitute 'standard' as required

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Proper editorial convention for amendments of IEEE 802 standards.

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** e) editor disagrees

The references are specific to IEEE 802.16-2004. In the future, there may be references to other 802.16 standard.

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **240**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding**

Starting Page # **193**

Starting Line # **32**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo - "can be enables"

Suggested Remedy

"can be enabled"

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "can be enables" to "can be enabled"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "can be enables" to "can be enabled"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **241**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Technical, Binding	194	18		

MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Change
from
wmanIfSsOfdmDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (1 .. 11)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only

to
wmanIfSsOfdmDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (1 .. 11)
 MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change
from
wmanIfSsOfdmDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (1 .. 11)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only

to
wmanIfSsOfdmDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (1 .. 11)
 MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **242**

Comment submitted by: Manikantan

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

[Pages 195-202]

Descriptions such as "Frame unit" and "db Unit" have been used for describing several mib objects in the wmanIfSsOfdmaUplinkChannelTable

Suggested Remedy

Suitable alternate descriptions can be provided

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Editor shall create appropriate description for objects lacking verbose descriptions, beginning on page 199 line 58 through to page 202 line 49.

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group** **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Editor shall create appropriate description for objects lacking verbose descriptions, beginning on page 199 line 58 through to page 202 line 49.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes** **Editor's Actions** k) done**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **243**

Comment submitted by: **Pieter-Paul**

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **197**

Starting Line # **25**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaUplinkCenterFreq

"KHz should be ""kHz"". (2x)

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "KHz" to"kHz"". P197 line 25 and 30

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "KHz" to"kHz"". P197 line 25 and 30

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **244**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding**

Starting Page # **198**

Starting Line # **29**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo - ", expressed"

Suggested Remedy

"expressed"

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Editor to make sure commas appropriately follow their precedence clause.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Editor to make sure commas appropriately follow their precedence clause.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **245**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
	Editorial	198	29-3		14

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaPerRngBackoffStart

The description part "Range: 0..15 (the highest order bits shall be unused and set to 0)." is superfluous and confusing. This is already covered by the SYNTAX definition.

Suggested Remedy

Remove this part.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Remove "Range: 0..15 (the highest order bits shall be unused and set to 0)."

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group** **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Remove "Range: 0..15 (the highest order bits shall be unused and set to 0)."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes** **Editor's Actions** k) done**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **246**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **198** Starting Line # **44-4** Fig/Table# Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaPerRngBackoffEnd

The description part "Range: 0..15 (the highest order bits shall be unused and set to 0)." is superfluous and confusing. This is already covered by the SYNTAX definition.

Suggested Remedy

Remove this part.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by

Remove "Range: 0..15 (the highest order bits shall be unused and set to 0)."

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group** Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Remove "Range: 0..15 (the highest order bits shall be unused and set to 0)."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes** Editor's Actions k) done**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **247**

Comment submitted by: **Pieter-Paul**

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **199**

Starting Line # **65**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaBandAMCAllocThreshold

The description ("dB unit") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superseded**

Recommendation by

by comment 242

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superseded**

by comment 242

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: [IEEE P802.16f/D3](#)

Ballot Number: [0000998](#)

Comment Date

Comment # [248](#)

Comment submitted by: [Pieter-Paul](#)

[Giesberts](#)

Member

[2005-04-27](#)

Comment Type [Editorial](#)

Starting Page # [200](#)

Starting Line # [13](#)

Fig/Table#

Section [14](#)

[*Submitted by [Pieter-Paul Giesberts](#), [Jan Boer](#), and [Richard van Leeuwen](#)] [wmanIfSsOfdmaBandAMCReleaseThreshold](#)

The description ("dB unit") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

[Complete the description.](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: [Superceded](#)

Recommendation by

[by comment 242](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: [Superceded](#)

[by comment 242](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **249**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **200**

Starting Line # **26**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaBandAMCAllocTimer

The description ("Frame unit") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superseded**

Recommendation by

by comment 242

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superseded**

by comment 242

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **250**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **200**

Starting Line # **40**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaBandAMCReleaseTimer

The description ("Frame unit") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superseded**

Recommendation by

by comment 242

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superseded**

by comment 242

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **251**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **200**

Starting Line # **53**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaBandStatRepMAXPeriod

The description ("Frame unit") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superceded**

Recommendation by

by comment 242

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superceded**

by comment 242

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **252**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **201**

Starting Line # **1**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaBandAMCRetryTimer

The description ("Frame unit") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superseded**

Recommendation by

by comment 242

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superseded**

by comment 242

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **253**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **201**

Starting Line # **14**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaSafetyChAllocThreshold

The description ("dB unit") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superseded**

Recommendation by

by comment 242

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superseded**

by comment 242

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **254**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **201**

Starting Line # **28**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaSafetyChReleaseThreshold

The description ("dB unit") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

[Complete the description.](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superseded**

Recommendation by

[by comment 242](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superseded**

[by comment 242](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **255**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **201**

Starting Line # **41**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaSafetyChAllocTimer

The description ("Frame unit") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superseded**

Recommendation by

by comment 242

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superseded**

by comment 242

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **256**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **201**

Starting Line # **54**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaSafetyChReleaseTimer

The description ("Frame unit") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superseded**

Recommendation by

by comment 242

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superseded**

by comment 242

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **257**

Comment submitted by: **Pieter-Paul**

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **202**

Starting Line # **2**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaBinStatRepMAXPeriod

The description ("Frame unit") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superseded**

Recommendation by

by comment 242

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superseded**

by comment 242

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **258**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **202**

Starting Line # **16**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaSafetyChaRetryTimer

The description ("Frame unit") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superseded**

Recommendation by

by comment 242

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superseded**

by comment 242

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **259**

Comment submitted by: **Pieter-Paul**

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **202**

Starting Line # **45**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaCQICHBandAMCTranaDelay

The description ("Frame unit") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superceded**

Recommendation by

by comment 242

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superceded**

by comment 242

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **260**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **202**

Starting Line # **45**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaBsEIRP

The description ("Signed in units of 1 dBm.") is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superseded**

Recommendation by

by comment 242

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superseded**

by comment 242

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **261**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **202**

Starting Line # **30-3**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaHARQAackDelayULBurst

The description is incomplete; it only describes the values, not what for.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Superseded**

Recommendation by

by comment 242

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Superseded**

by comment 242

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **262**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**
 Syntax should be WmanIfMacVersion.

Starting Page # **203** Starting Line # **24**

Fig/Table#

Section

Suggested Remedy

Correct syntax.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change WmanIfSsOfdmaDownlinkChannelEntry
 from
 wmanIfSsOfdmaMacVersion Integer,
 to
 wmanIfSsOfdmaMacVersion WmanIfMacVersion,

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change WmanIfSsOfdmaDownlinkChannelEntry
 from
 wmanIfSsOfdmaMacVersion Integer,
 to
 wmanIfSsOfdmaMacVersion WmanIfMacVersion,

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **263**

Comment submitted by: **Pieter-Paul**

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **204**

Starting Line # **32**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaDownlinkCenterFreq

KHz should be "kHz".

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "KHz" to"kHz".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "KHz" to"kHz".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **264**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **205**

Starting Line # **63**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaHARQAackDelayBurst

The description is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Editor shall create appropriate description for objects lacking verbose descriptions,

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Editor shall create appropriate description for objects lacking verbose descriptions,

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **265**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **205**

Starting Line # **39-5**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaSizeCqichIdField

The description is incomplete.

Suggested Remedy

Complete the description.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Editor shall create appropriate description for objects lacking verbose descriptions,

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Editor shall create appropriate description for objects lacking verbose descriptions,

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **266**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **206**

Starting Line # **37**

Fig/Table#

Section

Syntax should be WmanIfOfdmaFecCodeType.

Suggested Remedy

Correct syntax.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change

from

wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdFecCodeType

INTEGER,

to

wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdFecCodeType

WmanIfOfdmaFecCodeType,

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change

from

wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdFecCodeType

INTEGER,

to

wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdFecCodeType

WmanIfOfdmaFecCodeType,

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **267**Comment submitted by: **Joey****Chou**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type Technical, Binding Starting Page # 206 Starting Line # 43 Fig/Table# Section 14

The range of wmanIfSsOfdmaUiucIndex (5..12) is probably a copy and past error from wmanIfSsOfdmUiucIndex. It should be (1..10), as defined in table 288 in 802.16/2004.

wmanIfSsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"

::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

Suggested Remedy

Change

wmanIfSsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst profile in the UCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"

::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

to

wmanIfSsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (1 .. 10)

MAX-ACCESS non-accessible

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst profile in the UCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"
 ::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Change
 wmanIfSsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst
 profile in the UCD message, and is used along with ifIndex
 to identify an entry in the
 wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."
 REFERENCE
 "Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"
 ::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

to
 wmanIfSsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (1 .. 10)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst
 profile in the UCD message, and is used along with ifIndex
 to identify an entry in the
 wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."
 REFERENCE
 "Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"
 ::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change
 wmanIfSsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (5 .. 12)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst
 profile in the UCD message, and is used along with ifIndex
 to identify an entry in the
 wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"

::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

to

wmanIfSsOfdmaUiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (1 .. 10)

MAX-ACCESS non-accessible

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The Uplink Interval Usage Code indicates the uplink burst profile in the UCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.4.1, in IEEE 802.16/2004"

::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **268**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **206** Starting Line # **44**

Fig/Table#

Section

MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

See comment 267

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

See comment 267

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **269**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **206**

Starting Line # **48**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaUiuclIndex

indiates should be "indicates".

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "indiates" to"indicates".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "indiates" to"indicates".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **270**

Comment submitted by: **David**

Castelow

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **206** Starting Line # **50**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

UIUC should refer to UCD, not DCD

Suggested Remedy

On page 206, line 50, replace "DCD" by "UCD".

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

On page 206, line 50, replace "DCD" by "UCD".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

On page 206, line 50, replace "DCD" by "UCD".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **271**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

A consistent usage of reference can be provided. For example "IEEE 802.16/2004" is used in few places.

Suggested Remedy

Consistently IEEE 802.16-2004 can be used

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
Editor to replace all instances of IEEE 802.16/2004 to IEEE 802.16-2004

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Editor to replace all instances of IEEE 802.16/2004 to IEEE 802.16-2004

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **272**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **206** Starting Line # **29-3** Fig/Table# Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry

The last sentence of the description "The secondary index is wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfIndex" should be "The secondary index is wmanIfSsOfdmaUiucIndex".

Suggested Remedy**Proposed Resolution** Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

The last sentence of the description "The secondary index is wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfIndex" should be "The secondary index is wmanIfSsOfdmaUiucIndex".

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted**

The last sentence of the description "The secondary index is wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfIndex" should be "The secondary index is wmanIfSsOfdmaUiucIndex".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **273**

Comment submitted by: **Pieter-Paul**

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **207**

Starting Line # **26**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaNorCOVerNOVERRIDE

"corrsepond should be "correspond".

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

"corrsepond should be "correspond".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

"corrsepond should be "correspond".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **274**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial** Starting Page # **207** Starting Line # **60-6** Fig/Table# Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry

The last sentence of the description "The secondary index is wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfIndex." should be "The secondary index is wmanIfSsOfdmaDiucIndex".

Suggested Remedy**Proposed Resolution** Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

The last sentence of the description "The secondary index is wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfIndex." should be "The secondary index is wmanIfSsOfdmaDiucIndex".

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted**

The last sentence of the description "The secondary index is wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfIndex." should be "The secondary index is wmanIfSsOfdmaDiucIndex".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **275**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **208** Starting Line # **5**

Fig/Table#

Section

Syntax should be **WmanIfOfdmaFecCodeType**.

Suggested Remedy

Correct syntax.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change

from

wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdFecCodeType

INTEGER,

to

wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdFecCodeType

WmanIfOfdmaFecCodeType,

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change

from

wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdFecCodeType

INTEGER,

to

wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdFecCodeType

WmanIfOfdmaFecCodeType,

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **276**Comment submitted by: **Joey****Chou**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**Starting Page # **208**Starting Line # **11**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

The range of wmanIfSsOfdmaDiucIndex (1..11) is probably a copy and past error from wmanIfSsOfdmDiucIndex. It should be (0..12), as defined in table 276 in 802.16/2004.

wmanIfSsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (1..11)

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

Suggested Remedy

Change

wmanIfSsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (1..11)

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

to

wmanIfSsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (0..12)

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex to identify an entry in the wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"
 ::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Change
 wmanIfSsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (1..11)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst
 profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex
 to identify an entry in the
 wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."
 REFERENCE
 "Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"
 ::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

to
 wmanIfSsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (0..12)
 MAX-ACCESS non-accessible
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst
 profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex
 to identify an entry in the
 wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."
 REFERENCE
 "Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"
 ::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change
 wmanIfSsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER (1..11)
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst
 profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex
 to identify an entry in the
 wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

to

wmanIfSsOfdmaDiucIndex OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (0..12)

MAX-ACCESS non-accessible

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The Downlink Interval Usage Code indicates the downlink burst

profile in the DCD message, and is used along with ifIndex

to identify an entry in the

wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileTable."

