

Supporting report to EC for request of conditional approval to forward P802.16j to RevCom

IEEE 802.16 Presentation Submission Template (Rev. 9)

Document Number:

IEEE 802.16-08/059

Date Submitted:

2008-11-14

Source:

Mitsuo Nohara
KDDI R&D Labs.

Voice: +81 80 6744 6243

E-mail: mi-nohara@kddilabs.jp

Venue:

Session #58

Base Contribution:

None

Purpose:

Report to the EC on the status of the IEEE P802.16j Sponsor Ballot in support of request for conditional approval to forward the IEEE P802.16j draft to RevCom.

Notice:

This document does not represent the agreed views of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group or any of its subgroups. It represents only the views of the participants listed in the "Source(s)" field above. It is offered as a basis for discussion. It is not binding on the contributor(s), who reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release:

The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.

Patent Policy:

The contributor is familiar with the IEEE-SA Patent Policy and Procedures:

<<http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6>> and <<http://standards.ieee.org/guides/opman/sect6.html#6.3>>.

Further information is located at <<http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-material.html>> and <<http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat>>.

Rules

- LMSC P&P Clause 19: Motions requesting conditional approval to forward where the prior ballot has closed shall be accompanied by:
 - Date the ballot closed
 - Vote tally including Approve, Disapprove and Abstain votes
 - Comments that support the remaining disapprove votes and Working Group responses.
 - Schedule for confirmation ballot and resolution meeting.

Date the Ballot Closed

7 November 2008

Stage	Open	Close
Sponsor Ballot	11 Aug 2008	10 Sep 2008
Sponsor Ballot Recirc #1	23 Oct 2008	7 Nov 2008

Vote tally including Approve, Disapprove and Abstain votes

- Approve: 154
- Disapprove: 3
- Abstain: 12

- Return ratio: 84%
- Approve ratio: 98%

Comments that support the remaining disapprove votes and Working Group responses

- Sponsor Ballot:
 - 3 outstanding disapprove comments
- Sponsor Ballot Recirc #1:
 - 0 outstanding disapprove comments

- **Comment 326**

By Roger Marks

Comment

This document is not ready for submittal to RevCom because, according to the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual, Subclause 8.1.2:

Up to three amendments can be approved before the standard shall be revised, unless the base standard has been approved or reaffirmed within the past three years. In the latter case, multiple amendments may be added until the base standard is three years old or three years have elapsed since the most recent reaffirmation of the standard. After the three-year period, RevCom shall defer consideration of additional amendments or corrigenda until a revision or a two-year extension request is approved by the IEEE-SA Standards Board.

The base standard (IEEE Std 802.16-2004) is more than three years old, and it has been subject to three amendments (802.16e, 802.16f, and 802.16g). Therefore, this amendment cannot be approved until the current 802.16 revision project is complete.

Suggested Remedy

Defer submission to RevCom until the current 802.16 revision project is complete and the resulting draft is approved. Revise draft to ensure that it is fully aligned, editorially, with the P802.16Rev2 revision draft. Ensure that draft is consistent with the other ongoing project (namely, P802.16h) that would amend the same document.

Group Resolution: Principle

Group agree the comments.

Group has started the ad hoc for alignment with Rev2 draft before entering sponsor ballot.

And group made alignment with D5 and approved the text changes required for alignment with D6a.

And ad hoc group will keep the work for alignment with Rev2 until it release as standard.

Please refer to 08/144 and 08/145r1 for the result of alignment to Rev2/D5 and Rev2/D6a.

- **Comment 373**

By **Ronald G. Murias**

Comment

Page 1 line 38 says: "Temporary note: All references in this version of the draft amendment are relative to P802.16Rev2/D5 (June 2008)."

The IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual states in 1.2 that an amendment is "A document that contains new material to an existing IEEE standard and may contain technical corrections to that standard."

This amendment appears to have been written to amend an unapproved working draft of a revision.

Suggested Remedy

Re-write the amendment to contain new material to an existing IEEE standard.

Group Resolution: Principle

Group agree the comments.

Group has started the ad hoc for alignment with Rev2 draft before entering sponsor ballot.

And group made alignment with D5 and approved the text changes required for alignment with D6a.

And ad hoc group will keep the work for alignment with Rev2 until it release as standard.

Please refer to 08/144 and 08/145r1 for the result of alignment to Rev2/D5 and Rev2/D6a.

- **Comment 377**

By: Phillip Barber

Comment:

Inconsistent editorial application throughout much of the document. Portions of the document are written to editorially modify IEEE 802.16e-2005. Portions are written to modify iterations of the Rev2 draft. All without proper distinguishing notation. Cannot tell which document 16j is attempting to amend.

In any case, 16j will have to be aligned to amend the standard that is due to be approved based on the Rev2 draft.

Suggested Remedy

Modify the editorial instructions to consistently amend the final, pre-publication, and eventually final publication revision of the Rev2 document.

Verify that there are no technical conflicts. Actually, I believe there already are conflicts in the UIUC Extended-2 code space use. Fix technical conflicts that arise.

Group Resolution: Principle

Group agree the comments.

Group has started the ad hoc for alignment with Rev2 draft before entering sponsor ballot.

And group made alignment with D5 and approved the text changes required for alignment with D6a.

And ad hoc group will keep the work for alignment with Rev2 until it release as standard.

Please refer to 08/144 and 08/145r1 for the result of alignment to Rev2/D5 and Rev2/D6a.

And for technical conflict, we identified the problem and adopted the contribution 08/154r1.

Schedule for Confirmation Ballot and Resolution Meeting

- Dec 4: Open recirculation
- Dec 20: Close recirculation
- Jan 12-15: Comment resolution at 802.16 Session #59, if necessary
- Feb 6: Deadline submittal for RevCom March meeting

802.16 WG Motions

802.16 Closing Plenary: 13 November 2008:

- Motion (9:58 PM): To approve P802.16j/D8 (to be issued by the Relay TG Editors) as the revised working group draft and to authorize the WG Chair to proceed to the Sponsor Ballot confirmation recirculation, to close by about 20 Dec., 2008.
 - Moved: Mitsuo Nohara
 - Seconded: Mo-han Fong
 - Approved 48-0-0
- Motion (10:01 PM): To request EC's conditional approval for RevCom submittal of the P802.16j/D8 or latest
 - Moved: Mitsuo Nohara
 - Seconded: Mo-han Fong
 - Approved 40-3-1

Motion

To grant conditional approval, under Clause 19, to forward the P802.16j draft to RevCom.

- Moved: Roger Marks
- Seconded:

- Approve:
- Disapprove:
- Abstain: