

Document under Review: **P802.16-2004/Cor1/D4**Ballot Number: **0001073**

Comme

Comment # **051**

Comment submitted by:

David

James

Member

2005-08

Comment	Type	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
Changes such as needed to reduce the lengths of figures and tables cannot be safely deferred to the editors, as was proposed in the resolutions. My vote therefore is Disapprove.	Technical, Binding	999			

**Suggested Remedy**

Incorporate all changes, pass none to the editors.

**Proposed Resolution**Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

**Reason for Recommendation**

There is no specific indication of required changes to do. Taking an educated guess that he commenter refers to his proposed changes in previous circulation that were rejected, then please note coordination comment #556 in 80216-05\_042r from Michelle Turner, the IEEE sta editor:

"Please note, upon approval of the last balloted draft the document, the following will take place: The approved draft will be copyedited for grammar, punctuation, syntax, English usage, and style according to the IEEE Standards Style Manual." Therefore, the group believes the IEEE style problems will be handled in this process. In addition, the group believes that doing modifications to tables in a non compatible v to the baseline document in an amendment project will reduce the readability of the standard as a whole.

**Resolution of Group**Decision of Group: **Rejected****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

There is no specific indication of required changes to do. Taking an educated guess that he commenter refers to his proposed changes in previous circulation that were rejected, then please note coordination comment #556 in 80216-05\_042r from Michelle Turner, the IEEE sta editor:

"Please note, upon approval of the last balloted draft the document, the following will take place: The approved draft will be copyedited for grammar, punctuation, syntax, English usage, and style according to the IEEE Standards Style Manual." Therefore, the group believes the IEEE style problems will be handled in this process. In addition, the group believes that doing modifications to tables in a non compatible v to the baseline document in an amendment project will reduce the readability of the standard as a whole.

**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items**

Document under Review: **P802.16-2004/Cor1/D3**Ballot Number: **0001008**

Comment Date

Comment # **257**Comment submitted by: **Lalit****Kotecha**

Member

7/10/2005

Comment Type **Technical, Binding**Starting Page # **103** Starting Line # **40**

Fig/Table#

Section **8.4.4.7**

Deletion of sec 8.4.4.7 violates PAR.

Sec 8.4.4.7 was introduced to enrich 802.16 standards for using beam-forming technologies. This section has gone through numerous informal and formal discussion before adopted by working group as a part of the standard

**Suggested Remedy**

Revert deletion of sec 8.4.4.7 - bring back this section into standards

**Proposed Resolution****Recommendation:****Recommendation by****Reason for Recommendation****Resolution of Group****Decision of Group: Rejected****Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

The section was deleted since members identified a number of operational problems in the direct beam forming mode and unless the problems are fixed the section should be deleted.

**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items****Editor's Notes****Editor's Actions****Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**

Document under Review: **P802.16-2004/Cor1/D4**Ballot Number: **0001073**

Comme

Comment # **017**

Comment submitted by:

Lalit

Kotecha

Member

2005-08

Comment	Type <b>Technical, Binding</b>	Starting Page # <b>118</b>	Starting Line # <b>43</b>	Fig/Table#	Section <b>8.4.4.7</b>
---------	--------------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------	------------	------------------------

My original comment did not get resolved satisfactory in the last 802.16 meeting.

Suggested Remedy

Proposed Resolution

Recommendation: **Rejected**

Recommendation by

Reason for Recommendation

Resolution of Group

Decision of Group: **Rejected**

Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution

The original comment referenced is Comment 247 in IEEE 802.16-05/042r5 (see IEEE 802.16-05/060r1). That comment regarded deletion of Subclause 8.4.4.7, which was one of the optional AAS methods.

The resolution of Comment 247 was to reject it for the following reason: "The section was deleted since members identified a number of operational problems in the direct beam forming mode and unless the problems are fixed the section should be deleted." This response has been recirculated multiple times.

To expand on this point, the mode presented in Subclause 8.4.4.7 was inconsistent with the mandatory OFDMA mode, presenting new frame structure and new training sequences (FLI FLT) but with only a vague description of how actually build them.

Deleting the section does not prevent the ability of implementing AAS, since a well defined method still exists in the standard.

Group's Notes

Group's Action Items

Editor's Notes

Editor's Actions

Editor's Questions and Concerns

Editor's Action Items

Document under Review: [P802.16-2004/Cor1/D5](#)Ballot Number: [0001080](#)

Comment Date

Comment # Comment submitted by: [Lalit](#)[Kotecha](#)[Member](#)[2005-09-21](#)

Comment	Type	Technical	Starting Page #	Starting Line #	Fig/Table#	Section
---------	------	-----------	-----------------	-----------------	------------	---------

[Not satisfied with resolution of comment. Continue my Disapprove vote with same comment](#)

**Suggested Remedy**

Proposed Resolution	Recommendation:	Recommendation by
---------------------	-----------------	-------------------

**Reason for Recommendation**

Resolution of Group	Decision of Group: <a href="#">Repeat of Comment</a>
---------------------	--

**Reason for Group's Decision/Resolution**

[Please see the response to Comment 017 in IEEE 802.16-05/065r5 \(P802.16-2004/Cor1/D4 Sponsor Ballot Recirculation Comments\).](#)

[No action need be taken, as the comment has already been recirculated.](#)

**Group's Notes****Group's Action Items**

Editor's Notes	Editor's Actions
----------------	------------------

**Editor's Questions and Concerns****Editor's Action Items**