REFERENCE

"Section 8.4.5.3.1, in IEEE 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry 1 }

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **277**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **208**

Starting Line # **12**

Fig/Table#

Section

MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

See comment 276

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

See comment 276

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **278**

Comment submitted by: **Pieter-Paul**

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **208**

Starting Line # **28**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfSsOfdmaDownlinkFrequency

"KHz should be "kHz".

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

"KHz should be "kHz".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

"KHz should be "kHz".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **279**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **209** Starting Line # **49**

Fig/Table#

Section

Table index should include ifIndex.

Suggested Remedy

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **280**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**Starting Page # **211**Starting Line # **25**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleIpTosMask

..this value is used check range checking of.. should be...??.

Suggested Remedy**Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change the description of wmanIfBsClassifierRuleIpTosMask to

"The value of this object specifies the matching parameter for the IP type of service/DSCP [IETF RFC 2474] byte mask. An IP packet with IP type of service (ToS) byte value "ip-tos" matches this parameter if tos-low less than or equal (ip-tos AND tos-mask) less than or equal tos-high."

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change the description of wmanIfBsClassifierRuleIpTosMask to

"The value of this object specifies the matching parameter for the IP type of service/DSCP [IETF RFC 2474] byte mask. An IP packet with IP type of service (ToS) byte value "ip-tos" matches this parameter if tos-low less than or equal (ip-tos AND tos-mask) less than or equal tos-high."

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **281**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **218** Starting Line # **40**

Fig/Table#

Section

Table index should include ifIndex.

Suggested Remedy

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **282**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **218** Starting Line # **54**

Fig/Table#

Section

MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change
from
wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (1..255)
MAX-ACCESS read-only
to
wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (1..255)
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change
from
wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (1..255)
MAX-ACCESS read-only
to
wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsIndex OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX INTEGER (1..255)
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **283**Comment submitted by: **Joey****Chou**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **220** Starting Line # **4**Fig/Table# Section **13**

wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsVerify should have access right read-only.

Suggested Remedy

Change

wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsVerify OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIfPhsRulVerifyType

MAX-ACCESS read-create

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The value of this field indicates to the sending entity whether or not the packet header contents are to be verified prior to performing suppression."

DEFVAL { phsVerifyEnable }

::= { wmanIfCmnPhsRuleEntry 5 }

to

wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsVerify OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIfPhsRulVerifyType

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The value of this field indicates to the sending entity whether or not the packet header contents are to be verified prior to performing suppression."

DEFVAL { phsVerifyEnable }

::= { wmanIfCmnPhsRuleEntry 5 }

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted**Recommendation by**

Change

wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsVerify OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX WmanIfPhsRulVerifyType

MAX-ACCESS read-create

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The value of this field indicates to the sending entity whether or not the packet header contents are to be verified prior to performing suppression."

DEFVAL { phsVerifyEnable }

::= { wmanIfCmnPhsRuleEntry 5 }

to

~
 wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsVerify OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX WmanIfPhsRuIVerifyType
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The value of this field indicates to the sending entity
 whether or not the packet header contents are to be
 verified prior to performing suppression."
 DEFVAL { phsVerifyEnable }
 ::= { wmanIfCmnPhsRuleEntry 5 }

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

Change
 wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsVerify OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX WmanIfPhsRuIVerifyType
 MAX-ACCESS read-create
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The value of this field indicates to the sending entity
 whether or not the packet header contents are to be
 verified prior to performing suppression."
 DEFVAL { phsVerifyEnable }
 ::= { wmanIfCmnPhsRuleEntry 5 }
 to
 wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsVerify OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX WmanIfPhsRuIVerifyType
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "The value of this field indicates to the sending entity
 whether or not the packet header contents are to be
 verified prior to performing suppression."
 DEFVAL { phsVerifyEnable }
 ::= { wmanIfCmnPhsRuleEntry 5 }

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: [IEEE P802.16f/D3](#)Ballot Number: [0000998](#)

Comment Date

Comment # [284](#)Comment submitted by: [Stephen](#)[Turner](#)[Member](#)[2005-04-27](#)Comment Type [Technical, Binding](#)Starting Page # [220](#) Starting Line # [43](#)

Fig/Table#

Section

[Table index should include ifIndex.](#)

Suggested Remedy

[Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: [Accepted](#)

Recommendation by

[Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: [Accepted](#)[Add ifIndex to INDEX clause.](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **285**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **220**

Starting Line # **53**

Fig/Table#

Section

Syntax should be WmanIfSfState.

Suggested Remedy

Correct syntax.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change

wmanIfCmnCpsSfState

INTEGER,

to

wmanIfCmnCpsSfState

WmanIfSfState,

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change

wmanIfCmnCpsSfState

INTEGER,

to

wmanIfCmnCpsSfState

WmanIfSfState,

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **286**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial, Binding**

Starting Page # **221**

Starting Line # **56**

Fig/Table#

Section

Typo "indiating the service"

Suggested Remedy

indicating the service

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change "indiating the service" to "indicating the service"

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change "indiating the service" to "indicating the service"

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **287**

Comment submitted by: Stephen

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	221	Starting Line #	17,3	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	------	------------	---------

MAX-ACCESS should be not-accessible for index columns.

Suggested Remedy

Correct access.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Change
wmanIfCmnCpsSfMacAddress OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX MacAddress
MAX-ACCESS read-only
to
wmanIfCmnCpsSfMacAddress OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX MacAddress
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Change
wmanIfCmnCpsSfMacAddress OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX MacAddress
MAX-ACCESS read-only
to
wmanIfCmnCpsSfMacAddress OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX MacAddress
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **288**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **223**

Starting Line # **63**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfCmnCpsSduSize

byte is not a defined entity for network/air interfaces.

Suggested Remedy

Replace with "octet". Also in the Description (bytes > octets).

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

"Byte" is commonly used in the 802.16-2004 standard

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

Accepted: 0
Opposed: 3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **289**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **224**

Starting Line # **59**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfCmnCpsArqBlockLifetime

us is not a defined unit of time.

Suggested Remedy

Replace with "microsecond".

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

us is used in 802.16-2004 standard, but not microsecond

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Rejected

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

us is used in 802.16-2004 standard, but not microsecond

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **290**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page # **225**

Starting Line # **5**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfCmnCpsArqBlockLifetime

fragmnet should be "fragment".

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

fragmnet should be "fragment".

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

fragmnet should be "fragment".

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: [IEEE P802.16f/D3](#)

Ballot Number: [0000998](#)

Comment Date

Comment # [291](#)

Comment submitted by: [Pieter-Paul](#)

[Giesberts](#)

Member

[2005-04-27](#)

Comment Type [Technical, Binding](#)

Starting Page # [225](#)

Starting Line # [12](#)

Fig/Table#

Section [14](#)

[*Submitted by [Pieter-Paul Giesberts](#), [Jan Boer](#), and [Richard van Leeuwen](#)] [wmanIfCmnCpsArqSyncLossTimeout](#)

[us](#) is not a defined unit of time.

Suggested Remedy

[Replace with "microsecond".](#)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: [Rejected](#)

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

[See comment 289](#)

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: [Rejected](#)

[Accepted: 0](#)

[Opposed: 2](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

[See comment 289](#)

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **292**

Comment submitted by: **Pieter-Paul**

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **225**

Starting Line # **38**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfCmnCpsArqRXPurgeTimeout

us is not a defined unit of time.

Suggested Remedy

Replace with "microsecond".

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

See comment 289

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

See comment 289

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **293**

Comment submitted by: Pieter-Paul

Giesberts

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **225**

Starting Line # **52**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

[*Submitted by Pieter-Paul Giesberts, Jan Boer, and Richard van Leeuwen] wmanIfCmnCpsArqBlockSize

byte is not a defined entity for network/air interfaces.

Suggested Remedy

"Replace with ""octet""."

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

"Byte" is commonly used in the 802.16-2004 standard

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

Accepted: 0
Opposed: 2

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

"Byte" is commonly used in the 802.16-2004 standard

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **294**

Comment submitted by: **Stephen**

Turner

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**
RowStatus is inapplicable for this table.

Starting Page # **227** Starting Line # **49**

Fig/Table#

Section

Suggested Remedy
Remove column.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by
Remove `wmanIfCmnBsSsConfigurationRowStatus RowStatus}`

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**
Remove `wmanIfCmnBsSsConfigurationRowStatus RowStatus}`

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **295**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **296**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **297**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **298**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **299**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **300**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **301**

Comment submitted by:

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
[no comment]					

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

No actual comment or remedy

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **302**

Comment submitted by: Jim

Carlo

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page # 999	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------	------------	---------

Throughout this ammendment to IEEE 802.16, references are made as:

REFERENCE

"Table 341 in IEEE 802.16-2004"

and

REFERENCE

"6.3.2.3.33 in IEEE 802.16-2004"

While this is OK for approval of this ammendment,, when actually encorporated into the base document (IEEE 802.16), the words "in IEEE 802.16-2004" should be discarded.

Suggested Remedy

Add an editors note as follows in the beginning of the document.

All references to IEEE 802.16:2004 will be deleted, as appropriate, when this ammendment is merged into the base document, IEEE 802.16.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Superceded**
by comment 239

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Superceded**
by comment 239

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **303**Comment submitted by: [Joey](#)[Chou](#)

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
wmanIfMib is lack of conformance statements.	Technical, Binding	999			14

Suggested Remedy[Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16f-05/003](#)**Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

[Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16f-05/003 with the condition to include additional missing objects, including NOTIFICATION-GROUP.](#)**Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**[Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16f-05/003 with the condition to include additional missing objects, including NOTIFICATION-GROUP.](#)**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **304**

Comment submitted by: **Joey**

Chou

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding (not	Starting Page #	999	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section	14
----------------	-------------	--------------------------------	------------------------	------------	------------------------	-------------------	----------------	-----------

The following classification rules are defined as scalar objects. But standard specifies they should be defined as the list.

wmanIfBsClassifierRuleIpSourceAddr InetAddress,
wmanIfBsClassifierRuleIpSourceMask InetAddress,
wmanIfBsClassifierRuleIpDestAddr InetAddress,
wmanIfBsClassifierRuleIpDestMask InetAddress,
wmanIfBsClassifierRuleSourcePortStart Integer32,
wmanIfBsClassifierRuleSourcePortEnd Integer32,
wmanIfBsClassifierRuleDestPortStart Integer32,
wmanIfBsClassifierRuleDestPortEnd Integer32,
wmanIfBsClassifierRuleDestMacAddr MacAddress,
wmanIfBsClassifierRuleDestMacMask MacAddress,
wmanIfBsClassifierRuleSourceMacAddr MacAddress,
wmanIfBsClassifierRuleSourceMacMask MacAddress,

wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleIpSourceAddr InetAddress,
wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleIpSourceMask InetAddress,
wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleIpDestAddr InetAddress,
wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleIpDestMask InetAddress,
wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleSourcePortStart Integer32,
wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleSourcePortEnd Integer32,
wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleDestPortStart Integer32,
wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleDestPortEnd Integer32,
wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleDestMacAddr MacAddress,
wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleDestMacMask MacAddress,
wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleSourceMacAddr MacAddress,
wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleSourceMacMask MacAddress,

Suggested Remedy

Adopt contribution IEEE C802.16f-05/004

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Rejected

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

The suggested solution dramatically increases the complexity of classifier provisioning implementation. Inadquate demonstration of perceived benefit.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Rejected

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

The suggested solution dramatically increases the complexity of classifier provisioning implementation. Inadquate demonstration of perceived benefit.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 1

Opposed: 6

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **305**

Comment submitted by: Krzysztof

Dudzinski

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	999	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	------------	---------

The DESCRIPTION of wmanIfBsChannelMeasurementTable is broken and makes the implementation impossible.

1. It makes invalid claim that uplink measurement is received from SS. Where does the uplink data come from and should it be in the same format?
2. It doesn't define the sampling rate ("...wmanIfBsHistogramIndex should be increased monotonically..." - how often?)
3. It defines the table as FIFO buffer but there is no specification of the buffer size ("...when it reaches the limit" - what limit?)

