

To: Jon Rosdahl
From: IEEE 802.16 Working Group

Dear Mr. Rosdahl,

Thank you for the comments regarding the IEEE 802.16 Revision PAR.
Listed below are the responses to your comments from the Working Group:

1. "I believe that revisions have to be done in required times, i.e. after 4 amendments are done it is required. In the notes, it is indicated that '(and possible subsequent amendments)' so the standard may get more than the 4 listed."

Regarding this comment, we cite Subclause 9.2 of the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual: "The Sponsor shall initiate revision of a standard whenever any of the material in the standard (including all amendments, corrigenda, etc.) becomes obsolete or incorrect, or if three or more amendments to a base standard exist three years after its approval or most recent reaffirmation." This allows for the possibility that additional amendments may be approved to the base standard up until the three-year deadline. The PAR recognizes the possibility that the revision may incorporate those additional amendments.

In response to this comment, we propose to replace '(and possible subsequent amendments)' with '(and possibly 802.16g and 802.16i, if completed in time).'

2. "Also I believe that while the corrigenda developed by the group is viable for the revision, I am uncertain why we have listed the group specific document rather than a P&P or IEEE process."

In response to this comment, we propose to modify the wording as follows:

7.4 Additional Explanatory Notes: (Item Number and Explanation)

(5.2) The revision will consolidate IEEE Standards 802.16-2004, 802.16e-2005, 802.16-2004/Cor1-2005, and 802.16f-2005 (and

possibly 802.16g and 802.16i, if completed in time ~~subsequent amendments~~), incorporating ~~corrigenda as developed in the IEEE 802.16 Working Group Maintenance Process (as described in IEEE 802.16-06/046)~~ the P802.16-2004/Cor2 draft. No new functionality will be added.

We recognize that the document IEEE 802.16-06/046, describing the Working Group Maintenance Process, is an internal Working Group document. Therefore, we modify the text to explicitly reference the corrigendum draft (P802.16-2004/Cor2), rather than the WG Maintenance Process.

We hope these responses address your concerns.

Best regards,

The IEEE 802.16 Working Group