

Project	<b>IEEE 802.16 Broadband Wireless Access Working Group</b> < <a href="http://ieee802.org/16">http://ieee802.org/16</a> >	
Title	<b>HO Latency Reduction</b>	
Date Submitted	<b>2008-01-15</b>	
Source(s)	<p>Sungjin Lee, Geunhwi Lim, Brian Shim Samsung Electronics</p> <p>Hyunjeong Hannah Lee Xiangying Yang Intel</p> <p>Vladimir Yanover, Nadav Lavi Alvarion</p> <p>Tzavidas Stavros Motorola</p> <p>Kiseon Ryu LGE</p> <p>Yerang Hur Posdata</p>	<p>Voice: +82-31-279-5248 E-mail: <a href="mailto:steve.lee@samsung.com">steve.lee@samsung.com</a></p> <p>E-mail: <a href="mailto:hyunjeong.hannah.lee@intel.com">hyunjeong.hannah.lee@intel.com</a></p> <p>E-mail : <a href="mailto:vladimir.yanover@alvarion.com">vladimir.yanover@alvarion.com</a></p> <p>E-mail : <a href="mailto:stavros.tzavidas@motorola.com">stavros.tzavidas@motorola.com</a></p> <p>E-mail : <a href="mailto:ksryu@lge.com">ksryu@lge.com</a></p> <p>E-mail : <a href="mailto:yehur@posdata-usa.com">yehur@posdata-usa.com</a></p>
Re:	LB26a	
Abstract		
Purpose	Review and adopt.	
Notice	<i>This document does not represent the agreed views of the IEEE 802.16 Working Group or any of its subgroups. It represents only the views of the participants listed in the "Source(s)" field above. It is offered as a basis for discussion. It is not binding on the contributor(s), who reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.</i>	
Release	The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.16.	
Patent Policy	<p>The contributor is familiar with the IEEE-SA Patent Policy and Procedures:  <a href="http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6">http://standards.ieee.org/guides/bylaws/sect6-7.html#6</a> and  <a href="http://standards.ieee.org/guides/opman/sect6.html#6.3">http://standards.ieee.org/guides/opman/sect6.html#6.3</a>.</p> <p>Further information is located at &lt;<a href="http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-material.html">http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-material.html</a>&gt; and  <a href="http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat">http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat</a>.</p>	

## Handover Latency Reduction

Sungjin Lee, Geunhwi Lim, Brian Shim  
*Samsung Electronics*

Hyunjeong Hannah Lee, Xiangying Yang  
*Intel*

Vladimir Yanover, Nadav Lavi  
*Alvarion*

Tzavidas Stavros  
*Motorola*

Yerang Hur  
*Posdata*

Kiseon Ryu  
*LGE*

### Problem Overview

When an MS moves toward a target cell performing a hard handover (HHO), it disconnects with the current serving base station (BS) and performs network re-entry procedure in order to connect with the target BS. During HHO, there then exists a service interruption time for which the MS cannot send/receive data traffic to/from any BS. Thereby, it is essential to maintain the service interruption time short enough so that during HHO the performance degradation of delay sensitive applications such as VoIP can be unnoticeable. According to the current IEEE 802.16e, the service interruption time begins right after the MS sends the MOB\_HO-IND message and lasts until the network re-entry completes..

Once the MS moves to the target cell, the MS must achieve PHY synchronization first, and then update MAC context information to connect to the target BS by exchanging MAC management messages. The MAC context update procedure includes RNG-REQ/RSP, SBC-REQ/RSP, Authorization, and REG-REQ/RSP message exchanges. In order to reduce the service interruption time, the current IEEE 802.16e protocol employed HO optimization. Especially for the fully optimized HO, all the MAC context update steps can be combined into one step and thus the MS is required to exchanges only RNG-REQ/RSP with the target BS before resuming data transmission/reception at the target BS.

This contribution proposes a way to further reduce the service interruption time for the fully optimized HO by omitting this RNG-REQ/RSP exchange procedure. To this end, several problems are to be addressed, including CID pre-allocation and security issues during HO.