Suggested Remedy

1. Two options:

Option A: Remove uplink data from the table (delete wmanBsChannelDirection) and correct text appropriately

Option B: State that BS does uplink measurements and generates uplink data in the same format as downlink data

2.,3. Create new table wmanIfBsChMeasurementConfigTable with columnar objects:

wmanIfBsChDataSamplingPeriod

DESCRIPTION "Defines how often the report is generated"

wmanIfBsChDataBufferLength

DESCRIPTION "Defines the maximum length of buffer. When this limit is reached the data should wrap around the buffer."

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified****Recommendation by**

Change description of wmanIfBsChannelMeasurementTable from

"This table contains channel measurement information on the uplink signal that were received from SS, and the downlink signal were obtained from SS using REP-REQ/RSP messages.

to

"This table contains channel measurement information as derived from BS measurement of uplink signal from SS, and the downlink signal as reported from SS using REP-REQ/RSP messages.

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Change description of wmanIfBsChannelMeasurementTable from

"This table contains channel measurement information on the uplink signal that were received from SS, and the downlink signal were obtained from SS using REP-REQ/RSP messages.

to

"This table contains channel measurement information as derived from BS measurement of uplink signal from SS, and the downlink signal as reported from SS using REP-REQ/RSP messages.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **306**

Comment submitted by: Krzysztof

Dudzinski

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	999	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	------------	---------

The DESCRIPTION statements of the objects:

1. wmanIfBsSsRssiStatus in the table wmanIfBsSsNotificationObjectsTable
2. wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmThreshold in the table wmanIfBsThresholdConfigTable
3. wmanIfBsTempLowAlarmRestoredThreshold in the table wmanIfBsThresholdConfigTable

Are not clear and will lead to ambiguous implementation.

Suggested Remedy

A possible approach. Other approach may be following the example of temperature alarm.:

1.

```
wmanIfBsSsRssiStatus OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX    INTEGER {bsRssiAlarm(1),
                      bsRssiNoAlarm(2)}
    MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS    current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A RSSI alarm is generated when RSSI becomes lower than wmanIfBsLowRssiThreshold and is
        cleared when RSSI becomes higher than wmanIfBsLowRssiThreshold if the RSSI is lower than
        wmanIfBsLowRssiThreshold."
    ::= { wmanIfBsSsNotificationObjectsEntry 6 }
```

2.

```
wmanIfBsRssiLowThreshold OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX    Integer32
    UNITS     "dBm"
    MAX-ACCESS read-write
    STATUS    current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Low threshold for generating the RSSI alarm trap:
        -The detection of RSSI alarm will be disabled until the
        -RSSI goes above wmanIfBsRssiHighThreshold"
    ::= { wmanIfBsThresholdConfigEntry 1 }
```

3.

```
wmanIfBsRssiHighThreshold OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX    Integer32
    UNITS     "dBm"
    MAX-ACCESS read-write
    STATUS    current
    DESCRIPTION
        "High threshold for clearing the RSSI alarm generating a trap indicating
        the the RSSI alarm is restored."
    ::= { wmanIfBsThresholdConfigEntry 2 }
```

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted** **Recommendation by**
 A possible approach. Other approach may be following the example of temperature alarm.:

1.
 wmanIfBsSsRssiStatus OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER {bsRssiAlarm(1),
 bsRssiNoAlarm(2)}
 MAX-ACCESS read-only
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "A RSSI alarm is generated when RSSI becomes lower than wmanIfBsLowRssiThreshold and is
 cleared when RSSI becomes higher than wmanIfBsLowRssiThreshold ~~if the RSSI is lower than~~
 ~~wmanIfBsLowRssiThreshold."~~
 ::= { wmanIfBsSsNotificationObjectsEntry 6 }

2.
 wmanIfBsRssiLowThreshold OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX Integer32
 UNITS "dBm"
 MAX-ACCESS read-write
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "Low threshold for generating the RSSI alarm ~~trap:~~
 ~~The detection of RSSI alarm will be disabled until the~~
 ~~RSSI goes above wmanIfBsRssiHighThreshold"~~
 ::= { wmanIfBsThresholdConfigEntry 1 }

3.
 wmanIfBsRssiHighThreshold OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX Integer32
 UNITS "dBm"
 MAX-ACCESS read-write
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "High threshold for clearing the RSSI alarm ~~generating a trap indicating~~
 ~~the the RSSI alarm is restored."~~
 ::= { wmanIfBsThresholdConfigEntry 2 }

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted**

possible approach. Other approach may be following the example of temperature alarm.:

1.
 wmanIfBsSsRssiStatus OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER {bsRssiAlarm(1),
bsRssiNoAlarm(2)}

MAX-ACCESS read-only

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"A RSSI alarm is generated when RSSI becomes lower than wmanIfBsLowRssiThreshold and is cleared when RSSI becomes higher than wmanIfBsLowRssiThreshold ~~if the RSSI is lower than wmanIfBsLowRssiThreshold.~~"

::= { wmanIfBsSsNotificationObjectsEntry 6 }

2.

wmanIfBsRssiLowThreshold OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX Integer32

UNITS "dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Low threshold for generating the RSSI alarm ~~trap:~~

~~The detection of RSSI alarm will be disabled until the~~

~~RSSI goes above wmanIfBsRssiHighThreshold"~~

::= { wmanIfBsThresholdConfigEntry 1 }

3.

wmanIfBsRssiHighThreshold OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX Integer32

UNITS "dBm"

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"High threshold for clearing the RSSI alarm ~~generating a trap indicating~~

~~the the RSSI alarm is restored."~~

::= { wmanIfBsThresholdConfigEntry 2 }

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **307**

Comment submitted by: Krzysztof

Dudzinski

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

The object wmanIfCommonMinislotSize in the table wmanIfCmnBsSsConfigurationTable is SC/SCa specific.	Technical, Binding	999			
--	--------------------	-----	--	--	--

Suggested Remedy

Option A:

Delete object wmanIfCommonMinislotSize

Option B:

Move object wmanIfCommonMinislotSize to SC/SCa specific table if SC/SCa added to the MIB

Option C:

Move object to conditional conformance group.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Delete object wmanIfCommonMinislotSize

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Delete object wmanIfCommonMinislotSize

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Delete wmanIfCmnMinislotSize

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **308**

Comment submitted by: Krzysztof

Dudzinski

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	999	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	------------	---------

Syntax mismatch against standard and description. Impossible to define proper SNMP syntax for objects with binary split definition (some bits encode one value and other bits encode other value). This comment applies to object `wmanIfxxOfdmUplinkChannelTable` in the table `wmanIfxxOfdmSubChReqRegionFull`.

Suggested Remedy

Split object into two as follows:

1. Delete object:

```

wmanIfBsOfdmSubChReqRegionFull OBJECT-TYPE
  SYNTAX      INTEGER {oneSubchannel(0),
                       twoSubchannels(1),
                       fourSubchannels(2),
                       eightSubchannels(3),
                       sixteenSubchannels(4)}
  MAX-ACCESS  read-write
  STATUS      current
  DESCRIPTION
    "Bits 0-2: Number of subchannels used by each transmit
    opportunity when REQ Region-Full is allocated in
    subchannelization region, per the following enumeration:
    0: 1 Subchannel.
    1: 2 Subchannels.
    2: 4 Subchannels.
    3: 8 Subchannels.
    4: 16 Subchannels.
    5-7: Shall not be used.
    Bits 3-7: Number of OFDM symbols used by each transmit
    opportunity when REQ Region-Full is allocated in
    subchannelization region."
  REFERENCE
    "Table 352, in IEEE 802.16-2004"
  ::= { wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelEntry 5 }

```

2. Create 2 new objects:

```

wmanIfBsOfdmNumSubChReqRegionFull OBJECT-TYPE
  SYNTAX      INTEGER {oneSubchannel(0),
                       twoSubchannels(1),
                       fourSubchannels(2),
                       eightSubchannels(3),

```

sixteenSubchannels(4)}

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Number of subchannels used by each transmit opportunity when REQ Region-Full is allocated in subchannelization region."

REFERENCE

"Table 352, in IEEE 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelEntry 5 }

wmanIfBsOfdmNumSymbolsReqRegionFull OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (0..31)

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Number of OFDM symbols used by each transmit opportunity when REQ Region-Full is allocated in subchannelization region."

REFERENCE

"Table 352, in IEEE 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelEntry 6 }

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted

Recommendation by

1. Delete object:

~~wmanIfBsOfdmSubChReqRegionFull OBJECT-TYPE~~

~~SYNTAX INTEGER {oneSubchannel(0),~~

~~twoSubchannels(1),~~

~~fourSubchannels(2),~~

~~eightSubchannels(3),~~

~~sixteenSubchannels(4)}~~

~~MAX-ACCESS read-write~~

~~STATUS current~~

~~DESCRIPTION~~

~~"Bits 0 – 2 Number of subchannels used by each transmit opportunity when REQ Region-Full is allocated in subchannelization region, per the following enumeration:~~

~~0: 1 Subchannel.~~

~~1: 2 Subchannels.~~

~~2: 4 Subchannels.~~

~~3: 8 Subchannels.~~

~~4: 16 Subchannels.~~

~~5-7: Shall not be used.~~

~~Bits 3 – 7: Number of OFDM symbols used by each transmit~~

~~DESCRIPTION "Number of OFDM symbols used by each transmit
opportunity when REQ Region-Full is allocated in
subchannelization region."~~

~~REFERENCE~~~~"Table 352, in IEEE 802.16-2004"~~~~::= { wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelEntry 5 }~~

2. Create 2 new objects:

wmanIfBsOfdmNumSubChReqRegionFull OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER {oneSubchannel(0),
twoSubchannels(1),
fourSubchannels(2),
eightSubchannels(3),
sixteenSubchannels(4)}

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Number of subchannels used by each transmit
opportunity when REQ Region-Full is allocated in
subchannelization region."

REFERENCE"Table 352, in IEEE 802.16-2004"::= { wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelEntry 5 }

wmanIfBsOfdmNumSymbolsReqRegionFull OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (0..31)

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Number of OFDM symbols used by each transmit
opportunity when REQ Region-Full is allocated in
subchannelization region."

REFERENCE"Table 352, in IEEE 802.16-2004"::= { wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelEntry 6 }

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

1. Delete object:

~~wmanIfBsOfdmSubChReqRegionFull OBJECT-TYPE~~

~~SYNTAX INTEGER {oneSubchannel(0),~~

~~twoSubchannels(1),~~

~~fourSubchannels(2),~~

~~eightSubchannels(3),
 sixteenSubchannels(4)}
 MAX-ACCESS read-write
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "Bits 0-2: Number of subchannels used by each transmit
 opportunity when REQ-Region-Full is allocated in
 subchannelization region, per the following enumeration:
 0: 1 Subchannel.
 1: 2 Subchannels.
 2: 4 Subchannels.
 3: 8 Subchannels.
 4: 16 Subchannels.
 5-7: Shall not be used.
 Bits 3-7: Number of OFDM symbols used by each transmit
 opportunity when REQ-Region-Full is allocated in
 subchannelization region."
 REFERENCE
 "Table 352, in IEEE 802.16-2004"
 ::= { wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelEntry 5 }~~

2. Create 2 new objects:

wmanIfBsOfdmNumSubChReqRegionFull OBJECT-TYPE
 SYNTAX INTEGER {oneSubchannel(0),
 twoSubchannels(1),
 fourSubchannels(2),
 eightSubchannels(3),
 sixteenSubchannels(4)}
 MAX-ACCESS read-write
 STATUS current
 DESCRIPTION
 "Number of subchannels used by each transmit
 opportunity when REQ-Region-Full is allocated in
 subchannelization region."
 REFERENCE

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **309**

Comment submitted by: Krzysztof

Dudzinski

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **999** Starting Line # Fig/Table# Section
 Read-only object wmanIfBsQosScArqBlockSize in the configuration table wmanIfBsServiceClassTable - configuration impossible.

Suggested Remedy

wmanIfBsQosScArqBlockSize OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (1..2040)

UNITS "byte"

MAX-ACCESS ~~read-only~~read-create

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This value of this parameter specifies the size of an ARQ block. This parameter shall be established by negotiation during the connection creation dialog."

REFERENCE

"Section 11.13.18.8 in IEEE 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIfBsServiceClassEntry 18 }

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted**Recommendation by**

wmanIfBsQosScArqBlockSize OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (1..2040)

UNITS "byte"

MAX-ACCESS ~~read-only~~read-create

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This value of this parameter specifies the size of an ARQ block. This parameter shall be established by negotiation during the connection creation dialog."

