

July 17, 2008

Dear Qian Xie,

This note is in response to your Request for Interpretation of April 2, 2008.

For clarification, we repeat your questions below, and follow them with the approved responses from the IEEE 802.16 Working Group.

Best regards,
 Roger Marks
 Chair, IEEE 802.16 Working Group

[Qian Xie] In the subsection "8.3.3.3 Interleaving", there is a sentence "The second permutation insures that adjacent coded bits are mapped alternately onto less or more significant bits of the constellation, thus avoiding long runs of lowly reliable bits." in the first paragraph.

What is the meaning of "adjacent coded bits"? Does it mean the coded bits before the first permutation, or it mean the coded bits after the first permutation and before the second permutation?

[802.16 WG] *"Adjacent coded bits" is in reference to the bits coming sequentially out of the FEC.*

[Qian Xie] Another question is what is the meaning of "less or more significant bits". Take 16-QAM constellation (see Figure 203 in the subsection 8.3.3.4) for example, does it mean that the bit "b3" is the more significant bit and "b0" is the less significant bit, or it mean that the bits "b3" and "b1" are the more significant bits and "b2" and "b0" are the less significant bits?

[802.16 WG] *The statement "less or more significant bits" refers to the less or more significant bits for I and Q individually in the QAM constellations. It means for 16-QAM as specified in Figure 203 of IEEE Std 802.16-2004, b3 and b1 are the more significant bits and b2 and b0 are the less significant bits.*

[Qian Xie] Also I am confused by the permutation, let k be the index of the coded bit before the first permutation; m_k be the index of that coded bit after the first and before the second permutation. Dose it mean that after the permutation we send the bit streams in the order of m_0, m_1, m_2, ...; or it mean that we send the bit streams in the order of 0, 1, 2, 3, ... (in the order of the increasing m_k). Take N_{cbps} equal 24 (16-QAM) for example, from equation(71) we can get

$$m_k = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23 \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 .$$

Do we send the bits in the order of 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23 or in the order of 0, 12, 1, 13, 2, 14, 3, 15, 4, 16, 5, 17, 6, 18, 7, 19, 8, 20, 9, 21, 10, 22, 11, 23. For the later order, we have to compute k from m_k. For instance, we find that k=12 make m_k=m_12=1, so at the second sending bit we send the 12th bit.

[802.16 WG] *The indexing due to the permutations is described in the second paragraph of section 8.3.3.3: "Within a block of N_{cbps} bits at transmission, let k be the index of the coded bit before the first permutation; m_k be the index of that coded bit after the first and before the second permutation; and let j_k be the index after the second permutation, just prior to modulation mapping."*

Your second example, where the bits are sent in the order of 0, 12, 1, 13, 2, etc. matches this specification. (Please note, however, your value for N_{cbps} is incorrect for the example of 16-QAM. Please reference Table 223.)

We would like to draw your attention to section 8.3.3.5. That section provides a clear and explicit example of encoding and modulating a data burst for an uplink connection, including the interleaving steps. Following that example should also help answer your questions.