

Project	IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group < <a href="http://ieee802.org/16">http://ieee802.org/16</a> >	
Title	IEEE 802.16 Project Planning Group Session #45 Meeting Minutes (Draft)	
Date Submitted	2006-09-28	
Source(s)	Brian Kiernan InterDigital Communications Corp. 781 Third Ave King of Prussia, PA 19406 USA	Voice:+ 1 (610) 878-5637 Fax: +1 (610) 878-7843 <a href="mailto:brian.kiernan@interdigital.com">[brian.kiernan@interdigital.com]</a>
Re:	IEEE 802.16 Project Planning Group Session #45 Minutes (Mt. Tremblant, PQ, Canada)	
Abstract	Meeting minutes	
Purpose	To record meeting discussions.	
Notice	This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.16. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
Patent Policy and Procedures	The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802.16 Patent Policy and Procedures < <a href="http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html">http://ieee802.org/16/ipr/patents/policy.html</a> >, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair < <a href="mailto:chair@wirelessman.org">mailto:chair@wirelessman.org</a> > as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.16 Working Group. The Chair will disclose this notification via the IEEE 802.16 web site < <a href="http://ieee802.org/16/ieee80216">http://ieee802.org/16/ieee80216</a> >	
0		

## **Minutes of IEEE 802.16 Project Planning Group Session #45 (Draft)**

### **Minutes of the 802.16 Project Planning Committee**

*Mt. Tremblant, QE, Canada*

*25-28 Sept, 2006*

#### **Monday, Sept 25 PM:**

Meeting was called to order at 5:20 PM by Brian Kiernan, Chair.

Introductions were made and administrative information provided. The Chair provided some opening slides (802.16-06/051r1) as a kickoff for discussions regarding the current 802.16 project timelines and indicated the probable need for a revision in the mid 2007 timeframe, due to the fact that the WG would have the base document plus 3 amendments in place by that time. This engendered extensive discussions about what the revision would consist of and its scope. Most attendees felt that opening the revision to new material would present a problem and indicated a desire to try and keep the revision at an editorial level. The Chair pointed out the SA rules indicating that in a revision, the entire document is open to comment so that limiting the revision process to just the existing documents may not be possible. Jose Puthenkulam provided a contribution (C802.16-06/014) that detailed the different SA processes and their associated rules and suggested that the group consider conducting a reaffirmation ballot on the existing documents, thus “resetting” the revision timeline by about two years. The chair pointed out that a reaffirmation ballot on the current documents was rather inconsistent with the recently approved PAR and ongoing activities for a second Corrigendum. After further discussion it was decided that the WG should move forward with an “informal” editorial merging of the current documents, followed by a WG review of the merged documents in order to assess how extensive the changes might be and to determine if they have already been addressed as part of the Corrigendum 2 process. Based upon that outcome, the WG could then consider writing a PAR for a formal revision and ballot. It is expected that this revision PAR would be prepared at the January meeting for submission to the EC in March.

Evening recess: 7:00 PM

**Wednesday AM, Sept.28, 2006**

The meeting was called to order at 8:15 AM, by the Chair, who then reviewed the outcome of the Monday session and asked for any additional comments and questions. Having none, the group then moved on to discussions of a project targeted specifically towards incorporation of 802.16 technology into the ITU-R IMT-Advanced project being conducted in WP 8F. After substantial discussion about the IMT-Advanced process and timeline, it was agreed that 802.16 should participate as early in the 8F process as possible in order to insure 802.16 requirements are addressed. It was agreed that a PAR to initiate an 802.16 standards project specifically tied to the ITU-R IMT-Advanced project should be prepared for submission to the EC in November. In conjunction with this, a Call for Contributions would be issued on “Requirements for an Advanced 802.16”, with the intent of passing these requirements into the IMT-Advanced process.

The group then reviewed contribution C802.16-06/020r1 proposing a project on “enhanced AAS”. The discussion gravitated towards “advanced antenna techniques” in general including MIMO, AAS and interference cancellation. It was agreed that such a project would logically fall within the “802.16 - Advanced” framework. This was acceptable to the proposal submitters.

The Chair then asked if anyone had any other business. Having no response, the chair entertained a motion for adjournment.

**Motion to Adjourn:** Remi Chayer Second: Sean Cai  
**Unanimous**