REFERENCE

"Section 11.13.18.8 in IEEE 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIfBsServiceClassEntry 18 }

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted**

wmanIfBsQosScArqBlockSize OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER (1..2040)

UNITS "byte"

MAX-ACCESS ~~read-only~~read-create

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"This value of this parameter specifies the size of an ARQ block. This parameter shall be established by negotiation during the connection creation dialog."

REFERENCE

"Section 11.13.18.8 in IEEE 802.16-2004"

::= { wmanIfBsServiceClassEntry 18 }

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **310**

Comment submitted by: Krzysztof

Dudzinski

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	999	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	------------	---------

The traps control doesn't allow enough granularity in enabling/disabling multicode traps. This may lead to huge trap storms much more often than tolerable. The user may wish to monitor permanently some traps (e.g. ssAuthorizationSucc) and not the other (e.g. sslnitRangingSucc).

Suggested Remedy

Add object:

wmanIfBsStatusTrapControlRegister OBJECT-TYPE

```

SYNTAX BITS {
    sslnitRangingSucc(0),
    sslnitRangingFail(1),
    ssRegistered(2),
    ssRegistrationFail(3),
    ssDeregistered(4),
    ssBasicCapabilitySucc(5),
    ssBasicCapabilityFail(6),
    ssAuthorizationSucc(7),
    ssAuthorizationFail(8),
    tftpSucc(9),
    tftpFail(10),
    sfCreationSucc(11),
    sfCreationFail(12)
}

```

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The object is used to enable or disable Base Station status notification traps.

The set bit indicates the corresponding Base Station trap is enabled."

```
 ::= { wmanIfBsTrapControl 1 }
```

Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: Accepted-Modified****Recommendation by**

Add object:

wmanIfBsStatusTrapControlRegister OBJECT-TYPE

```

SYNTAX BITS {
    unused(0),
    sslnitRangingSucc(1),
    sslnitRangingFail(2),
    ssRegistered(3),
    ssRegistrationFail(4),
    ssDeregistered(5)
}

```

```

    ssRegistered(6),
    ssBasicCapabilitySucc(6),
    ssBasicCapabilityFail(7),
    ssAuthorizationSucc(8),
    ssAuthorizationFail(9),
    tftpSucc(10),
    tftpFail(11),
    sfCreationSucc(12),
    sfCreationFail(13)
}

```

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The object is used to enable or disable Base Station status notification traps.

The set bit indicates the corresponding Base Station trap is enabled."

::= { wmanIfBsTrapControl 2 }

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Add object:

wmanIfBsStatusTrapControlRegister OBJECT-TYPE

```

SYNTAX BITS {
    unused(0),
    ssInitRangingSucc(1),
    ssInitRangingFail(2),
    ssRegistered(3),
    ssRegistrationFail(4),
    ssDeregistered(5),
    ssBasicCapabilitySucc(6),
    ssBasicCapabilityFail(7),
    ssAuthorizationSucc(8),
    ssAuthorizationFail(9),
    tftpSucc(10),
    tftpFail(11),
    sfCreationSucc(12),
    sfCreationFail(13)
}

```

MAX-ACCESS read-write

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The object is used to enable or disable Base Station status notification traps.

The set bit indicates the corresponding Base Station trap is enabled."

::= { wmanIfBsTrapControl 2 }

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **311**

Comment submitted by: Krzysztof

Dudzinski

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	999	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	------------	---------

The encoding of the objects defining BSID is ambiguous. The objects affected by this issue are:

wmanIfBsOfdmBsld
wmanIfBsOfdmaBsld
wmanIfSsOfdmBsld
wmanIfSsOfdmaBsld

Suggested Remedy

1. Define the textual convention as follows:

WmanIfBsldType ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current
DESCRIPTION

"Defines the encoding of BSID. The BSID is a 6 byte number and follows the encoding rules of MacAddress textual convention, i.e. as if it were transmitted least-significant bit first.

The value should be displayed with 2 parts clearly separated by a colon e.g:
001DFF:00003A. The most significant part is representing the Operator ID. "

SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE(6))

2. Modify the SYNTAX statement for objects:

wmanIfBsOfdmBsld
wmanIfBsOfdmaBsld
wmanIfSsOfdmBsld
wmanIfSsOfdmaBsld

to use WmanIfBsldType textual convention.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted**

Recommendation by

1. Define the textual convention as follows:

WmanIfBsldType ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current
DESCRIPTION

"Defines the encoding of BSID. The BSID is a 6 byte number and follows the encoding rules of MacAddress textual convention, i.e. as if it were transmitted least-significant bit first.

The value should be displayed with 2 parts clearly separated by a colon e.g:
001DFF:00003A. The most significant part is representing the Operator ID. "

SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE(6))

2. Modify the SYNTAX tatement for objects:

wmanIfBsOfdmBsld
wmanIfBsOfdmaBsld
wmanIfSsOfdmBsld
wmanIfSsOfdmaBsld

to use WmanIfBsldType textual convention.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

1. Define the textual convetion as follows:

WmanIfBsldType ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Defines the encoding of BSID. The BSID is a 6 byte number and follows the encoding rules of MacAddress textual convention, i.e. as if it were transmitted least-significant bit first.

The value should be displayed with 2 parts clearly separated by a colon e.g:
001DFF:00003A. The most significant part is representing the Operator ID. "

SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE(6))

2. Modify the SYNTAX tatement for objects:

wmanIfBsOfdmBsld
wmanIfBsOfdmaBsld
wmanIfSsOfdmBsld
wmanIfSsOfdmaBsld

to use WmanIfBsldType textual convention.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **312**

Comment submitted by: Krzysztof

Dudzinski

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	999	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	------------	---------

Columnar objects cannot be non-accessible. The issue affects the following objects:

[-wmanIfBsEventLogLatestEvent in wmanIfBsEventLogConfigTable](#)[-wmanIfSsEventLogLatestEvent in wmanIfSsEventLogConfigTable](#)**Suggested Remedy**[Change MAX-ACCESS to read-only for objects wmanIfBsEventLogLatestEvent and wmanIfSsEventLogLatestEvent](#)**Proposed Resolution** **Recommendation: Accepted****Recommendation by**[Change MAX-ACCESS to read-only for objects wmanIfBsEventLogLatestEvent and wmanIfSsEventLogLatestEvent](#)**Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted**[Change MAX-ACCESS to read-only for objects wmanIfBsEventLogLatestEvent and wmanIfSsEventLogLatestEvent](#)**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution****Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **313**

Comment submitted by: Krzysztof

Dudzinski

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	999	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	------------	---------

Columnat object access rights are not compatible with implicit access-rights of the table. The issue affects the following objects:

wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelRowStatus
wmanIfBsOfdmDownlinkChannelRowStatus
wmanIfBsOfdmaUplinkChannelRowStatus
wmanIfBsOfdmaDownlinkChannelRowStatus

Suggested Remedy

Change MAX-ACCESS to read-write of the objects:

wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelRowStatus
wmanIfBsOfdmDownlinkChannelRowStatus
wmanIfBsOfdmaUplinkChannelRowStatus
wmanIfBsOfdmaDownlinkChannelRowStatus

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Delete these objects:

wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelRowStatus
wmanIfBsOfdmDownlinkChannelRowStatus
wmanIfBsOfdmaUplinkChannelRowStatus
wmanIfBsOfdmaDownlinkChannelRowStatus

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Delete these objects:

wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelRowStatus
wmanIfBsOfdmDownlinkChannelRowStatus
wmanIfBsOfdmaUplinkChannelRowStatus
wmanIfBsOfdmaDownlinkChannelRowStatus

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns**

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **314**

Comment submitted by: Krzysztof

Dudzinski

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
BS traps must be part of mandatory group. They are essential to effective management.	Technical, Binding	999			

Suggested Remedy

Move wmanIfMibBsNotificationGroup to the list of unconditionally mandatory groups.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Move wmanIfMibBsNotificationGroup to the list of unconditionally mandatory groups. (reference to C80216-05_003)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Move wmanIfMibBsNotificationGroup to the list of unconditionally mandatory groups. (reference to C80216-05_003)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **315**

Comment submitted by: Krzysztof

Dudzinski

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	999	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	------------	---------

Objects not included in any group. Don't know if mandatory or not.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsProvisionedSfRowStatus" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsProvisionedForSfRowStatus" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsQoSServiceClassRowStatus" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsClassifierRuleRowStatus" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsConfigurationRowStatus" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCfgExt2ndMgmtDIQoSProfileIndex" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCfgExt2ndMgmtUIQoSProfileIndex" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCfgExtAutoSfidEnabled" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCfgExtAutoSfidRangeMin" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCfgExtAutoSfidRangeMax" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCfgExtAasChanFbckReqFreq" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCfgExtAasBeamSelectFreq" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCfgExtAasChanFbckReqResolution" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCfgExtAasBeamReqResolution" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCfgExtAasNumOptDiversityZones" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCfgExtResetSector" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCfgExtRowStatus" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsCidIndex" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsMacPduCount" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsMacPduCrcErrCount" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsMacCounterReset" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsMacCounterResetTime" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapUplinkCidSupport" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapArqSupport" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapDsxFlowControl" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapMacCrcSupport" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapMcaFlowControl" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapMcpGroupCidSupport" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapPkmFlowControl" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapAuthPolicyControl" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapMaxNumOfSupportedSA" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapIpVersion" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapMacCsSupportBitMap" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapMaxNumOfClassifier" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapPhsSupport" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapBandwidthAllocSupport" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapPduConstruction" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapTtgTransitionGap" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSsRspCapRtgTransitionGap" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCapUplinkCidSupport" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCapArqSupport" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsCapDsxFlowControl" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileRowStatus" is not included in any group defined in the current module.
WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileRowStatus" is not included in any group defined in the current module.
WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSnmpAgentV1V2TrapDestIpAddrType" is not included in any group defined in the current module.
WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSnmpAgentV1V2TrapDestIpAddr" is not included in any group defined in the current module.
WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSnmpAgentV1V2TrapDestPort" is not included in any group defined in the current module.
WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSnmpAgentResetBs" is not included in any group defined in the current module.
WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfBsSnmpAgentConfigRowStatus" is not included in any group defined in the current module.
WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfSsConfigurationRowStatus" is not included in any group defined in the current module.
WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfCmnPhsRulePhsIndex" is not included in any group defined in the current module.
WARNING: OBJECT-TYPE "wmanIfCmnBsSsConfigurationRowStatus" is not included in any group defined in the current module.

Suggested Remedy

Assign listed objects to the relevant groups following general assignment pattern.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
Editor to make appropriate assignment.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Editor to make appropriate assignment.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **316**

Comment submitted by: **Manikantan**

Srinivasan

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Non-binding** Starting Page # **999** Starting Line #

Fig/Table#

Section

Figure Captions can be changed to "xxx Subtree" instead of "xxx Structure"

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

The commentor argument is unconvincing.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The commentor argument is unconvincing.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 2

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **317**Comment submitted by: **Manikantan****Srinivasan**

Member

2005-04-27

Comment **Type** **Technical, Non-binding** **Starting Page #** **999** **Starting Line #** **Fig/Table#** **Section** **13**

Some of the table description mention the index to the tables, while most does not. We can have index information for all the tables. Example table with index information - 13.3.3.1 wmanIfCmnCryptoSuiteTable

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation:** **Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
remove the index information from all table descriptions in clause 13

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group:** **Accepted-Modified**
remove the index information from all table descriptions in clause 13

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **318**

Comment submitted by: Jonathan

Labs

Member

2005-04-27

Comment Type **Technical, Non-binding** Starting Page # **999** Starting Line # **1** Fig/Table# Section **14**

This comment is probably going to open up a can of worms, and I almost did not type this one up. The changes arising from the Corrigendum have an impact on the MIB's. For example, the downlink channel ID has been considered an ambiguity left-over from the DOCSIS heritage, and all references to it have been removed from the spec according to P802.16-2004/Cor1/D2. It should be removed from WmanIfBsOfdmDownlinkChannelEntry on p. 124, line 55.

Suggested Remedy

Review P802.16-2004/Cor1/D2 and incorporate the changes from that project into P802.16f/D3.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Out of scope

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Rejected****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

Out of scope

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 3

Group's Action Items**Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **319**

Comment submitted by: Ron

Greenthaler

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Gen	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
Cyber attacks, hackers, cyber security, physical security ...etc should be address. There should be a level of configuration management or security to address these issues.						