When the serving BS contacts the potential target BSs for a HO, the potential target BSs actually assign the connection IDs and then respond the serving BS with these pre-assigned CIDs for the MS performing HO. Then, the pre-allocated CID update information is delivered to the MS prior to HO execution, i.e., during HO preparation, via the serving BS through MOB\_BSHO-REQ or MOB\_BSHO-RSP messages. Resultantly, the

size of these messages becomes larger. Because MOB\_BSHO-REQ or MOB\_BSHO-RSP messages are usually transmitted when the MS is located in the cell edge, it is desirable to reduce their sizes as much as possible.

As RNG-REQ/RSP is omitted, AK validation and TEK update (if TEK is not shared) are an additional issue to be resolved.

### Proposed Text Changes: CID Pre-Allocation

[Include following parameter in line 35 on page 215, MOB\_BSHO-REQ message format as indicated:]

<b><u>HO full optimization flag</u></b>	<u>1</u>	<u>0 : HO without full optimization</u> <u>1 : HO with full optimization</u>
<b>Padding</b>	<del>54</del>	Shall be set to zero

[Include following parameters in line 39 on page 216, MOB\_BSHO-REQ message format, as indicated:]

<b><u>If (HO full optimization flag==1) {</u></b>		
<b><u>TLV Encoded Information</u></b>	<u>Variable</u>	<u>TLV-specific</u>
<b><u>}</u></b>		

[Include following parameter in line 42 on page 229 as indicated:]

<b><u>HO full optimization flag</u></b>	<u>1</u>	<u>0 : HO without fully optimization</u> <u>1 : HO with fully optimized</u>
---	----------	--

<u>Reserved</u>	<del>54</del>	<u>Shall be set to zero</u>
-----------------	---------------	-----------------------------

[Include following parameter in line 36 on page 230 as indicated:]

<u>If (HO full optimization flag==1) {</u>		
<u>TLV Encoded Information</u>	<u>Variable</u>	<u>TLV-specific</u>
<u>}</u>		

[change lines 15-23 on page 436 as indicated:]

Serving BS criteria for recommendation of target BS may include factors such as expected MS performance at potential target BS, BS and network loading conditions, and MS QoS requirements. The serving BS may obtain expected MS performance, ~~and BS and network~~ loading conditions at a potential target BS and Basic CID to be used at a potential target BS through the exchange of messages with that BS over the backbone network. When a potential target BS allocates Basic CID for an MS, it also reserves a block of transport CIDs for the MS. The serving BS may negotiate location of common time interval where dedicated initial ranging transmission opportunity for the MS will be provided by all potential target BSs. This information may be included into MOB\_BSHO-RSP message, and is indicated by Action Time.

If a potential Target BS provides a pre-allocated Basic CID for an MS via Basic CID pre-allocation TLV (see 11.21.1), the MS shall derive primary management CID and transport CIDs to be used at the potential target BS by itself in order to shorten network re-entry time at target BS during actual handover.

The MS understand how CID regions are allocated at a BS by calculating CIDs table using 'm' if Connection Identifier descriptor is broadcasted in DCD. When an MS is allocated Basic CID in MOB\_MSHO-RSP or MOB\_BSHO-REQ, the MS shall pre-update new CIDs to be used for a potential target BS knows that a block of transport CID is also reserved for the MS. The MS can calculate the range with the equation  $\{(2m+1) + (Basic\ CID-1) \times a\}$  where the parameter 'a' means transport CID block size and already given by DCD via MOB\_NBR-ADV. In order to derive new transport CIDs by itself, the MS update current transport CID with new transport CID of the block from the smallest number in ascending order. For example, the smallest number of transport CID used in Serving BS may be updated by smallest number of transport CID of the block assigned by the potential target BS. Then the MS takes the primary management CID of same order with Basic CID.

For example, if the MS is allocated by a fifth Basic CID from the Basic CID range in the table 529, the MS should take fifth Primary management CID from the of Primary management CID range. The new Primary management CID shall be calculated by adding 'm' to the given Basic CID.

If the MS receives CID pre-allocation TLV for a potential target BS in MOB\_BSHO-REQ or MOB\_BSHO-RSP message instead of Basic CID pre-allocation TLV, this indicates that the automatic derivation for the primary/transport CIDs is not used. The first CID of the pre-allocated block of contiguous numbered CIDs is explicitly encoded in the TLV. CID pre-allocation TLV uses the common region of Transport CID which is not reserved. For example, this CID pre-allocation TLV can be used if the target BS has no more reserved CIDs

using automatic derivation for the pre-allocation and/or if the number of pre-allocated transport CIDs exceeds 'a' in Connection Identifier descriptor.

*[Include following text in line 22 on page 1186 as indicated:]*

## 11.15.2 Fully Optimized Handover

### 11.15.2.1 Basic CID pre-allocation

The Basic CID pre-allocation TLV provides a Basic CID allocated by a potential target BS and a bitmap. The bitmap shall be included only if any of transport connection is not acceptable by the potential target BS and it indicates whether each active transport connection is accepted or not.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Length</u> (byte)	<u>Value</u> (variable length)	<u>Scope</u>
<u>Basic CID pre-allocation TLV</u>	<u>variable</u>	<u>Basic CID allocated by potential target BS. If any of transport connection is not acceptable by the potential target BS, the Basic CID shall be followed by a bitmap to identify which transport connection is accepted or not.</u>	<u>MOB_BSHO-REQ</u> <u>MOB_BSHO-RSP</u>

If the length is 2, the value field includes only Basic CID pre-allocated by the potential target BS and bitmap is not present. No bitmap means that all the active connections are accepted by the potential target BS.

If length is 3, value field include Basic CID pre-allocated by the potential target BS and 1byte bitmap. The bitmap means there is at least one transport connection which is not accepted at the potential target BS. For example, if the value is 11101111, the MS understand the fourth transport connection is not accepted at the potential target BS and need to setup new transport connection for this service flow at the potential target BS in actual handover. The transport connections are ordered by ascending order of transport CID number.

If length is 4, value field include Basic CID pre-allocated by the potential target BS and 2 byte bitmap. In order to indicate second and thirteenth transport connections are not accepted by the potential target BS, the bitmap should be 1011111111110111.

### 11.15.2.2 CID block pre-allocation

CID pre-allocation encodings field provides a Basic CID, an optional BITMAP for the acceptance indication of each transport connection if needed, and the first CID of a block of contiguous secondary/transport CIDs to be used at a potential target BS. Although one block may cover most cases, a target BS may have to allocate multiple blocks of secondary/transport CIDs. In this case, the first CIDs for each block (2 bytes) and the number of CIDs allocated in the corresponding block (1byte) shall appear. The CIDs encoded in this TLV are the ones allocated by the corresponding potential target BS via the backbone message exchange. An optional BITMAP

appears only if the service level prediction parameter value is “1” of the corresponding target BS. The length of BITMAP is variable as a multiple of 8 bits (e.g., 8 bits, 16 bits, etc) computed based on of the number of secondary/transport connections. For example, one byte BITMAP is used if the number of secondary/transport connections is less than or equal to 8, and two bytes are used if the number of secondary/transport connections is greater than 8 and less than or equal to 16, and so on. If the service level prediction parameter value is “2”, the bitmap is not encoded because all the connections are pre-allocated. If the service level prediction parameter value is “0” or “3”, CID pre-allocation TLV shall not be included in the MOB\_BSHO-REQ or MOB\_BSHO-RSP message.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Type</u> (1 byte)	<u>Length</u> (byte)	<u>Value</u> (variable length)	<u>Scope</u>
<u>CID pre-allocation TLV</u>	xx	variable	<p>The first two bytes indicate the new Basic CID.</p> <p>Then, the optional BITMAP may follow if Service Level Parameter value is “1”. The BITMAP indicates each transport connection acceptance at the corresponding potential target BS. The n-th bit, starting from the MSB of the BITMAP is set to 1 when the n-th SFID is to be updated to a new CID where, the SFIDs are sorted with increasing order.</p> <p>Then, if one block is used, the first CID (i.e., starting point CID) of the block of the contiguous secondary/transport CIDs is encoded. If more than one CID blocks are used, each starting point CID of each block (2 bytes) and the number of CIDs per this block (1 byte) are encoded.</p>	<u>MOB_BSHO-REQ</u>  <u>MOB_BSHO-RSP</u>

[Include following parameter in line 14 on page 1072 as indicated:]

<u>Name</u>	<u>Type</u> (1byte)	<u>Length</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>PHY scope</u>
<u>Connection Identifier descriptor</u>	<u>155</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>MSB 11 bits = <math>m</math> (See Table 529)</u> <u>LSB 5 bits = <math>a</math> (number of reserved transport CIDs per an MS)</u>	<u>OFDMA</u>

**[Proposed Text Changes for Security: TBD]**