Suggested Remedy
 Include some details or layers to address security issues for internal and external threats.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Rejected** **Recommendation by**

Reason for Recommendation
 Constrained by the features of existing standard.
 No specific remedy is provided.

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Rejected**

Accepted: 0
 Opposed: 6

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution
 Constrained by the features of existing standard.
 No specific remedy is provided.

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **320**Comment submitted by: [Ivy](#)[Kelly](#)

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Editorial	Starting Page #	Gen	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
There are a few incorrect references. (For example, page 97 lines 23 and 40 incorrectly reference table 341, should refer to table 343)							

Suggested Remedy

[Go through document and make sure references are properly updated to the 802.16-2004 published document.](#)

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

[Go through document and make sure references are properly updated to the 802.16-2004 published document.](#)

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Accepted**

[Go through document and make sure references are properly updated to the 802.16-2004 published document.](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **321**

Comment submitted by: John T.

Scott

Member

2005-04-27

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #
	Coordination	Gen	

Fig/Table#	Section
------------	---------

This standard contains nothing that is a problem for SCC14. It has my approval.

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted**

Recommendation by

No action required.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted**

No action required.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **322**

Comment submitted by: Mark

Cudak

2005/04/29

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**Starting Page # **179**Starting Line # **44**

Fig/Table#

Section **14**

Management information for a higher-layer protocol (DHCP) in a link-layer MIB is not appropriate.

Suggested Remedy

14. ASN.1 Definitions (page 179, lines 44-53), item wmanIfSsDhcpSuccessTrap should be removed.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Delegate the editor to change DHCP related text in the draft to refer to "establish IP connectivity" in the network entry procedure.

Reason for Recommendation

This event is associated with "establish IP connectivity" in the network entry procedure. So, it is in the scope.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Delegate the editor to change DHCP related text in the draft to refer to "establish IP connectivity" in the network entry procedure.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

This event is associated with "establish IP connectivity" in the network entry procedure. So, it is in the scope.

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **323L**

Comment submitted by: Mike

Geipel

Member

2005/04/30

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	27	Starting Line #	40	Fig/Table#	Section	14.
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	----	-----------------	----	------------	---------	-----

The indicated page & line number is just the first instance...

SMLv1 recommends not to use 0 in enumerated integer values.
See RFC2578, Sect.7.1.1

The following are all zero-based enumerated integers:

WmanIfPhsRulVerifyType: phsVerifyEnable
WmanIfCsSpecification: noCs
WmanIfDataEncryptAlgId: none
WmanIfDataAuthAlgId: noDataAuthentication
WmanIfOfdmFecCodeType: bpskCc1-2
WmanIfOfdmaFecCodeType: qpskCc1-2
WmanIfArqSupportType: arqNotSupported
WmanIfMacCrcSupport: noMacCrcSupport
WmanIfIpVersionType: undefined
WmanIfPhsSupportType: noPhsSupport
wmanIfBsQoSFixedVsVariableSduInd: variableLength
wmanIfBsClassifierRuleEnetProtocolType: none
wmanIfBsSsResetCounter: null
wmanIfBsSsManagementSupport: unmanagedSs
wmanIfBsSslpManagementMode: unmanaged
wmanIfBsSsAasBroadcastPermission: contBasedBwReqPermitted
wmanIfBsCfgExtAutoSfidEnabled: autoSfidDisabled
wmanIfBsCfgExtAasChanFbckReqResolution: aasChanFbckRes00
wmanIfBsCfgExtAasBeamReqResolution: aasBeamReqRes000
wmanIfBsCfgExtResetSector: actionResetSectorNoAction
wmanIfBsSsMacCounterReset: null
wmanIfBsSsActionsResetSs: actionsResetSsNoAction
wmanIfBsSsActionsAbortSs: actionsAbortSsNoAction
wmanIfBsSsActionsDeReRegSs: actionsDeReRegSsNoAction
wmanIfBsSsActionsDeReRegSsCode: actionsDeReRegSsCodeChangeChan
wmanIfBsSsPkmAuthRejectErrorCode: noInformation
wmanIfBsSsPkmAuthInvalidErrorCode: noInformation
wmanIfBsSsPkmAuthValidStatus: unknown
wmanIfBsPkmTekSAType: primarySA
wmanIfBsPkmKeyRejectErrorCode: noInformation
wmanIfBsPkmTekInvalidErrorCode: noInformation
wmanIfBsPowerStatus: priOnSecStandby
wmanIfBsOfdmSubChReqRegionFull: oneSubchannel
wmanIfBsOfdmFrameDurationCode: duration2dot5ms
wmanIfBsOfdmUcdTcsEnable: tcsDisabled
wmanIfBsOfdmTcsEnable: tcsDisabled
wmanIfBsOfdmDnlkRateId: dnlkRateIdBpsk1-2

```

wmanIfBsOfdmaFrameDurationCode: aASGap
wmanIfBsSnmpAgentResetBs: actionResetBsNoAction
wmanIfSsPkmTekSAType: primarySA
wmanIfSsOfdmSubChReqRegionFull: oneSubchannel
wmanIfSsOfdmFrameDurationCode: duration2dot5ms
wmanIfSsOfdmUcdTcsEnable: tcsDisabled
wmanIfSsOfdmTcsEnable: tcsDisabled
wmanIfSsOfdmaFrameDurationCode: aASGap
wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleEnetProtocolType: none
wmanIfCmnCpsFixedVsVariableSdulnd: variableLength

```

Please renumber them so that they are not zero-based enumerations.

Suggested Remedy

Please make sure that integer enumerations are not zero-based. Redefine them as appropriate. (They should also be defined as a specific size integer, like Integer32 for SMIv2.)

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

To reject this proposal.

Reason for Recommendation

The enumerations use the "0" constants as defined in the 802.16 standard. RFC2578 actually allows any value of Integer32.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

For: 0

Against: 3

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **324L**Comment submitted by: **Mike****Geipel**

Member

2005/04/30

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **116** Starting Line # **31**Fig/Table# Section **14.**

The BS Event log configuration (wmanIfBsEventLogConfigTable) is indexed by ifIndex.

So, there could be multiple entries in this table.

There is no corresponding index for wmanIfBsEventLogTable.

The same is true for for wmanIfSsEventLogConfigTable and wmanIfSsEventTable.

Suggested Remedy

Provide the same indexing options for the EventLog and each corresponding ConfigTable.

(indexed by IfIndex)

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted-Modified**Recommendation by**

See the resolutions in comments of 183 and 332L

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

See the resolutions in comments of 183 and 332L

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **325L**

Comment submitted by: **Mike**

Geipel

Member

2005/04/30

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **121** Starting Line # **32** Fig/Table# Section **14.**

The following tables contain read-create row status column but the remaining columns are read-write.

wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelTable
wmanIfBsOfdmDownlinkChannelTable
wmanIfBsOfdmaUplinkChannelTable
wmanIfBsOfdmaDownlinkChannelTable

This should be considered an error.

Suggested Remedy

Change columns that may be created via row status to read-create.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Accepted-Modified

Recommendation by

Remove the row status of the following tables

wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelTable
wmanIfBsOfdmDownlinkChannelTable
wmanIfBsOfdmaUplinkChannelTable
wmanIfBsOfdmaDownlinkChannelTable

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Remove the row status of the following tables

wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelTable
wmanIfBsOfdmDownlinkChannelTable
wmanIfBsOfdmaUplinkChannelTable
wmanIfBsOfdmaDownlinkChannelTable

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [\(1\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **326L**

Comment submitted by: Mike

Geipel

Member

2005/04/30

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **63** Starting Line # **56** Fig/Table# Section **14.**

The following tables have read-write row status (instead of read-create).

- wmanIfBsConfigurationTable
- wmanIfBsConfigExtTable
- wmanIfBsCapabilitiesConfigTable
- wmanIfBsOfdmCapabilitiesConfigTable
- wmanIfSsConfigurationTable
- wmanIfCmnBsSsConfigurationTable

Suggested Remedy

Change the indicated row-status from read-write to read-create.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by

Remove the row status from the tables below

- wmanIfBsConfigurationTable
- wmanIfBsConfigExtTable
- wmanIfBsCapabilitiesConfigTable
- wmanIfBsOfdmCapabilitiesConfigTable
- wmanIfSsConfigurationTable
- wmanIfCmnBsSsConfigurationTable

Reason for Recommendation

The entries in the following tables are read-write, so row status is not needed.

- wmanIfBsConfigurationTable
- wmanIfBsConfigExtTable
- wmanIfBsCapabilitiesConfigTable
- wmanIfBsOfdmCapabilitiesConfigTable
- wmanIfSsConfigurationTable
- wmanIfCmnBsSsConfigurationTable

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Remove the row status from the tables below

- wmanIfBsConfigurationTable
- wmanIfBsConfigExtTable
- wmanIfBsCapabilitiesConfigTable

wmanIfBsCapabilitiesConfigTable
wmanIfBsOfdmCapabilitiesConfigTable
wmanIfSsConfigurationTable
wmanIfCmnBsSsConfigurationTable

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The entries in the following tables are read-write, so row status is not needed.

wmanIfBsConfigurationTable
wmanIfBsConfigExtTable
wmanIfBsCapabilitiesConfigTable
wmanIfBsOfdmCapabilitiesConfigTable
wmanIfSsConfigurationTable
wmanIfCmnBsSsConfigurationTable

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **327L**Comment submitted by: **Mike****Geipel**

Member

2005/04/30

Comment Type **Technical, Binding** Starting Page # **116** Starting Line # **11** Fig/Table# Section **14.**
 The EventLog and associated config tables seem to be clunky.

Suggested Remedy

Instead of defining our own, we should take advantage of existing work, such as:
 RFC 3878: Alarm Reporting Control MIB
 RFC 3014: Notification Log MIB
 RFC 2981: Event MIB

I believe that RFC 3014 suits our purpose the best.

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

No action required

Reason for Recommendation

We already use RFC3014 as the basis; however, we have removed some of the complexities, and have added a few features that are beneficial to 802.16/2004.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

No action required

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

We already use RFC3014 as the basis; however, we have removed some of the complexities, and have added a few features that are beneficial to 802.16/2004.

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **328L**

Comment submitted by: Mike

Geipel

Member

2005/04/30

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	999	Starting Line #	0	Fig/Table#	Section	14.
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	---	------------	---------	-----

The section on error count reporting from the previous revision has been removed.

This is an wonderful troubleshooting tool. (It need not be in a mandatory group.)

It would be nice to have per-interface (or even per-SS) counters for unerrored, corrected, uncorrectables

Suggested Remedy

Restore the "wmanIfBsSsFecCounterTable" from the previous revision of this document (begins at page 73).

Restore the "wmanIfSsFecCounterTable" from the previous revision of this document (begins at page 162).

If the data representation (or table name) is the issue, I would like to ask that the chair forms an ad-hoc group to propose acceptable wording at the next meeting.

It would also be nice to have similar counters for pre/post ARQ correction.

Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: Rejected****Recommendation by****Reason for Recommendation**

Refer to comment 203 and 204 of IEEE 80216-05/002r2
wmanIfBsSsFecCounterTable was removed

The group was not able to reconcile specific counters for the table. The counters were perceived to be implementation specific, and the group was unable to derive a standard set of counters. If the commentor would choose to provide a common set of counters that the group will agree upon, the task group will be happy to revisit reinstating the table.

Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: Rejected**

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 5

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Refer to comment 203 and 204 of IEEE 80216-05/002r2

wmanIfBsSsFecCounterTable was removed

The group was not able to reconcile specific counters for the table. The counters were perceived to be implementation specific, and the group was unable to derive a standard set of counters. If the commentor would choose to provide a common set of counters that the group will agree upon, the task group will be happy to revisit reinstating the table.

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **329L**

Comment submitted by: **Mike**

Geipel

Member

2005/04/30

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **999**

Starting Line # **0**

Fig/Table#

Section **14.**

There is no visibility to data that could be used to remotely determine something akin to a constellation as a diagnostic tool.

Suggested Remedy

Ask the chair to form an ad-hoc group to quickly determine the appropriate data model.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Rejected

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Out of scope

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Rejected

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Out of scope

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions **k) done**

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **330L**

Comment submitted by: Mike

Geipel

Member

2005/04/30

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**Starting Page # **999** Starting Line # **0**Fig/Table# Section **14.**

The MIB's scope is defined to cover management for MAC and PHY of 802.16.

Yet it does not provide for all of the PHY modes.
So any MIB we define would not be complete.

Suggested Remedy

Break up the monolithic MIB into multiple MIBs:

- device MIB (common, BS, SS)
- MAC interface MIB (common, BS, SS)
- common PHY interface MIB
- SC-PHY interface MIB
- SCa-PHY interface MIB
- OFDM-PHY interface MIB
- OFDMA-PHY interface MIB

I would prefer the definition of multiple MIBs, where not all of them are defined.

At least the provided MIBs could be evaluated on their own merits and determined to be complete.

It is also a lot easier to define and use conformance statements in the modular case.

(If a vendor only supports OFDMA, then only include "common PHY" and "OFDMA-PHY" MIBs.

No conformance statement would be needed to state that OFDM is not supported.)

It may be worthwhile to consider if the MAC if MIB could be broken up too.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

See the resolution of comment 332.

Reason for Recommendation

The group discussed the potential for separating the PHY types into individual MIBs. After lengthy discussions, it was decided that the current format more accurately represented the current layout of the standard, with multiple PHY modes, within a common PHY structure.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

See the resolution of comment 332

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The group discussed the potential for separating the PHY types into individual MIBs. After lengthy discussions, it was decided that the current format more accurately represented the current layout of the standard, with multiple PHY modes, within a common PHY structure.

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **331L**

Comment submitted by: Mike

Geipel

Member

2005/04/30

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	999	Starting Line #	0	Fig/Table#	Section	14.
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	---	------------	---------	-----

The conformance statement is not yet defined for this MIB.
This is a major omission.

It is not enough just to have one; the conformance statement must be usable.

That is to say:

- (1) Standards writers should have a way to define conditional statements.
[If feature "A" is supported, then tables "X", "Y", "Z" are mandatory.]
- (2) Implementations should have a way to express in an unambiguous way, what is and is not supported.

In general, the larger the MIB, the harder this is to make clear.
Ours is currently a large monolithic MIB.

Suggested Remedy

There are two approaches to address this issue.
I would advocate doing both, given the complexity of our MIB:

- (1) Break up the MIB into smaller, more reasonable, chunks.
 - device MIB (common, BS, SS)
 - MAC interface MIB (common, BS, SS)
 - common PHY interface MIB
 - SC-PHY interface MIB
 - SCa-PHY interface MIB
 - OFDM-PHY interface MIB
 - OFDMA-PHY interface MIB
- (2) Take advantage of RFC 2580 definition for the AGENT-CAPABILITIES macro:

Consider the following quote from section 6 of RFC 2580:

"The AGENT-CAPABILITIES macro is used to convey a set of capabilities present in an agent...

"When a MIB module is written, it is divided into units of conformance termed groups. If an agent claims to implement a group, then it must implement each and every object, or each and every notification, within that group. Of course, for whatever reason, an agent might implement only a subset of the groups within a MIB module. In addition, the definition of some MIB objects/notifications leave

some aspects of the definition to the discretion of an implementor.

"Practical experience has demonstrated a need for concisely describing the capabilities of an agent with respect to one or more MIB modules.

The AGENT-CAPABILITIES macro allows an agent implementor to describe the precise level of support which an agent claims in regards to a MIB group, and to bind that description to the value of an instance of sysORID [3]. In particular, some objects may have restricted or augmented syntax or access-levels."

RFC 2580 obsoleted RFC 1904, and is also listed as STD 58.

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
[See comment 303](#)

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**
[See comment 303](#)

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **332L**

Comment submitted by: Mike

Geipel

Member

2005/04/30

Comment Type Technical, Binding Starting Page # 154 Starting Line # 50 Fig/Table# Section 14.

The WMAN-IF-MIB is defined under the "transmission" arc, as is typical for managed objects that must be indexed by ifIndex. But this MIB contains a large number of elements that are not interface-specific.

Consider these management objects that are device attributes and are not tied to an interface:

The "wmanIfBsSnmpAgentConfigTable" (p.154) is a table with a fixed number of rows (1).

```
WmanIfBsSnmpAgentConfigEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    wmanIfBsSnmpAgentConfigIndex      INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsSnmpAgentV1V2TrapDestIpAddrType  InetAddressType,
    wmanIfBsSnmpAgentV1V2TrapDestIpAddr      InetAddress,
    wmanIfBsSnmpAgentV1V2TrapDestPort      Integer32,
    wmanIfBsSnmpAgentResetBs             INTEGER,
    wmanIfBsSnmpAgentConfigRowStatus      RowStatus}
```

The "wmanIfSsConfigFileEncodingTable" (p. 156) is a table with a fixed number of rows (1).

```
WmanIfSsConfigFileEncodingEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    wmanIfSsMicConfigSetting          OCTET STRING,
    wmanIfSsVendorId                 OCTET STRING,
    wmanIfSsHwId                     OCTET STRING,
    wmanIfSsSwVersion                OCTET STRING,
    wmanIfSsUpgradeFileName          OCTET STRING,
    wmanIfSsSwUpgradeTftpServer      InetAddress,
    wmanIfSsTftpServerTimeStamp      DateAndTime}
```

Similarly, trap bits defined for "wmanIfBsTrapControlRegister" (p.108) include

```
wmanIfBsPowerStatusChange
wmanIfBsFanStatusChange
wmanIfBsTemperatureChange
wmanIfBsEvent
```

It is hard to understand why "wmanIfBsFanStatusChange" is in an IF-MIB.

Suggested Remedy

Remove these management objects from the wmanIf arc (this MIB).
Move these management objects to the arc already defined for ieee802 devices.

Namely iso(1).std(0).iso8802(8802).

Consider iso(1).std(0).iso8802(8802).wman(16).wmanDev(1) ...

See section 13.2 of the 802b-2004 standard.

More examples of how this is used are discussed in section 2.9 of:
 [grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/802_tutorials/chair_guidelines_1-6.pdf]
 Or [ieee802.org/secmail/pdf00157.pdf]

It is inappropriate for the interface MIB to contain elements that are not specific to the RF interface we're describing. There are numerous needs which need to be incorporated into a WMAN-DEV-MIB".

***** eor *****

Examples I found with a quick google search:
 iso(1).std(0).iso8802(8802).ieee802dot1(1).ieee802dot1mibs(1).
 iso(1).std(0).iso8802(8802).csma(3).hubmgt(18).
 iso(1).std(0).iso8802(8802).csma(3).mauMgt(20).

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted-Modified

Recommendation by

Editor to create Device MIB--WMAN-DEV-MIB in iso(1).std(0).iso8802(8802).wman(16).wmanDev(1) .. following the guidelines in grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/802_tutorials/chair_guidelines_1-6.pdf] and [ieee802.org/secmail/pdf00157.pdf]

Editor to move wmanIfBsSnmpAgentConfigTable, wmanIfSsConfigFileEncodingTable, tables under wmanIfBsEventLog and wmanIfSsEventLog subtree, and other appropriate tables (e.g. device related traps) to WMAN-DEV-MIB

Editor to remove ifIndex, and create appropriate index for tables moved to WMAN-DEV-MIB.

Reason for Recommendation

Krzysztof's research report:

What do they want:

- Use other standard MIBs instead of wmanIfBsSnmpAgentConfigTable (#215)
- Move PHY, MAC, device tables to different MIBs (#330L, #331L)
- Move event log to device MIB (#183, #235)
- Move wmanIfBsSnmpAgentConfigTable, wmanIfSsConfigFileEncodingTable to device MIB
- Move PHY to differnet MIB (#185)

Research report:

-There is no generic standard defining the SNMP traps destination for SNMPv1v2

There are some generic standard defining the destination for info, notifications and event log, complex to just address this simple purpose we have

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

- Here are some generic standard defining the destination for INTOS, notifications and event too complex to just address this simple purpose we have
- Various application specific standards have their own MIB objects for the same purpose (e.g. DOCSIS) and it is normally in a separate MIB (device MIB)
- There are examples of single destination configuration as well as multiple destination configuration. So we seems to be OK with our single destination.
- Device MIB seems to be very common solution across various application specific standards to contain non interface objects.

Options:

1. No change
2. Remove wmanIfBsSnmpAgentConfigTable
3. Create Device MIB and move wmanIfBsSnmpAgentConfigTable, wmanIfSsConfigFileEncodingTable, event log to device MIB

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Editor to create Device MIB--WMAN-DEV-MIB in iso(1).std(0).iso8802(8802).wman(16).wmanDev(1) .. following the guidelines in grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/802_tutorials/chair_guidelines_1-6.pdf] and [ieee802.org/secmail/pdf00157.pdf]

Editor to move wmanIfBsSnmpAgentConfigTable, wmanIfSsConfigFileEncodingTable, tables under wmanIfBsEventLog and wmanIfSsEventLog subtree, and other appropriate tables (e.g. device related traps) to WMAN-DEV-MIB

Editor to remove ifIndex, and create appropriate index for tables moved to WMAN-DEV-MIB.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: IEEE P802.16f/D3

Ballot Number: 0000998

Comment Date

Comment # 333L

Comment submitted by: Mike

Geipel

Member

2005/04/30

Comment Type Technical, Non-binding Starting Page # 156 Starting Line # 38 Fig/Table# Section 14.

The following tables are documented to contain only one row, yet still indexed by ifIndex. This seems to be an unnecessary statement. If there is only one row, shouldn't these be sequences of elements instead?

- wmanIfSsConfigurationTable
- wmanIfSsChannelMeasurementTable
- wmanIfSsThresholdConfigTable
- wmanIfSsNotificationObjectsTable

What are the implications for future devices with more than one interface? Like robust mobile devices with make-before-break support.

Suggested Remedy

Remove the unnecessary statement in each case.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Rejected

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

The comment is internally inconsistent, and in fact answers his own question. The addition of future interfaces creates the motivation for indexing by ifIndex, even when only a single row is presented at this time.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Rejected

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The comment is internally inconsistent, and in fact answers his own question. The addition of future interfaces creates the motivation for indexing by ifIndex, even when only a single row is presented at this time.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0
Opposed: 5

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **334L**

Comment submitted by: Mike

Geipel

Member

2005/04/30

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	999	Starting Line #	0	Fig/Table#	Section	14.
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	---	------------	---------	-----

This MIB should not generate any SMIV2 warnings when using the "smilint" tool.

Suggested Remedy

Follow the recommended (usually simple) changes that "smilint" reports in its most verbose mode.

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

After discussion, we have found minor warnings on object names exceeding 32 characters. The group decided to prefer to accept the warnings instead of limitations of having inadquately descriptive names.
The group prefer to make specific determination on the case by case basis.

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

After discussion, we have found minor warnings on object names exceeding 32 characters. The group decided to prefer to accept the warnings instead of limitations of having inadquately descriptive names.
The group prefer to make specific determination on the case by case basis.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 3

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **335L**

Comment submitted by: **Mike**

Geipel

Member

2005/04/30

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**

Starting Page # **154**

Starting Line # **50**

Fig/Table#

Section **14.**

There is only one configurable trap destination in "wmanIfBsSnmpAgentConfigTable". Why is this?

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: Accepted

Recommendation by

No action required

Reason for Recommendation

For simplicity reason

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted

No action required

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

For simplicity reason

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **336L**Comment submitted by: **Mike****Geipel**

Member

2005/04/30

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	156	Starting Line #	38	Fig/Table#	Section	14.
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	----	------------	---------	-----

wmanIfSsConfigFileEncodingTable contains such values as
VendorId, HwId, SwVersion

which are not yet defined for the BS.

Suggested Remedy

The "wmanIfSsConfigFileEncodingTable" should be renamed and made common to both the BS and SS. (Hopefully into a device MIB...)

Proposed ResolutionRecommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

No Configuration file for BS specified in the 802.16 standard. It is SS only.

Resolution of GroupDecision of Group: **Rejected**

Accept: 0

Opposed: 6

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

No Configuration file for BS specified in the 802.16 standard. It is SS only.

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes**

Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **337L**Comment submitted by: **Mike****Geipel**

Member

2005/04/30

Comment **Type** **Technical, Non-binding** **Starting Page #** **121** **Starting Line #** **30** **Fig/Table#** **Section** **14.**

For the wmanIfSsOfdmPhy section, if the tables in wmanIfSsOfdmPhy and wmanIfSsOfdmaPhy are copies of those found in the wmanIfBSOfdmPhy.

Suggested Remedy

Put these tables into a common group and mark those that are BS only as such?

Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: Rejected****Recommendation by****Reason for Recommendation**

The suggested remedy appears internally contradictory. It is not possible for something to be in a common group, and be set aside as BS. No specific text given.

These parts were originally separated in order to distinguish the various attributes of the different PHYs, and to provide the opportunity to differ the access right for SS and BS.

Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: Rejected****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

The suggested remedy appears internally contradictory. It is not possible for something to be in a common group, and be set aside as BS. No specific text given.

These parts were originally separated in order to distinguish the various attributes of the different PHYs, and to provide the opportunity to differ the access right for SS and BS.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 3

Group's Action Items**Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **338L**Comment submitted by: **Mike****Geipel**

Member

2005/04/30

Comment Type **Editorial**Starting Page # **4**Starting Line # **21**

Fig/Table#

Section **9.3.1.**

This section only describes the required RFCs for support of SNMPv3.

Suggested Remedy

Add the following MIBs as required for implementations that support SNMP and support relevant features:

Imports and Definitions:

RFC1155: RFC1155-SMI
 RFC1157: RFC1157-SNMP
 RFC3416: SNMPv2-PDU (also RFC1905)
 RFC3417: SNMPv2-TM (also RFC1906)
 RFC3418: SNMPv2-MIB (also RFC1907)
 RFC3411: SNMP-FRAMEWORK-MIB (also RFC2571)
 RFC3412: SNMP-MPD-MIB (also RFC2572)
 RFC2578: SNMPv2-SMI
 RFC2579: SNMPv2-TC
 RFC2580: SNMPv2-CONF
 RFC3291: INET-ADDEESS-MIB (required by MIBs that support IPv6)

IETF MIBS:

RFC2863: IF-MIB (All)
 RFC1493: BRIDGE-MIB (All)
 RFC2674: pBridgeMIB and qBridgeMIB (devices that support VLAN bridge behavior)
 RFC2665: EtherLike-MIB (devices with Ethernet interface)
 RFC3636: MAU-MIB (devices with Ethernet interface) (see also RFC2668)
 RFC2011: IP-MIB (devices that have an IP stack)
 RFC2013: UDP-MIB (devices that have an IP stack)
 RFC2013: IGMP-STD-MIB (devices that have an IP stack)
 RFC3413: SNMP-NOTIFICATION-MIB, SNMP-TARGET-MIB (also RFC2573)
 RFC3414: SNMP-USER-BASED-SM-MIB (also RFC2574)
 RFC3415: SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB (also RFC2575)

May Need investigation (v3):

RFC2576: SNMP-COMMUNITY-MIB (SNMPv3)
 RFC2786: SNMP-USM-DH-OBJECTS-MIB (SNMPv3)

Reason for Recommendation

Some of these references are already exist in the reference section.

It is not standard convention to include references for documents not specifically referenced in the body of the standard. Such document reference is more appropriate for a bibliography appendix.

Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: Rejected****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

Some of these references are already exist in the reference section.

It is not standard convention to include references for documents not specifically referenced in the body of the standard. Such document reference is more appropriate for a bibliography appendix.

Group's Notes

Accepted: 0

Opposed: 5

Group's Action Items**Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **339L**

Comment submitted by: Mike

Geipel

Member

2005/04/30

Comment	Type Technical, Binding	Starting Page # 4	Starting Line # 34	Fig/Table#	Section 9.3.1.
---------	--------------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------	------------	-----------------------

There is no interoperable method yet described to use of "other protocols for SS management".

This statement needs to be removed and handled in 802.16g documentation.

Proxy behavior for SNMP mode is ill-defined, and represents a loss of information for SS management by NMS. It also constitutes a new mandatory requirement for the BS, given only optional support by SS.

Suggested Remedy

Remove the paragraph describing "SNMP proxy" behavior and other protocols.

[Alternatively, someone could create a table for each SS value indicating whether the information is known by the BS either: because the BS knows about synchronized state info (1), via cached SNMP polls (2), via on-demand SNMP polls (3), other notification methods (4?), or is unknowable by the BS (5).]

As a side note, I expect that the author had actually meant to say "relay" rather than "proxy". A proxy implies that the BS is actually intercepting SNMP requests from the network management framework that was intended for the SS.

Whereas in the case of a "relay", the BS is being asked about the SS state, explicitly.

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation: Accepted-Modified	Recommendation by
---------------------	--	-------------------

Remove the paragraph describing "SNMP proxy" behavior and other protocols.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified
---------------------	---

Remove the paragraph describing "SNMP proxy" behavior and other protocols.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **340L**

Comment submitted by: Mike

Geipel

Member

2005/04/30

Comment	Type	Technical, Binding	Starting Page #	999	Starting Line #	0	Fig/Table#	Section	14.
---------	------	--------------------	-----------------	-----	-----------------	---	------------	---------	-----

Identifying information may be particularly useful in diagnosing issues. This info is already known by the device and can easily be filled out.

NMS scripts are able to parse this string for valuable without compiling new MIBs.

(The sysDescr OID is mandatory for all SNMP implementations.)

Suggested Remedy

This has been lifted from a document in DOCSIS about the content of the mib-2 "sysDescr" MIB variable in DOCSIS MIB usage. It seems reasonable to have a similar statement in ours:

"Hardware version, Boot ROM image version, vendor name, software version, and model number. Verify that each type value combination is separated by a colon and a blank space. Verify that each succeeding pair is separated by a semicolon followed by a blank space.

Example: any text<<HW_REV: XX; VENDOR: YY; BOOTR: ZZ; SW_REV: AA; MODEL: BB>>any text

"Where XX is the hardware revision number for the device under test, HW_REV is the hardware revision for this device, YY is the text string indicating the product manufacturer for this device, ZZ is the boot rom revision number for the device under test, AA is the software version #, and that BB is the model number. In the case that one of these fields is not applicable the value must be reported as "NONE".

Example : .; BOOTR: NONE; ."

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Editor to create 2 tables in WMAN-DEV-MIB to include objects in 11.1.1, 11.1.2, 11.1.4., and 11.1.6 for SS and BS respectively. Investigate relocating table supporting 11.1.3 and 11.1.5 to saying new location.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Editor to create 2 tables in WMAN-DEV-MIB to include objects in 11.1.1, 11.1.2, 11.1.4., and 11.1.6 for SS and BS respectively.

Investigate relocating table supporting 11.1.3 and 11.1.5 to saying new location.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions c) instructions unclear

Lack of specific direction to relocate table.

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: IEEE P802.16f/D3

Ballot Number: 0000998

Comment Date

Comment # 341

Comment submitted by: Joey

Chou

Member

2005/04/18

Comment Type Editorial Starting Page # 220 Starting Line # 4 Fig/Table# Section 13

The object name should not exceed 32 cgaracters. Otherwise, it will receive errors in the MIB cimpilation with severity 4

Suggested Remedy

Suggest to change the object names to be less name than 32 characters.

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: Withdrawn Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group Decision of Group: Withdrawn

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes Editor's Actions k) done

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

2005/05/01

Comment # **342**

Comment submitted by: Phillip

Barber

Other

Comment	Type	Editorial	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

* On behalf of Bert Wijnen *

C:\bwijnen\smicng\work>smicmfm WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (26,25) Date/time(0502160000Z)
 must have a year greater than 89
 ** 1 error and 0 warnings in parsing

Caused by the fact that you are not using 4 digit year notation in
 LAST Updated. Fixed with:

LAST-UPDATED "200502160000Z" -- February 16, 2005

Suggested Remedy

Change

LAST-UPDATED "0502160000Z" -- February 16, 2005

to

LAST-UPDATED "200502160000Z" -- February 16, 2005

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

Change

LAST-UPDATED "0502160000Z" -- February 16, 2005

to

LAST-UPDATED "200502160000Z" -- February 16, 2005

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

Change

LAST-UPDATED "0502160000Z" -- February 16, 2005

to

LAST-UPDATED "200502160000Z" -- February 16, 2005

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes **Editor's Actions** [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **343**

Comment submitted by: Phillip

Barber

Other

2005/05/01

Comment Type **Editorial**

Starting Page #

Starting Line #

Fig/Table#

Section

* On behalf of Bert Wijnen *

C:\bwijnen\smicng\work>smicng WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.inc

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (166,30) Name of enumerated value "ieee802Dot16-2001" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (167,30) Name of enumerated value "ieee802Dot16c-2002" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (168,30) Name of enumerated value "ieee802Dot16a-2003" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (169,30) Name of enumerated value "ieee802Dot16-2004" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (218,30) Name of enumerated value "bpskCc1-2" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (219,30) Name of enumerated value "qpskRsCcCc1-2" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (220,30) Name of enumerated value "qpskRsCcCc3-4" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (221,30) Name of enumerated value "sixteenQamRsCcCc1-2" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (222,30) Name of enumerated value "sixteenQamRsCcCc3-4" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (223,30) Name of enumerated value "sixtyFourQamRsCcCc2-3" must not contain a hyphen

Suggested Remedy

This is a global change

Remove hyphen from the object name.

For example ""ieee802Dot16-2001"

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified**

Recommendation by

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

Editor to make conformant revision to object names.

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

Editor to make conformant revision to object names.

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: IEEE P802.16f/D3

Ballot Number: 0000998

Comment Date

Comment # 344

Comment submitted by: Phillip

Barber

Other

2005/05/01

Comment	Type	Editorial	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

* On behalf of Bert Wijnen *

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (352,27) Name of bit "ieee802-16PrivacySupported" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (393,27) Name of bit "packet802-3" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (394,27) Name of bit "packet802-1Q" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (395,27) Name of bit "packetIpv4Over802-3" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (396,27) Name of bit "packetIpv6Over802-3" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (397,27) Name of bit "packetIpv4Over802-1Q" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (398,27) Name of bit "packetIpv6Over802-1Q" must not contain a hyphen

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution Recommendation: **Accepted-Modified** Recommendation by Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (352,27) Name of bit "ieee802-16PrivacySupported" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (393,27) Name of bit "packet802-3" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (394,27) Name of bit "packet802-1Q" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (395,27) Name of bit "packetIpv4Over802-3" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (396,27) Name of bit "packetIpv6Over802-3" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (397,27) Name of bit "packetIpv4Over802-1Q" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (398,27) Name of bit "packetIpv6Over802-1Q" must not contain a hyphen

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (352,27) Name of bit "ieee802-16PrivacySupported" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (393,27) Name of bit "packet802-3" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (394,27) Name of bit "packet802-1Q" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (395,27) Name of bit "packetIpv4Over802-3" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (396,27) Name of bit "packetIpv6Over802-3" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (397,27) Name of bit "packetIpv4Over802-1Q" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (398,27) Name of bit "packetIpv6Over802-1Q" must not contain a hyphen

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (352,27) Name of bit "ieee802-16PrivacySupported" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (393,27) Name of bit "packet802-3" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (394,27) Name of bit "packet802-1Q" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (395,27) Name of bit "packetIpv4Over802-3" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (396,27) Name of bit "packetIpv6Over802-3" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (397,27) Name of bit "packetIpv4Over802-1Q" must not contain a hyphen

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (398,27) Name of bit "packetIpv6Over802-1Q" must not contain a hyphen

Group's Notes**Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** k) done**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **345**

Comment submitted by: Phillip

Barber

Other

2005/05/01

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

* On behalf of Bert Wijnen *

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (584,49) Item "wmanIfBsSfState" in sequence "WmanIfBsProvisionedSfEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6584,49) Item "wmanIfBsOfdmaMacVersion" in sequence "WmanIfBsOfdmaDownlinkChannelEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6770,49) Item "wmanIfBsOfdmaUcdFecCodeType" in sequence "WmanIfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6864,49) Item "wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdFecCodeType" in sequence "WmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (9572,49) Item "wmanIfSsOfdmaMacVersion" in sequence "WmanIfSsOfdmaDownlinkChannelEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (9745,49) Item "wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdFecCodeType" in sequence "WmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (9826,49) Item "wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdFecCodeType" in sequence "WmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (10514,49) Item "wmanIfCmnCpsSfState" in sequence "WmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry" has conflicting syntax specified

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation: Accepted-Modified	Recommendation by
Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.		

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (584,49) Item "wmanIfBsSfState" in sequence "WmanIfBsProvisionedSfEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6584,49) Item "wmanIfBsOfdmaMacVersion" in sequence "WmanIfBsOfdmaDownlinkChannelEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6770,49) Item "wmanIfBsOfdmaUcdFecCodeType" in sequence "WmanIfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6864,49) Item "wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdFecCodeType" in sequence "WmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (9572,49) Item "wmanIfSsOfdmaMacVersion" in sequence "WmanIfSsOfdmaDownlinkChannelEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (9745,49) Item "wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdFecCodeType" in sequence "WmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (9826,49) Item "wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdFecCodeType" in sequence "WmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry" has conflicting syntax specified
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (10514,49) Item "wmanIfCmnCpsSfState" in sequence "

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (10514,49) Item "wmanIfCmnCpsSfState" in sequence "WmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry" has conflicting syntax specified

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (584,49) Item "wmanIfBsSfState" in sequence "WmanIfBsProvisionedSfEntry" has conflicting syntax specified

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6584,49) Item "wmanIfBsOfdmaMacVersion" in sequence "WmanIfBsOfdmaDownlinkChannelEntry" has conflicting syntax specified

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6770,49) Item "wmanIfBsOfdmaUcdFecCodeType" in sequence "WmanIfBsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry" has conflicting syntax specified

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6864,49) Item "wmanIfBsOfdmaDcdFecCodeType" in sequence "WmanIfBsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry" has conflicting syntax specified

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (9572,49) Item "wmanIfSsOfdmaMacVersion" in sequence "WmanIfSsOfdmaDownlinkChannelEntry" has conflicting syntax specified

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (9745,49) Item "wmanIfSsOfdmaUcdFecCodeType" in sequence "WmanIfSsOfdmaUcdBurstProfileEntry" has conflicting syntax specified

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (9826,49) Item "wmanIfSsOfdmaDcdFecCodeType" in sequence "WmanIfSsOfdmaDcdBurstProfileEntry" has conflicting syntax specified

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (10514,49) Item "wmanIfCmnCpsSfState" in sequence "WmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry" has conflicting syntax specified

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** k) done**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **346**

Comment submitted by: Phillip

Barber

Other

2005/05/01

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

* On behalf of Bert Wijnen *

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (688,43) Index item "wmanIfBsProvSfld" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (3647,17) Index item "wmanIfBsSsActionsMacAddress" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
 Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (688,43) Index item "wmanIfBsProvSfld" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (3647,17) Index item "wmanIfBsSsActionsMacAddress" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (688,43) Index item "wmanIfBsProvSfld" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (3647,17) Index item "wmanIfBsSsActionsMacAddress" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** k) done

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [\[y/ done\]](#)

Change the access right of wmanIfBsProvSfld to not-accessible

Change the access right of wmanIfBsSsActionsMacAddress to not-accessible

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **347**

Comment submitted by: Phillip

Barber

Other

2005/05/01

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

* On behalf of Bert Wijnen *

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (688,43) Index item "wmanIfBsProvSfld" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (3647,17) Index item "wmanIfBsSsActionsMacAddress" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4964,1) Item "wmanIfBsEventLogLatestEvent" has invalid value for MAX-ACCESS

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (688,43) Index item "wmanIfBsProvSfld" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (3647,17) Index item "wmanIfBsSsActionsMacAddress" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4964,1) Item "wmanIfBsEventLogLatestEvent" has invalid value for MAX-ACCESS

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (688,43) Index item "wmanIfBsProvSfld" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (3647,17) Index item "wmanIfBsSsActionsMacAddress" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4964,1) Item "wmanIfBsEventLogLatestEvent" has invalid value for MAX-ACCESS

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** k) done

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (688,43) Index item "wmanIfBsProvSfld" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (3647,17) Index item "wmanIfBsSsActionsMacAddress" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access are duplication of 346

Change the access right of wmanIfBsEventLogLatestEvent to read-only

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **348**

Comment submitted by: Phillip

Barber

Other

2005/05/01

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

* On behalf of Bert Wijnen *

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4961,23) Default value for "wmanIfBsEventLogWrapAr
oundBuffEnable" must be a name and not a number

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5045,23) Default value for "wmanIfBsEventNotificat
ion" must be a name and not a number

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (8498,23) Default value for "wmanIfSsEventLogWrapAr
oundBuffEnable" must be a name and not a number

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (8581,23) Default value for "wmanIfSsEventNotificat
ion" must be a name and not a number

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4961,23) Default value for "wmanIfBsEventLogWrapAr
oundBuffEnable" must be a name and not a number

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5045,23) Default value for "wmanIfBsEventNotificat
ion" must be a name and not a number

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (8498,23) Default value for "wmanIfSsEventLogWrapAr
oundBuffEnable" must be a name and not a number

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (8581,23) Default value for "wmanIfSsEventNotificat
ion" must be a name and not a number

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (4961,23) Default value for "wmanIfBsEventLogWrapAr
oundBuffEnable" must be a name and not a number

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16 mi2) (5045,23) Default value for "wmanIfBsEventNotificat

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (8498,23) Default value for "wmanIfSsEventNotificat
 ion" must be a name and not a number
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (8498,23) Default value for "wmanIfSsEventLogWrapAr
 oundBuffEnable" must be a name and not a number
 E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (8581,23) Default value for "wmanIfSsEventNotificat
 ion" must be a name and not a number

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**

Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

2005/05/01

Comment # **349**

Comment submitted by: Phillip

Barber

Other

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

* On behalf of Bert Wijnen *

- E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5162,1) Row "wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelEntry" may not have columns with MAX-ACCESS of read-write if any column is read-create
- E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5310,1) Row "wmanIfBsOfdmDownlinkChannelEntry" may not have columns with MAX-ACCESS of read-write if any column is read-create
- E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5483,26) Index item "wmanIfBsOfdmUiucIndex" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access
- E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5577,26) Index item "wmanIfBsOfdmDiucIndex" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access
- E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6164,1) Row "wmanIfBsOfdmaUplinkChannelEntry" may not have columns with MAX-ACCESS of read-write if any column is read-create
- E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6564,1) Row "wmanIfBsOfdmaDownlinkChannelEntry" may not have columns with MAX-ACCESS of read-write if any column is read-create
- E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6765,32) Index item "wmanIfBsOfdmaUiucIndex" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access
- E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6858,32) Index item "wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access
- E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (8501,1) Item "wmanIfSsEventLogLatestEvent" has invalid value for MAX-ACCESS

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
 Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

- E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5162,1) Row "wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelEntry" may not have columns with MAX-ACCESS of read-write if any column is read-create
- E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5310,1) Row "wmanIfBsOfdmDownlinkChannelEntry" may not have columns with MAX-ACCESS of read-write if any column is read-create
- E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5483,26) Index item "wmanIfBsOfdmUiucIndex" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

It have read-write, write-only, read-create, or accessible-for-notify access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5577,26) Index item "wmanIfBsOfdmDiucIndex" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6164,1) Row "wmanIfBsOfdmaUplinkChannelEntry" may not have columns with MAX-ACCESS of read-write if any column is read-create

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6564,1) Row "wmanIfBsOfdmaDownlinkChannelEntry" may not have columns with MAX-ACCESS of read-write if any column is read-create

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6765,32) Index item "wmanIfBsOfdmaUiucIndex" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6858,32) Index item "wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (8501,1) Item "wmanIfSsEventLogLatestEvent" has invalid value for MAX-ACCESS

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Accepted-Modified**

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5162,1) Row "wmanIfBsOfdmUplinkChannelEntry" may not have columns with MAX-ACCESS of read-write if any column is read-create

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5310,1) Row "wmanIfBsOfdmDownlinkChannelEntry" may not have columns with MAX-ACCESS of read-write if any column is read-create

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5483,26) Index item "wmanIfBsOfdmUiucIndex" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (5577,26) Index item "wmanIfBsOfdmDiucIndex" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6164,1) Row "wmanIfBsOfdmaUplinkChannelEntry" may not have columns with MAX-ACCESS of read-write if any column is read-create

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6564,1) Row "wmanIfBsOfdmaDownlinkChannelEntry" may not have columns with MAX-ACCESS of read-write if any column is read-create

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6765,32) Index item "wmanIfBsOfdmaUiucIndex" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (6858,32) Index item "wmanIfBsOfdmaDiucIndex" may not have "read-write", "write-only", "read-create", or "accessible-for-notify" access

E: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (8501,1) Item "wmanIfSsEventLogLatestEvent" has invalid value for MAX-ACCESS

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

[Remove all rowstatus bohects in ifdm and ofdma tables](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

Comment # **350**

Comment submitted by: Phillip

Barber

Other

2005/05/01

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

* On behalf of Bert Wijnen *

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (1612,17) Row "wmanIfBsSsPacketCounterEntry" does not have a consistent indexing scheme - index items must be in same order as used in INDEX clause for "base row" wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (9917,17) Row "wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleEntry" does not have a consistent indexing scheme - index items must be in same order as used in INDEX clause for "base row" wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (10395,23) Row "wmanIfCmnPhsRuleEntry" does not have a consistent indexing scheme - index items must be in same order as used in INDEX clause for "base row" wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (1612,17) Row "wmanIfBsSsPacketCounterEntry" does not have a consistent indexing scheme - index items must be in same order as used in INDEX clause for "base row" wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (9917,17) Row "wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleEntry" does not have a consistent indexing scheme - index items must be in same order as used in INDEX clause for "base row" wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (10395,23) Row "wmanIfCmnPhsRuleEntry" does not have a consistent indexing scheme - index items must be in same order as used in INDEX clause for "base row" wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group **Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (1612,17) Row "wmanIfBsSsPacketCounterEntry" does not have a consistent indexing scheme - index items must be in same order as used

ot have a consistent indexing scheme - index items must be in same order as used
in INDEX clause for "base row" wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry
W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (9917,17) Row "wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleEntry" does n
ot have a consistent indexing scheme - index items must be in same order as used
in INDEX clause for "base row" wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry
W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (10395,23) Row "wmanIfCmnPhsRuleEntry" does not hav
e a consistent indexing scheme - index items must be in same order as used in IN
DEX clause for "base row" wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions** d) confer with resolution group

Not clear if the following statements are true.

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (9917,17) Row "wmanIfCmnClassifierRuleEntry" does n
ot have a consistent indexing scheme - index items must be in same order as used
in INDEX clause for "base row" wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (10395,23) Row "wmanIfCmnPhsRuleEntry" does not hav
e a consistent indexing scheme - index items must be in same order as used in IN
DEX clause for "base row" wmanIfCmnCpsServiceFlowEntry

Editor's Questions and Concerns**Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **IEEE P802.16f/D3**Ballot Number: **0000998**

Comment Date

2005/05/01

Comment # **351**

Comment submitted by: Phillip

Barber

Other

Comment	Type	Technical, Non-binding	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	------------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

* On behalf of Bert Wijnen *

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (598,1) Item "wmanIfBsSfDirection" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (608,1) Item "wmanIfBsServiceClassIndex" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (619,1) Item "wmanIfBsSfState" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (636,1) Item "wmanIfBsSfProvisionedTime" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (645,1) Item "wmanIfBsSfCsSpecification" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (656,1) Item "wmanIfBsProvisionedSfRowStatus" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (705,1) Item "wmanIfBsProvSfld" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (715,1) Item "wmanIfBsSsProvisionedForSfRowStatus" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (781,1) Item "wmanIfBsQosServiceClassName" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (791,1) Item "wmanIfBsQoSSTrafficPriority" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

Suggested Remedy

1

Proposed Resolution **Recommendation: Accepted-Modified** **Recommendation by**
 Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (598,1) Item "wmanIfBsSfDirection" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (608,1) Item "wmanIfBsServiceClassIndex" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (619,1) Item "wmanIfBsSfState" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (636,1) Item "wmanIfBsSfProvisionedTime" is not con

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (636,1) Item "wmanIfBsSfProvisionedTime" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (645,1) Item "wmanIfBsSfCsSpecification" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (656,1) Item "wmanIfBsProvisionedSfRowStatus" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (705,1) Item "wmanIfBsProvSfld" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (715,1) Item "wmanIfBsSsProvisionedForSfRowStatus" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (781,1) Item "wmanIfBsQosServiceClassName" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (791,1) Item "wmanIfBsQoSSTrafficPriority" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

Reason for Recommendation**Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Accepted-Modified**

Editor to apply this remedy after applying for other remedies.

W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (598,1) Item "wmanIfBsSfDirection" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (608,1) Item "wmanIfBsServiceClassIndex" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (619,1) Item "wmanIfBsSfState" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (636,1) Item "wmanIfBsSfProvisionedTime" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (645,1) Item "wmanIfBsSfCsSpecification" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (656,1) Item "wmanIfBsProvisionedSfRowStatus" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (705,1) Item "wmanIfBsProvSfld" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (715,1) Item "wmanIfBsSsProvisionedForSfRowStatus" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (781,1) Item "wmanIfBsQosServiceClassName" is not contained in any group defined in the current module
 W: f(WMAN-IF-MIB-02-16.mi2), (791,1) Item "wmanIfBsQoSSTrafficPriority" is not contained in any group defined in the current module

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items**

2005/05/25

IEEE 802.16-05/022r5

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions [k\) done](#)

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items